

| | |
|--|---|
| <p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</p> | <p>CP No: 539 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p> |
| <p>Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Disregard Historic ECV Data for on the Day ECVNs</p> | |
| <p>Description of Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Energy Contract Volumes within Energy Contract Volume Notifications, and Metered Volume Reallocations within Metered Volume Reallocation Notifications, pertaining to Settlement Periods for which Gate Closure has already occurred should be disregarded by ECVAA when processing such Notifications.</p> <p><i>This CP was raised from NCR345</i></p> | |
| <p>Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> For Energy Contract Volume Notifications (regardless of whether they are additive or overwrite) covering a single day – i.e. the Effective from Date is the same as the Effective to Date, Energy Contract Volumes within that Notification which pertain to Settlement Periods for which Gate Closure has already passed will be disregarded by ECVAA.</p> <p>For Metered Volume Reallocation Notifications (regardless of whether they are additive or overwrite) covering a single day – i.e. the Effective from Date is the same as the Effective to Date, Metered Volume Reallocations within that Notification which pertain to Settlement Periods for which Gate Closure has already passed will be disregarded by ECVAA.</p> <p>This should be the case for all such Notifications, i.e. this is not Participant specific, nor will there be a functionality to enable 'opting' out by specific Participants.</p> <p>There will not be a specific confirmation to the ECVNA (or Counterparties), nor to the MVRNA (or Lead / Subsidiary Party) that such data has been disregarded.</p> <p>It should be noted that this is an interim solution to the issue of Historic Overwrite and that this change may be superseded in the longer term by the enduring solution.</p> | |
| <p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> There is some inconsistency between ECVAA and the manner in which ECVNAs and MVRNAs resubmit Energy Contract Volume Notifications (ECVNs) or Metered Volume Reallocation Notifications (MVRNs) for which Gate Closure for some of the Settlement Periods has passed (i.e. notifications submitted on the Effective From Date or after 20:30 on the day before). Under these circumstances, the ECVAA system requires that the energy volumes for those periods for which Gate Closure has passed at the time of the receipt of the submission – widely referred to as "historic data" – are consistent with the previously submitted (and not rejected) energy volumes. In particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For an overwrite of an existing notification, any data for periods that have passed Gate Closure must be consistent with the notification already stored on the ECVAA system. <p>This inconsistency has, on a number of occasions, caused ECVNs and MVRNs to be rejected in situations where the ECVNA / MVRNA has been unable to make notifications consistent with previous submissions. As the BSC does not specify that notifications should be rejected under these circumstances, ELEXON has implemented manual workarounds to ensure the notifications were processed correctly. However, these workarounds are operationally difficult and potentially</p> | |

prone to error, and a change to the ECVAAs system is therefore seen as a high priority

| | |
|---|--|
| Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 2) | CP No: <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i> |
| Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) (optional by BSCCo) None | |
| Impact on Core Industry Documents (optional by originator) None | |
| Related Changes and/or Projects (mandatory by BSCCo) None | |
| Originator's Details: BCA Name Organisation Email Address Date [BSC Panel Representative] Organisation | |
| Attachments: N* | |

