

| | |
|--|---|
| <p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</p> | <p>CP No: 699 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p> |
| <p>Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Inconsistent approach to the timing of the provision of the routine performance monitoring reports in SVAA SSL300 and SSL310.</p> | |
| <p>Description of Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> SVAA SSL300 'Supplier Volume Allocation' and SSL310 'Daily Profile Production' outline the obligations on the SVAA relating to the processing of Supplier Volume Allocation (SVA) and Daily Profile Production (DPP). Section 4 'Service Levels' requires that the SVAA provides the BSCCo with routine performance monitoring reports, commonly referred to as 'SVAA Monthly Service Report'. The timescale by which this information should be provided is inconsistent as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSL300, clause 4.1.2 refers to 'three Working Days (3 WDs)'. • SSL310, clause 4.1.3 refers to 'five Working Days (3wd)' (sic). <p>The SVAA are actually providing the routine performance monitoring data to BSCCo within five (5) Working Days of the end of the month. This is acceptable to ELEXON and therefore needs to be reflected in the two Service Lines.</p> | |
| <p>Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> There are two changes proposed to SSL300 and SSL310:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modify clauses 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 respectively so that the timescale is changed to '5 Working Days (5 WDs)'. 2. Delete 'performance monitoring' in clauses 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 respectively and rename title of corresponding Appendix 2 from 'Performance Monitoring Reports' to 'SVAA Monthly Service Report'. <p><i>Note: The performance monitoring data, is provided by the SVAA to BSCCo via SSL370 'SVA Agent Miscellaneous Services' (section 1.13). There is no impact on this Service Line as a result of this proposal.</i></p> <p>These are opportune changes and should be implemented when other significant changes are being incorporated.</p> | |
| <p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> SSL300 and SSL310 contain the evidence that an inconsistency exists.</p> <p>Cap Gemini have identified the timing inconsistency issue but it's not causing them any problems.</p> | |
| <p>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i> None</p> | |

| |
|---|
| Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i> None |
| Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i> None |
| Originator's Details: BCA Name Organisation Email Address Date [BSC Panel Representative] Organisation |
| Attachments: N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i> |