

<b>Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</b>	<b>CP No: 890</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<p><b>Title</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Clarification of use of data from a previous appointment by NHHDC</p>	
<p><b>Description of Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Some NHHDCs have been deeming the Change of Supplier (CoS) readings during a coincident COS/CoA using historical data from an earlier appointment (i.e. not the appoint immediately preceding the CoS/CoA) to the Metering System where the old agent has failed to provide the meter history. In this situation the NHHDC will be unaware of any changes to the metering system that have occurred during the old agents registration and the data being used to calculate the deemed reading will be out of date resulting in questionable readings.</p> <p>This has caused inaccurate consumption to enter Settlements (sometimes contributing to the excessively large EAC/AA issue) where the new Agent holds out of date information.</p> <p>This can also cause an inaccurate final read for the old Supplier, an inaccurate starting read for the new Supplier and an inaccurate read for the new NHHDC to use for future validation.</p> <p>One reason new NHHDCs have been using data from a previous appointment is due to delays in the old NHHDC sending the meter reading and historical data (D0010 and D0152). These should be sent prior to SSD+8. However, it is much better for the new NHHDC to request their Supplier obtain these flows than to use data from a previous appointment.</p>	
<p><b>Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>BSCP504 3.2.6.11 states that on Change of Supplier, if no CoS read has been obtained by SSD+8, the new NHHDC should:</p> <p>Calculate a deemed meter reading for the SSD, based on the historic data provided by the old NHHDC (where applicable) and the METD provided by the MOA.</p> <p>A footnote is required to clarify, as follows:</p> <p><i>The new NHHDC should not use data from a previous appointment to calculate the deemed meter reading unless the agent has had contiguous appointments up to the deemed reading date (CoS Date).</i></p>	

<p><b>Justification for Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Clarify correct process for deeming a read on CoS. Use of an incorrect process has been allowing inaccurate data to enter Settlements.</p>	
<p><b>Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 2)</b></p>	<p><b>CP No: 890</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p><b>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i></p>	
<p><b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i></p>	
<p><b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>	
<p><b>Originator's Details:</b></p> <p><i>BCA Name</i>            <i>Beth Brown</i></p> <p><i>Organisation</i>        <i>ELEXON</i></p> <p><i>Email Address</i>        <i>beth.brown@elexon.co.uk</i></p> <p><i>Date</i></p> <p><i>[BSC Panel Representative].....</i></p> <p><i>Organisation.....</i></p>	
<p>Attachments: N            (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....)</p> <p><i>(delete as appropriate)</i></p>	