

<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</p>	<p>CP No: 616 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<hr/>	
<p>Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Service Levels for the Reporting of data to NGC by Logica</p>	
<p>Description of Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>See NCRs 336 and 248 attached</p> <p>NGC requires information from flow SAA-I014 to calculate the TSUoS charges for participants.</p> <p>In order to meet their commitments under BSCP01 NGC require the SF (Initial Settlement), RF (Reconciliation) and D (Disputes) versions of this flow by 06:00 on the relevant day (e.g D+17 in the case of SF) to enable the appropriate billing reports to be generated and sent to FAA by 12:00. NGC also requires the II (Interim Initial) version of SAA-I014 by 12:00 on the relevant day to enable the draft bills to be processed and sent to participants by 17:00.</p> <p>The current settlement timetable only requires Logica to produce the report on a particular day and send it to NGC with no time constraint on arrival. This is not sufficiently stringent to ensure that NGC can meet their obligations</p>	
<p>Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>See NCRs 336 and 248 attached</p> <p>Possible change to BSCP01.</p>	
<p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Enables NGC to meet their obligations under BSCP01</p>	

<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 2)</p>	<p>CP No: <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i></p>	
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i> None</p>	
<p>Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>	
<p>Originator's Details:</p> <p>BCA Name: <i>David Osborne</i></p> <p>Organisation: ELEXON</p> <p>Email Address:</p> <p>Date:</p> <p>[BSC Panel Representative]:</p> <p>Organisation:</p>	
<p>Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i></p>	

NETA Programme Change Request Form

Change Request ID: NCR336

Change Request Name:

1.1.1.1.Priority

Service Level Agreement Review for NGC Reporting Links

Critical for Go live

Desirable for Go Live

Not needed for Go Live

Identified By: NGC

Date Submitted: 14-02-01

Description of Proposed Change:

The impact assessment received from Logica in response to Change Request NCR 248 (Changes to SAA processing schedule) stated,

“We can change our operational schedule to facilitate the earlier running of the relevant jobs to provide the information to the NGC. This change, along with the line upgrade, would put us in a position where we expect to hit the deadlines defined in NCR248 not only under normal operational circumstances, but also in most exceptional cases.

We are not currently in a position where we can commit to a service level for this. If we were to go down that route there would have to be a costly and time consuming exercise undertaken to review the whole system in the areas of capacity planning, service level management and current service levels, costs, staffing profiles and shift patterns, operational planning and risk assessment. We do not believe that this would be possible prior to Go-Live at this stage in the programme.

There is a large amount of effort required to do this work and, given the proximity of the Go-Live date, we cannot currently commit to a date for completing this which is prior to Go-Live.”

As stated at the IRG when Change Request NCR248 was approved, we request that a review of this Service Level and commitment to a Service Level takes place immediately after Go Live, or before if Logica have the requisite resource, or if the NETA Programme test-phase reveals that data delivery times are unreasonable.

Reason for Proposed Change (Benefits) and/or Implications of not making the Change:

Initial Recommendation to Programme Exec:

Final Recommendation to Programme Exec:

Impact Assessment(s):

Deliverables Impacted:

Effort/Cost Impact:

CDA

Business Process Model
NETA Data File Catalogue

Overall Design

LRCA (Jackie Moran)

Balancing & Settlement Code

BSC Procedures

Core Documents

Other BSC Subsidiary Docs

Consistency Check		
Transition Mgmt		
MIT		
Qualification Testing		
Pre-production		
Implementation		
Cutover		
Logica (Dave Welland)		
URS		
System Specs		
IDDs		
Test Specs & Scripts		
System software		
Comms infrastructure		
EPFAL (Dave Welland)		
URS		
System Specs		
IDDs		
Test Specs & Scripts		
System software		
NGC (Derek Walker)		
Interfaces		
System Build		
Comms infrastructure		
System Testing		
Implementation		
External Participants		
NIPS (Sandy Blows)		
CEO (Sandy Blows)		
MRASCo (Nuriye Dillow)		
BtFO/ELEXON		

Baseline Document Impact Table:

List of all baseline documents impacted by this NCR

Product	Version	Expected Completion Date	Time/Cost Impact

NETA Programme Change Request Form			
Change Request ID: NCR248			
Change Request Name:		1.1.1.2.Priority	
SAA Processing Schedule		Critical for Go live	Desirable for Go Live
Identified By: Alan Bateman		Date Submitted: 31 October 2000	
<p>Description of Proposed Change:</p> <p>NGC requires information from flow SAA-I014 to calculate the TSUoS charges for participants.</p> <p>In order to meet their commitments under BSCP01 NGC require the SF (Initial Settlement), RF (Reconciliation) and D (Disputes) versions of this flow by 06:00 on the relevant day (e.g D+17 in the case of SF) to enable the appropriate billing reports to be generated and sent to FAA by 12:00. NGC also requires the II (Interim Initial) version of SAA-I014 by 12:00 on the relevant day to enable the draft bills to be processed and sent to participants by 17:00.</p> <p>The current settlement timetable only requires Logica to produce the report on a particular day and send it to NGC with no time constraint on arrival. This is not sufficiently stringent to ensure that NGC can meet their obligations.</p> <p>A commitment is needed from Logica to produce the SF SAA-I014 settlement reports, and transmit them to NGC within the timescales necessary. The schedule will need to ensure that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. All Initial Settlement, Final Reconciliation and Disputes variants of this flow arrive at NGC by 05:45 on the day in question b. The Interim Initial and the remaining variants at NGC by 12:00 on the day in question <p>The sequence numbers of the flows will reflect the order in which the files are sent to NGC (e.g. if the first file sent has a sequence number of 00000001, the second file will have a sequence number of 00000002 and so on).</p> <p>This change request seeks Logica's commitment to accepting a processing schedule which will enable these files to be delivered by these times. A separate change request requests the necessary bandwidth upgrades for the links to Nottingham and Park St to support the data volumes required.</p>			
<p>Reason for Proposed Change (Benefits) and/or Implications of not making the Change:</p> <p>If this change is not implemented then NGC will not be able to meet their obligations to produce TSUoS reports to participants.</p>			
Initial Recommendation to Programme Exec:		Final Recommendation to Programme Exec:	
Accept			

Impact Assessment(s):	Deliverables Impacted:				Effort/Cost Impact:	
CDA						
Business Process Model NETA Data File Catalogue (Clive Cushen)						
Overall Design (Keith Messenger)						
LRCA (Jackie Moran)						
Balancing & Settlement Code						
BSC Procedures						
Core Documents						
Other BSC Subsidiary Docs						
Consistency Check						
Transition Mgmt						
MIT						
Qualification Testing						
Pre-production						
Implementation						
Cutover						
Logica (Stewart Gardiner)						
URS						
System Specs						
IDDs						
Test Specs & Scripts						
System software						
Comms infrastructure						
EPFAL (Stewart Gardiner)						
URS						
System Specs						
IDDs						
Test Specs & Scripts						
System software						
NGC (Derek Walker)	Refer to conclusion of PDO CDA (Alan Bateman) investigation in memo "NGC Reporting Bandwidth Requirements", dated 19 October 2000					
Interfaces						
System Build						
Comms infrastructure						
System Testing						
Implementation						
External Participants						
NIPS						
ELEXON (Sandy Blows)						
MRASCo (Nuriye Dillow)						
Status						
		Rejected	Withdrawn	Approved for Impact Assessment	Authorised for Implementation	Implemented

--	--	--	--	--	--	--