

Change Proposal Circular – CPC00743 Responses

CPC00743: Impact Assessment of CP1417

Summary of Responses for CP1417

ORGANISATION	Agree with the change?	Impacted?	Cost?	Implementation Date?
BES Commercial Electricity Ltd	Yes	No	None	Yes
British Gas	Yes	Yes	Low cost	Yes
EDF Energy	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Yes
E.ON	Yes	Yes	Medium cost	No
IMServ Europe Ltd	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
RWE npower	Yes	No	None	Yes
ScottishPower	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	Yes	Yes	None	No
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	Yes	Medium cost	Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1417	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
BES Commercial Electricity Ltd	Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes Any other comments? – Agree with change because we already leave remotely isolated smarts as energised, therefore want zero advances validated for settlement.
British Gas	How is your organisation impacted? – Additional checks for remotely read meters. What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Minimal cost. Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes Any other comments? – No.
EDF Energy	How is your organisation impacted? – If responsibility for checking for ‘clock-drift’ moves from NHHDC to Supplier changes would need to be made to our Supplier systems and processes. What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – At this stage we are unable to provide detail on associated costs for delivering this change. Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes Any other comments? – No.
E.ON	How is your organisation impacted? – As a Supplier and NHHDC. What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – It is not clear if the change moves the obligation from NHHDC to Supplier or ensures that where a Supplier chooses to directly read the Meter they carry out the same checks. The redlining suggests the latter but the proposal suggests the former.

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1417	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	If it is the latter and the obligations are only where the supplier chooses to read the meter directly, suppliers could defer the need to implement changes until the DCC is introduced. The changes required at this point would be significant. We are unable to cost the change at this point as we would need to carry out extensive analysis to fully understand the extent of the change. We know that as well as core systems, a number of associated processes would be impacted. We would estimate that the change would fall into a medium sized project Agree with the implementation approach? – No. This change requires significant review and analysis of processes before the full extent of the modifications can be understood. We understand that other consequential changes have been agreed for the February release, but can see no reason why these CP's have to be implemented at the same time. We would prefer CP1415, CP1416 and this CP to be implemented in the November release in time for the DCC go live. Given the volume of change currently to support smart, this would give time to analyse fully the requirements and implement changes. Any other comments? – Agree with change - Where a supplier is in direct contact with the meter for reads, the NHHDC cannot be responsible for the checks associated with the readings.
IMServ Europe Ltd	How is your organisation impacted? – As an NHHDC we have to make sure we validate appropriate readings and zero advances on remotely disabled sites. What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – None Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes Any other comments? – No comments.
RWE npower	Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1417	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	Any other comments? – No direct impacts on NHH MOP systems or processes. Agree that it's important that Supplier performs all the validation checks and only asks MOP to investigate genuine faults. So the fact the meter is disabled; this will result in no advances and the NHHDC (like the MOP) won't have any knowledge the meter is disabled in order to put this all into context. Npower have previously recommended to ELEXON that D0001 flows should no longer be sent from NHHDC to MOP on any perceived meter fault and that Supplier should undertake all the checks before any wasted visits. Again as MOP if we knew the meter was disabled; this would give us more knowledge.
ScottishPower	How is your organisation impacted? – We would need to put in place processes that would allow pre-validation checks to take place. What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – No comment. Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes Any other comments? – Suppliers should be permitted to carry out pre-validation checks as this would allow the Supplier to address any issues prior to using the smart meter to obtain information.
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	How is your organisation impacted? – As a Supplier. What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – None. Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – No. Implementing this change in February 2016 would be a more sensible approach. There are a high number of changes being implemented in February 2015, many of which can be justified. In this case, CP1415, CP1416 and 1417 could be implemented in February 2016 without detrimentally impacting the Smart programme. We would question whether these changes need to be live for the testing and Initial Live Operation phase of the DCC.

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1417	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	Any other comments? – No comments.
TMA Data Management Ltd	How is your organisation impacted? – Processes/system. What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Medium cost. Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes, the Smart Metering related changes must be implemented at the same time. Any other comments? – No comments.

No comments were received on the proposed redlined text for CP1417.