

<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</p>	<p align="center">CP No: 878 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p>Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Removal of Requirement in BSCP71 to give notification of superseded ECVNA/MVRNA Authorisations</p>	
<p>Description of Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>BSCP71 v4.0, sections 3.1.5 and 3.2.5 state that, where an ECVNA or MVRNA Authorisation request is received by the ECVAA that supersedes an Authorisation already contained within the system, the ECVAA shall, in its acceptance of the request to the relevant Parties and Party Agents, confirm that the old Authorisation has been superseded.</p> <p>At present, the ECVAA system does not produce this confirmation and so there is no match for the process in the Service Description or the URS. However, the ECVAA does comply with the higher-level requirements of the BSC in ensuring that the relevant Parties are notified of any Authorisations that have ceased to be effective, regardless of whether they have been superseded or terminated outright (BSC Section P 2.2.4).</p> <p>This CP would serve to close the issue raised in CP580 (Update of Logica ECVAA Service Description).</p>	
<p>Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>The ECVAA requirement to give notification of superseded Authorisations should be removed from Sections 3.1.5 and 3.2.5 of BSCP71.</p>	
<p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>ELEXON believe that the need for the ECVAA to confirm each superseded Authorisation is superfluous as there is enough information available in the present set of reports to participants to check which Authorisations are in effect at any time.</p> <p>Sections P 2.2.4 and P 3.2.4 of the BSC only require that notification be sent to participants where an Authorisation ceases to be effective, and does not make a distinction with regard to superseded Authorisations.</p>	
<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 2)</p>	<p align="center">CP No: 878 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i></p> <p>None other than BSCP71.</p>	
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i></p> <p>None</p>	
<p>Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p> <p>CP580 raised the inconsistency between the ECVAA Service Description (and hence the URS) and BSCP71, and proposed that the ECVAA service be aligned with the requirements of the BSCP.</p>	

Originator's Details:

Name : Stephen Francis

Organisation: ELEXON

Email Address: steve.francis@elexon.co.uk

Date: 18/10/02

Attachments: N

(delete as appropriate)