

Change Proposal – BSCP40/02	CP No: CP1465 <i>Version No: 1.0 (mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
Title Sending revised MTDs following a change of NHHMOA	
Description of Problem/Issue (mandatory by originator) Change Proposal (CP)1162 'Sending revised Meter Technical Details during a change of Meter Operator Agent' was implemented in June 2007. This introduced into Balancing and Settlement Code Procedure (BSCP) 514 'SVA Meter Operations for Metering Systems Registered in SMRS' a new requirement within the two procedures covering the sending of Meter Technical Details (MTDs) on a change of Meter Operator Agent (MOA) (with and without change of Supplier). <i>“Where the current MOA has been instructed to send MTD to a new MOA, and there is a change to MTD, the current MOA should send the revised MTD to the new MOA until such a time as the current MOA is no longer responsible for the MTD.”</i> In December 2014, the Electricity Data Quality Working Group (DQWG), a joint ELEXON and MRA initiative, identified in its report the issue of 'Missed Meter Exchanges' which can occur when a routine Meter exchange takes place close to the change of MOA date. The DQWG noted that the requirement introduced by CP1162 does not explicitly address scenarios in the Non Half Hourly (NHH) market where the old MOA fails to send revised MTDs on a change of MOA by the time of the de-appointment date or (unknowingly) carries out the Meter exchange after this date. The DQWG concluded that the requirement in BSCP514 would benefit from being extended to include these situations. CP1455 'Sending revised Meter Technical Details following a change of Meter Operator Agent' aimed to address this by amending the requirement (footnote 30 on page 42) to specifically identify these scenarios, with the text below: <i>“Where the MOA has sent, or been instructed to send, MTD to a new MOA, and there is a subsequent change to MTD or new evidence of an earlier change to MTD, the MOA should send the revised MTD to the new MOA, even if the sending MOA is no longer appointed to the Metering System”</i> However, a consequence of CP1455's approach was that all sections of BSCP514 referencing footnote 30 would be subject to the enhanced requirement. This included Half Hourly (HH) instances that were outside the intended scope (e.g. BSCP514 section 5.2.1.8 'Change of HHMOA (No Change of Metering System or Change of Supplier)'; or where the enhanced requirement was not applicable (e.g. section 6.3.3.5 'Removal of a Metering System (NHH)'). Respondents to the CP1455 consultation supported the intention of CP1455, although some noted the scope concerns raised by the drafting approach. Follow up discussions identified an alternative approach to the BSCP514 redlining that was clearer and more explicitly related to the change of NHHMOA process, which this CP will address.	

Proposed Solution (mandatory by originator) CP1465 proposes to add a new footnote only to those sections of BSCP514 that specifically relate to a change of NHHMOA, these being sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.4. The new footnote will be additional to footnote 30 (which will remain unchanged) and will ensure that the enhanced requirement for sending MTD applies only to the scenarios identified by the DQWG. The proposed wording for the new footnote is: <i>“The outgoing MOA remains responsible for sending revised MTD where they relate to site activity carried out after their de-appointment date”</i> It is proposed that the new footnote is added immediately adjacent to footnote 30 in sections 6.2.1.9 and 6.2.4.9. To address the existing issue identified by CP1455, this CP also proposes to remove references to footnote 30 in five sections of BSCP514 where it is not applicable as there is no change of MOA, which are sections: • 5.3.3 Removal of a Metering System (HH) • 5.3.4 Reconfigure or Replace Metering System (No Change of Measurement Class) • 5.3.5 LDSO Replaces MS (For Safety Reasons / Urgent Metering Services) • 5.3.6 Change of Feeder Status – Energise Feeder • 6.3.3 Removal of a Metering System (NHH).
Justification for Change (mandatory by originator) CP1455 was raised to implement one of the recommendations of the EDWG in its report. This new CP retains the original justification. However, it aims to provide a clearer, more targeted approach to the BSCP514 drafting to deliver the proposed change.
To which section of the Code does the CP relate, and does the CP facilitate the current provisions of the Code? Section S ‘Supplier Volume Allocation’
Estimated Implementation Costs (mandatory by BSCCo) £240 (one ELEXON man day) of effort to implement the necessary document changes.
Configurable Items Affected by Proposed Solution(s) BSCP514 ‘SVA Meter Operations for Metering System Registered in SMRS’
Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code None None anticipated.

Related Changes and/or Projects (mandatory by BSCCo)

N/A

Requested Implementation Date (mandatory by originator)**23 February 2017** as part of the February 2017 BSC Systems Release.

Reason: The February 2017 is the next available Release that this CP can be included in. It also allows approximately six months from decision to implementation, which is deemed sufficient for MOAs to make any necessary system and process changes to implement this CP.

Version History (mandatory by BSCCo)

Version 1.0 of CP1465 was issued on 13 June 2016.

Originator's Details:**BCA Name** Matthew McKeon**Organisation** ELEXON.....**Email Address** Matthew.McKeon@elexon.co.uk**Telephone Number** 07551 124 579.....**Date** 13 June 2016.....

Attachments: N