

System Prices Analysis Report: June 2024

[Bookmark](#)

[Glossary](#)

The System Prices Analysis Report (SPAR) provides a monthly update on price calculations. This report provides analysis specific to System Prices and the Balancing Mechanism, covering data used to derive prices, based on a combination of II and SF Settlement Runs.

The latest data on System Prices can be found on Insights Solution:

[Insights Solution](#)

(<https://bmrs.elexon.co.uk/>)

Large datasets are available through the Open Settlement Data collection:

[Open Settlement Data](#)

(<https://www.elexon.co.uk/data/open-settlement-data/>)

On this page

+

System Prices and length

This report covers the month of May. Where available, data uses the latest Settlement Run (in most cases 'II' or 'SF'). In this report, we distinguish between a 'long' and a 'short' market when analysing System Prices, because the price calculation differs between the two scenarios.

When the market is long, System Prices are based predominantly on the System Operator's 'sell' actions such as accepted Bids. When the market is short, System Prices are based predominantly on the System Operator's 'buy' actions.

System Price summary by month (£/MWh)

This table gives a summary of System Prices for April, with values shown in £/MWh.

System Length

Long

Min	-89.10
------------	--------

Max	126.12
------------	--------

Median	45.59
---------------	-------

Mean	34.38
-------------	-------

Std.Dev	36.69
----------------	-------

Short

Min	0.00
------------	------

Max	150.00
------------	--------

Median	102.06
---------------	--------

Mean	102.47
-------------	--------

Std.Dev	14.64
----------------	-------


Source: Elexon

Frequency of System Prices over last month

This graph shows the distribution of System Prices across Settlement Periods in June 2024 when the market was long and short. 80% of System Prices were between £0.00/MWh and £114.90/MWh regardless of system length. When the system was long, 80% of prices were between -£19.04/MWh and £74.88/MWh. When the system was short, 80% of prices were between £89.00/MWh and £119.00/MWh.

1.2 Frequency of System Prices over last month

System Length ● Long ● Short



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




System Prices were £100.00/MWh or more on 441 occasions and £1,000.00/MWh or more on no occasions in June 2024. In the previous month there were 283 System Prices on or over £100.00/MWh and no System Prices on or over £1,000.00/MWh. The highest System Price of the month, £150.00/MWh, occurred in Settlement Period 14 on 24 June.

There were 136 Settlement Periods where the System Price was less than £0.00/MWh in June, with the lowest System Price of -£89.10/MWh occurring in Settlement Period 34 on 5 June.

System Price spread

The graph below displays the spread of System Prices in June 2024 as a box plot diagram, split between a short and long system.

1.3 System Price spread



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



The middle line in each box represents the median System Price of the month, which is £102.06/MWh for short Settlement Periods and £45.59/MWh for long Settlement Periods. Each box edge represents the lower and upper quartiles (25th and 75th percentile respectively), with the Interquartile Range (difference between the Upper and Lower quartiles) being £17.12/MWh for short System Prices and £54.92/MWh for long System Prices.


Outliers are shown on the graph as circles, and have been defined as being greater than 1.5 times the Interquartile Range (IQR) away from the Upper and Lower quartiles. Under this definition, four long and 20 short System Prices in June were outliers. Of the four long outliers, all were less than the lower outlier boundary. The prices of Long outliers ranged from -£89.10/MWh (the lowest System Price of the month) to -£81.93/MWh. The highest System Price of the month, £150.00/MWh, was 1.47 times the median short System Price for the month.

Daily average System Price

The graph below shows daily average System Prices over the last month.

1.4 Daily average System Price

System Length ● Long ● Short



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




In June, the average System Price was £34.38/MWh when the system was long and £102.47/MWh when the system was short. The highest daily average price when the system was short was £110.54/MWh, and occurred on 25 June; the system was short for 20 Settlement Periods on this day. The lowest daily average price when the system was long was -£5.98/MWh on 5 June. The system was long for 32 Settlement Periods on this day.

Average System Price by Settlement Period

The graph below shows the variation of average System Prices across the day.

1.5 Average System Price by Settlement Period

System Length ● Long ● Short



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



Short prices were highest in Settlement Period 43, with long prices lowest in Settlement Period 29. The lowest average System Price, regardless of market length, occurred during Settlement Period 29, when the System Price was £35.79/MWh. The daily average long Settlement Period System Prices ranged between £6.59/MWh and £85.03/MWh. Average short Settlement Period prices varied from £82.14/MWh to £114.07/MWh.


Daily System Length

This graph shows system length by day.



1.6 System Length by day

System Length ● Long ● Short



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




System Length by Settlement Period

This graph shows system length by Settlement Period for June.



1.7 System Length by Settlement Period

System Length ● Long ● Short



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



The system was long for 49% of Settlement Periods in June.

On 17 June, the system was short for 43 of 48 Settlement Periods. The long Settlement Periods on this day had an average NIV of -48MWh. The daily average NIV on this day was 274MWh.


Historic long vs short market

This graph shows the percentage of long and short Settlement Periods over the past year. June 2024 had 49% of long Settlement Periods, compared to 52% per month over the previous 12 months.



1.8 Historic Long vs Short Market

● Long ● Short



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




Average Daily System Price when Long by Settlement Day

The graph below displays the daily average System Prices in June 2024 when the system was long compared to the two previous months and the same month last year.

1.9 Average Daily System Price when Long by Settlement Day

Month ● Apr 24 ● Jun 23 ● Jun 24 ● May 24



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




Daily average long System Prices were -£13.33/MWh lower in June 2024 than the same month in 2023.

Average Daily System Price when Short by Settlement Day

This graph looks at System Prices from the same months as the previous graph, but when the System was short.

1.10 Average Daily System Price when Short by Settlement Day

Month ● Apr 24 ● Jun 23 ● Jun 24 ● May 24



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




Short daily average System Prices were -£21.03/MWh lower in June 2024 than the same month last year.

Accepted Volumes Accepted Offer Volume by Fuel Type

This graph displays the Offer volumes of fuel types that participated in the Balancing Mechanism during June 2024. Offers are balancing actions taken to increase the level of energy on the System. This report also contains balancing volumes from Balancing Services Adjustment Actions (BSAAs). BSAAs include, but are not limited to, balancing actions such as system-to-system services, Short Term Operating Reserve actions taken outside the Balancing mechanism and forward contracted energy products.



1.11 Accepted Offer Volume by Fuel Type




[Microsoft Power BI](#)




Accepted Bid Volume by Fuel Type

This graph displays the Bid volumes of fuel types that participated in the Balancing Mechanism during June 2024. Bids are balancing actions taken to decrease the level of energy on the System.

1.12 Accepted Bid Volume by Fuel Type



Microsoft Power BI



During June, 76% of Offer volume came from Gas BMUs with a further 13% from BSAA and 4% from Pumped Storage BMUs.

42% of Bid volume came from Wind BMUs with a further 32% from BSAA and 10% from Pumped Storage BMUs.

Parameters

In this section, we consider a number of different parameters on the price. We consider:

- ▶ The impact of Flagging balancing actions;
- ▶ The impact of the Replacement Price;
- ▶ The impact of NIV Tagging;
- ▶ The impact of PAR Tagging;
- ▶ The impact of DMAT and Arbitrage Tagging; and
- ▶ How these mechanisms affect which balancing actions feed into the price.

Flagging

The Imbalance Price calculation aims to distinguish between ‘energy’ and ‘system’ balancing actions. Energy balancing actions are those related to the overall energy imbalance on the system (the ‘Net Imbalance Volume’). It is these ‘energy’ balancing actions which the Imbalance Price should reflect. System balancing actions relate to non-energy, system management actions (e.g. locational constraints).


Some actions are ‘Flagged’. This means that they have been identified as potentially being ‘system related’, but rather than removing them completely from the price calculation (i.e. Tagging them) they may be re-priced, depending on their position in relation to the rest of the stack (a process called Classification). The System Operator (SO) flags actions when they are taken to resolve a locational constraint on the transmission network (SO-Flagging), or to correct short-term increases or decreases in generation/demand (Continuous Acceptance Duration Limit (CADL) Flagging).

Daily volume of SO-Flagged/non-Flagged actions

This graph shows the volumes of Buy and Sell actions in June 2024 that have been Flagged by the SO as being constraint related. On 27 June, 99% of Sell volume was SO-Flagged.

2.1 Daily volume of SO Flagged/non SO Flagged actions

Type ● Non SO Flagged Buy ● Non SO Flagged Sell ● SO Flagged Buy ● SO Flagged Sell



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



74% of Sell balancing action volume taken in June had an SO-Flag, compared with 52% the

COOKIES SETTINGS

1.4% of SO-Flagged Sell actions came from CCGT BMUs, 28% came from

Balancing Service Adjustment Actions (BSAAs) and 55% from Wind BMUs. The average initial price (i.e. before any re-pricing) of a SO-Flagged Sell action was -£28.91/MWh.

44% of Buy balancing action volume taken in June had an SO-Flag, compared to 48% in May. 78% of SO-Flagged Buy actions came from CCGT BMUs and 21% from BSAAs. The average initial price of a SO-Flagged Buy action was £126.66/MWh.

Any actions with a total duration of less than the CADL are flagged. The CADL is currently set at 10 minutes.

0.7% of Buy action volume and 0.4% of Sell action volume were CADL Flagged in June. The majority of CADL Flagged Buy actions (54%), and CADL Flagged Sell actions (35%) came from Pumped Storage BMUs, with CCGT BMUs accounting for a further 2% of CADL Flagged Sell Actions.

SO-Flagged and CADL Flagged actions are known as 'First-Stage Flagged'. First-Stage Flagged actions may become 'Second-Stage Flagged' depending on their price in relation to other Unflagged actions. If a First-Stage Flagged balancing action has a more expensive price than the most expensive First-Stage Unflagged balancing action, it becomes Second-Stage Flagged. This means it is considered a system balancing action and becomes unpriced.


Flagged Balancing Volumes

This graph shows First and Second-Stage Flagged action volumes as a proportion of all actions taken on the system. Note these are all the accepted balancing actions – only a proportion of these will feed through to the final price calculation.

In June, 58% of balancing volume received a First-Stage Flag with 72% of this volume going on to receive a Second-Stage Flag. On the 29 June, 78% of balancing volume was flagged; with 77% of this volume receiving a Second Stage Flag.

2.2 Flagged volume percentage

Flag ● Stage 1 ● Stage 2 ● Unflagged



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



The Replacement Price


Any Second-Stage Flagged action volumes left in the NIV will be repriced using the Replacement Price. The Replacement Price is either based on the Replacement Price Average Reference (RPAR currently based on the most expensive 1MWh of Unflagged actions), or if no Unflagged actions remain after NIV Tagging, the Market Index Price (MIP). In June, 182 (13%) Settlement Periods had a Replacement Price based on the RPAR and 161 (11%) Settlement Periods had a Replacement Price based on the MIP. However, the majority of Settlement Periods (76%) did not have a Replacement Price.

Number of Settlement Periods with Replacement Price by System Length

This chart displays the count of Settlement Periods which had a Replacement Price applied, split by the system length and if the Replacement Price was based on RPAR or the MIP.

2.3 Number of Settlement Periods with Replacement Price by System Length

Replacement Price Type ● MIP ● RPAR



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



Average Price and Replacement Price by System Length

This table displays the average original and Replacement Price of Second-Stage Flagged actions

System Length

Long

Original Price 11.57

Replacement Price 46.80

Short

Original Price 117.70

Replacement Price 92.17

Source: Elexon

Sell actions will typically have their prices revised upwards by the Replacement Price for the purposes of calculating the System Price. In total, 74% of Sell volume in June was Flagged. Of this Flagged Sell volume, 6% was assigned a Replacement Price. The average original price of a Second-Stage Flagged repriced Sell action was £11.57/MWh and the average Replacement Price for Sell actions (when the System was long) was £46.80/MWh.


45% of Buy volume was Flagged; 4.9% of this volume had the Replacement Price applied. The average original price of a Second-Stage Flagged repriced Buy action was £117.70/MWh and the average Replacement Price for Buy actions (when the System was long) was £92.17/MWh.

If there are no Unflagged actions remaining in the NIV, the Replacement Price will default to the MIP. This occurred in 113 long and 48 short Settlement Periods in June, compared to 48 long and 54 short Settlement Periods the previous month.

Monthly Average Long Price, Short Price and MIP

This graph compares the monthly average MIP to the monthly average long and short System Prices for the past 13 months. The monthly average long price decreased by -£13.47/MWh to £34.38/MWh, the short price increased by £4.82/MWh to £102.47/MWh and the MIP decreased by -£3.20/MWh to £69.67/MWh in June 2024 compared to the previous month.

2.5 Monthly Average Long Price, Short Price and MIP



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



NIV and NIV Tagging


The Net Imbalance Volume (NIV) represents the direction of imbalance of the system – i.e. whether the system is long or short overall.

Short system NIV

This graph shows the greatest and average NIV when the system was short.

2.6 Short System NIV

● Average of NIV ● Max of NIV



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



Long system NIV

This graph shows the minimum and average NIVs when the system was long. Note short NIVs are depicted as positive volumes and long NIVs are depicted as negative volumes.

2.7 Long System NIV

● Average of NIV ● Min of NIV



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



In almost all Settlement Periods, the System Operator will need to take balancing actions in both directions (Buys and Sells) to balance the system. However, for the purposes of calculating an Imbalance Price there can only be imbalance in one direction (the Net Imbalance). 'NIV Tagging' is the process which subtracts the smaller stack of balancing actions from the larger one to determine the Net Imbalance. The price is then derived from these remaining actions.

NIV Tagging has a significant impact in determining which actions feed through to prices. In June, 88% of volume was removed due to NIV tagging. The most expensive actions are NIV Tagged first; hence NIV Tagging has a dampening effect on prices when there are balancing actions in both directions.

The maximum short system NIV of the month (1,201MWh) was seen in Settlement Period 42 on 14 June, where the System Price was £121.00/MWh.

The minimum long system NIV of the month was -1,299MWh, in Settlement Period 30 on 8 June, where the System Price was -£18.86/MWh.


Net Imbalance Volume and System Price

This graph displays a scatter graph of Net Imbalance Volume and System Prices. The dashed

expected System Price from a particular NIV based on the month's data.

2.8 Net Imbalance Volume and System Price

System Length ● Long ● Short



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



There were 700 long Settlement Periods in June, 39 of which occurred on 16 June. The average NIV on this day was -249MWh, with the lowest NIV (-950MWh) occurring in Settlement Period 32.

PAR Tagging

PAR Tagging is the final step of the Imbalance Price calculation. It takes a volume-weighted average of the most expensive 1MWh of actions left in the stack. The value of PAR is set at 1MWh.

PAR Tagging is active in almost all Settlement Periods, the only periods not affected by the parameter have a NIV of less than 1MWh.

During June, there were 4 Settlement Periods where PAR Tagging was inactive. The average NIV in these Settlement Periods was 0.17MWh. Settlement Period 47 on 16 June had the lowest absolute NIV (-0.4MWh), and therefore was the most balanced Settlement Period of the month.


DMAT and Arbitrage Tagged Volumes

Some actions are always removed from the price calculation (before NIV Tagging). These are actions which are less than the De Minimis Acceptance Threshold (DMAT) Tagging or Buy actions

which are either the same price or lower than the price of Sell actions (Arbitrage Tagging). The DMAT is set at 0.1MWh.

Daily Volume of DMAT Tagged volume

This graph shows the volumes of actions removed due to DMAT Tagging.



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




196.2MWh of total Buy and Sell volume was removed by DMAT Tagging in June, compared to 203MWh the previous month. 88% of the DMAT Tagged volume came from other BMUs, 5% from CCGT BMUs and 4% from BSAs.


Daily volume of Arbitrage Tagged volume

This graph shows the volumes of actions that were removed due to Arbitrage Tagging.

2.10 Daily Arbitrage Tagged volume



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



8,428MWh of total Buy and Sell volume was removed by Arbitrage Tagging in June. 34% of the Arbitrage Tagged came from other BMUs, 25% from Wind BMUs and 17% from BSAs.

In June, the average initial price of an Arbitrage Tagged Buy action was £63.29/MWh, and for a Sell action was £68.88/MWh. The maximum initial price of an Arbitrage Tagged Sell action was £126.17/MWh, and the lowest priced Arbitrage Tagged Buy action was -£70.01/MWh.

Balancing Services

Short Term Operating Reserve (STOR) costs and volumes

This section covers the balancing services that the System Operator (SO) takes outside the Balancing Mechanism that can affect the price.


In addition to Bids and Offers available in the Balancing Mechanism, the SO can enter into contracts with providers of balancing capacity to deliver when called upon. These additional sources of power are referred to as reserve, and most of the reserve that the SO procures is called Short Term Operating Reserve (STOR).

Under STOR contracts, availability payments are made to the balancing service provider in return for capacity being made available to the SO during specific times (STOR Availability). When STOR is called upon, the SO pays for it at a pre-agreed price (its Utilisation

Price). Some STOR is dispatched in the Balancing Mechanism (BM STOR) while some is dispatched separately (Non-BM STOR).

Daily STOR vs Non-BM STOR volume

This graph gives STOR volumes that were called upon during the month split into BM STOR and non-BM STOR. 0% of the total STOR volume utilised in June came from outside of the Balancing Mechanism.



[Microsoft Power BI](#)




Daily STOR vs Non-BM STOR utilisation costs

This graph shows the utilisation costs of this capacity. The average Utilisation Price for STOR capacity in June was £133.94/MWh; £133.94/MWh for BM STOR and £0.00/MWh for non-BM STOR.

3.2 Daily STOR BM vs Non BM utilisation costs

● BM STOR ● Non BM STOR



[Microsoft Power BI](#)



On 3 June the largest amount was spent on STOR volume for the month (£106806), of which 100% of the cost was BM STOR and 0% was non-BM STOR. The utilised BM STOR volume on this day was 630MWh, compared to the average of 145MWh across the month.

De-Rated Margin, Loss of Load Probability and the Reserve Scarcity Price

There are times when the Utilisation Prices of STOR plants are uplifted using the **Reserve Scarcity Price (RSVP)** in order to calculate System Prices. The RSVP is designed to respond to capacity margins, so rises as the system gets tighter (the gap between available and required generation narrows). It is a function of **De-Rated Margin (DRM)** at Gate Closure, the likelihood that this will be insufficient to meet demand (the **Loss of Load Probability, LoLP**) and the **Value of Lost Load (VoLL)**, set at £6,000/MWh from 1 November 2018).

Minimum and average DRMs

This graph shows the daily minimum and average Gate Closure DRMs for June 2024.

3.3 Minimum and average DRMs

● Average of DRM ● Min of DRM



Microsoft Power BI



The System Operator has determined a dynamic relationship between each DRM and the LoLP, which will determine the RSVP.

The minimum DRM in June was 4.289GW on 12 June in Settlement Period 41 (compared to 5.098GW in May). This DRM corresponded to a LoLP of 0 and a RSVP of £0.00/MWh.

The RSVP re-prices STOR actions in the Imbalance Price calculation if it is higher than the original Utilisation Price. In total there were zero Settlement Periods where a total of 0 actions were repriced with the RSVP during June.

Contact information

▶ **Elexon Support**
(<https://support.elexon.co.uk/csm>)



PDF versions

Download PDFs of previous reports from the [SPAR archive](#)

(<https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/key-data-reports/system-prices-analysis-report/spar-archive/>)

BSC Insights

- ▶ [The electricity market](#) [\(https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-the-electricity-market/\)](https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-the-electricity-market/) >
- ▶ [Code Governance and reforms](#) [\(https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-code-governance-and-reforms/\)](https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-code-governance-and-reforms/) >
- ▶ [Imbalance and Settlement](#) [\(https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-imbalance-and-settlement/\)](https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-imbalance-and-settlement/) >
- ▶ [System Prices](#) [\(https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-system-prices/\)](https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-system-prices/) >
- ▶ [Supporting changes in the energy system](#) [\(https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-supporting-changes-in-the-energy-system/\)](https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-supporting-changes-in-the-energy-system/) >
- ▶ [Managing the BSC](#) [\(https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-managing-the-bsc/\)](https://www.elexon.co.uk/about/elexon-insights/bsc-insights-managing-the-bsc/) >

Accessibility

Please let us know if you cannot access this information or need it in an alternative format.

communications@elexon.co.uk