

---

## Issue 114 Digital Meeting Etiquette

---

- Welcome to the Issue 114 Workgroup meeting 2 – we'll start shortly
- Please keep your camera off to save the bandwidth
- Please stay on mute unless you need to talk – use IM if you can't break through
- Talk – pause – talk
- Lots of us are working remotely – please be mindful of background noise and connection speeds

# ELELEXION

---

## Issue 114 – Workgroup 2

---

Settlement of ABSVD for ancillary services delivered through Independent Aggregators

6 November 2024

# Meeting Agenda

---

## Objectives for this meeting:

- Discuss Issue 4 solution and agree next steps
- Present and discuss process maps for BM and Non-BM Unit ABSVD
- Agree focus for future workgroup meetings

Agenda item	Lead
Welcome and meeting objectives	Patrick Matthewson - Elexon (Chair)
Recap of Workgroup 1	Anshu Choudhary – Elexon (Senior Change Lead)
Issue 4 – Solution and next steps	David Thomas
Process maps: BM and Non-BM Unit ABSVD	David Thomas (supported by NESO)
Workgroup discussion on focus for future workgroups	David Thomas (supported by NESO)
Next steps and AOB	Anshu Choudhary
Meeting close	Patrick Matthewson

# RECAP OF PREVIOUS WORKGROUP AND FEEDBACK ON GROUPINGS

---

## Recap of Workgroup 1

---

- In the last workgroup, the seven issues shown in the Issue 114 paper were presented by Elexon (Issues 1 – 5) and NESO (Issues 6 – 7)
- Elexon provided a suggested prioritisation order to the workgroup as a ‘starter for ten’ to encourage debate
- There was wide consensus that:
  - A holistic solution may be required
  - Analysing how issues impact one another, and where they are interlinked, should help with prioritisation
  - Issue 4 could be considered as a “stand alone” issue, and should be discussed / progressed in the next meeting

---

## Feedback from Workgroup 1 “groupings”

---

- To help with prioritising Issues, Elexon circulated some suggested “groupings” for the seven issues
- Two workgroup members responded anonymously via the Slido link:
  - One preferred to prioritise issues that relate to flaws with the current BSC arrangements,
  - One stated priority should be given to Issues 1 and 5
- Another two workgroup members responded directly to Elexon:
  - One stated they felt the workgroup does not have enough understanding of the interactions between the Issues to be able to provide much comment on the proposed groupings,
  - One stated they were worried we had ‘dived into the detail too fast’ and were at ‘risk [of] getting lost in the detail’, and that ‘It would be great to have a process map to support this’

# ISSUE 4 – SUGGESTED SOLUTION

---


## Issue 4 – Requirement for advance notice of MSID Pairs providing non-BM Unit ABSVD

---


- BSC Procedure BSCP602 ('SVA Metering System & Asset Metering System Register') requires NESO to provide details of any non-BM Unit ABSVD MSID Pairs five Working Days (WD) in advance
- This can be an obstacle to customers signing up to provide ancillary services, as for some services it is impossible to meet the five WD requirement
- NESO are supportive of notification timescales being relaxed for certain ancillary services, and agree the notification of MSID Pairs fall into this category
- **Suggested Solution:**
  - Notification timescales extended, with NESO providing MSID Pairs to align with Initial Settlement (SF) run timetable
  - Requirement for NESO to submit Delivered Volumes within 45 calendar days of the Settlement Day unchanged
  - BSCP602 incorrectly stated 45 WD (Working Days), so will be corrected to 45 calendar days as part of this change

# ABSVD PROCESS MAPS


# BM Unit ABSVD – Primary BMUs




# BM Unit ABSVD – Secondary BMUs



# Non-BM Unit ABSVD – Registration



# Non-BM Unit ABSVD – Delivery



# WORKGROUP DISCUSSIONS ON NEXT STEPS

---

## Suggested Groupings and/or Priorities

---

- Feedback on previously circulated groupings suggested more information was required to make informed decisions
- One WG member suggested focusing on improvements to current processes first, so grouping issues by existing vs new process changes gives:
  - Issues 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 into one group
  - Issues 1 and 5 into another group
- We could then split the ‘existing processes’ group and prioritise/group further – for example:
  - Issues 4 – solution discussed earlier, and potential “quick win”
  - Issues 2 and 3 – both relate to Secondary BMUs, so can be grouped and progress together
  - Issues 6 and 7 – both relate to process improvements suggested by NESO, so could group together
- As Issue 1 and 5 relate to new processes, and are expected to have wider remits, suggest these are examined after the other Issues (with Issue 1 prioritised over Issue 5)
- Any thoughts/feedback/comments from WG members?



# ANY OTHER BUSINESS

---

## Any Other Business

---

- Workgroup members who would like to raise any other item can do so now.



# NEXT STEPS

---

## Next steps

---

- Summary of Workgroup meeting decisions and actions by 5WD after the meeting close
- Workgroup next meeting to be scheduled in December subject to members' availability

# ELEXON

THANK YOU

---

**Anshu Choudhary**

---

[Anshu.choudhary@elexon.co.uk](mailto:Anshu.choudhary@elexon.co.uk)

[bsc.change@elexon.co.uk](mailto:bsc.change@elexon.co.uk)

6 November 2024

MEETING CLOSE