

<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</p>	<p>CP No: 725 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p>Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Enduring Solution for Historical Overwrite in ECVAA</p>	
<p>Description of Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>CP539 was raised in order to implement an interim solution to the Historical Overwrite issue within ECVAA. CP 539 proposed that historical information (i.e. data for Settlement Periods for which Gate Closure has already passed) within 'on the day' Energy Contract Volume Notifications be disregarded, with no notification to participants of the specifics of the data disregarded in this way.</p> <p>For a complete enduring solution, it is proposed that ECVAA be amended to ensure that all data within any submitted Notification, ECVN and MVRN, for Settlement Periods for which Gate Closure has already passed, at the deemed time of receipt, should be disregarded, whether the notification applies within day, or to a range of dates.</p> <p>The associated Counterparties to the notification and the submitting Notification Agent would receive a feedback report from ECVAA indicating which data, if any, has been disregarded. The Acceptance feedback Report (developed and implemented under Alternative Modification P4 as part of the BSC Systems Release 2 Project) will contain the Settlement Date and Settlement Period from which the Notification became effective, thus enabling a Party and Notification Agent to determine which portion of data has, if any, been disregarded. This therefore meets the requirements of the enduring reporting requirements of this Change Proposal.</p>	
<p>Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>On receipt of valid Energy Contract Volume Notifications and Metered Volume Reallocation Notifications, ECVAA will determine which data, if any, in the submission is historical, i.e. pertaining to Settlement Periods for which Gate Closure has already passed and will disregard this data.</p>	
<p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>The Balancing and Settlement Code does not specify that notifications should be rejected in entirety if data is present for Settlement Periods for which Gate Closure has passed where that data is not consistent with the data already present in ECVAA for the affected Settlement Periods. Therefore this Change Proposal offers an enduring solution to the interim solution defined in CP 539, by extending the interim solution to all notifications across all date ranges (not just within day ECVNs, as proposed for the interim solution). The full justification for the change is documented in that Change Proposal.</p>	

<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 2)</p>	<p>CP No: 725 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i></p> <p>None.</p>	
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i></p> <p>None.</p>	
<p>Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p> <p>Change Proposal CP 539 'Disregard Historic ECV Data for on the Day ECVNs'.</p> <p>Alternative Modification P4, 'Enhanced ECVA Reporting' with the supporting Requirements Specification (015AAR V2.0).</p> <p>ELEXON BSC System Release 2 Project.</p>	
<p><i>Originator's Details:</i></p> <p><i>BCA Name</i>.....</p> <p><i>Organisation</i>.....</p> <p><i>Email Address</i>.....</p> <p><i>Date</i>.....</p> <p><i>[BSC Panel Representative]</i>.....</p> <p><i>Organisation</i>.....</p>	
<p>Attachments: N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i></p>	