

Change Proposal Circular – CPC00725 Responses

CPC00725: Impact Assessment of CP1389

Summary of Responses for CPC1389:

ORGANISATION	Western Power Distribution	ScottishPower	TMA Data Management Ltd	British Gas	Power Data Associates Ltd	Southern Electric Power Distribution & Scottish Hydro Power Distribution	Electricity North West Limited	Npower
Agree with the change?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Impacted?	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Cost?	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Imp Date	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1389	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
Western Power Distribution	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? We support the CP as it removes an obligation that serves no useful purpose.</p>
ScottishPower	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? Given there is no impact on the settlement process, the use of the Lux Meter appears to be superfluous, however we also agree that it should remain as an option should users wish to utilise it in future. ScottishPower understand that the Lux Meter situation was discussed and agreed at UMSUG 108 prior to going to SVG, and we concur with the findings of both UMSUG and SVG.</p>
TMA Data Management Ltd	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? If the Lux Meter data is not used in settlement, there is no need to have the requirements for Lux Meter mandated by the BSC</p>
British Gas	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? No</p>
Power Data Associates Ltd	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – Yes</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Operating as a Meter Administrator we need to ensure that PECU Arrays are compliant with the BSC requirements.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? The light meter is not used in settlement calculations; therefore it should not be a BSC requirement which</p>

	adds unnecessary costs on stakeholders. Complies with Government and Ofgem principles of 'better regulation' by only seeking to regulate essential items.
Southern Electric Power Distribution & Scottish Hydro Power	Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes Any other comments? No
Electricity North West Limited	Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes Any other comments? Agree with the change because the Lux Meter is not required for settlement calculation. It removes the requirement of a Lux Meter to be included in a PECU Array minimum specification, but a Lux Meter can still be installed if required by the MA there is no requirement to change the PECU Array.
Npower	Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes Any other comments? Agree with the change because we see the Lux Meter as non-essential to Settlements and therefore reference to it should be removed from BSCP520 (section 4.5.2.1)

Summary of Comments on BSCP redlining

Organisation	Document name & location	Comment	ELEXON's recommendation
There were no comments from respondents on the proposed BSCP504 redlining.			