

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1017</b>  <b>Version No: 1.0</b>
<b>Title</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> <b>SAA and SVAA Settlement Calendars</b>	
<b>Description of Problem/Issue</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  <p>The SAA and the SVAA are both required to develop appropriate Calendars detailing their relevant settlement activities, based on information from the FAA Payment Calendar and taking into account each other's specific requirements, e.g. the SVAA Volume Allocation Run and the SAA Settlement Run.</p> <p>Up until 2002 ELEXON produced the SVAA Calendar on behalf of the SVA Agent due to there being a number of remaining Volume Allocation Runs required for pre-NETA Settlement Days. When the SVAA took over production of its Calendar for 2003 ELEXON experienced difficulties in reconciling the key dates within the two Calendars, having been developed largely independent of each other. A key dependency (the SVAA Volume Allocation Run having to take place at least 1WD prior to an SAA Settlement Run), was not maintained correctly and required a late revision to the Calendars in order to ensure the timescales would be met.</p> <p>Reconciling the two Calendars is difficult as the SAA Settlement Calendar uses the Settlement Day as its reference point for specific milestones, whereas the SVAA Calendar uses the FAA Payment Date as its primary reference. As a number of the key milestones are flexible (within a few Working Days) there is always potential for the SAA and SVAA to produce inconsistent Calendars. An additional complication is that different terminology is used between the SAA and SVAA for the same actions, i.e. 'Notification Date' rather than 'FAA Notification Date' and 'SVAA' rather than 'SSR Run Date'.</p> <p>ELEXON has been requested by SVG to investigate ways in which these problems may be resolved.</p>	
<b>Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>There are a number of potential solutions available:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b><u>Create a 'Super Calendar'</u></b>  There are only two dates contained in the SVAA Calendar that do not feature in the SAA Calendar: 'DA Run Date' and SVA Notification Date'. Furthermore, while the SVAA Calendar ends with the FAA Payment Date, the SVA Calendar contains a further five dates. (See attachment)  A potential solution is to abolish the separate SVAA Calendar and include the DA Run Date and SVA Notification Date in the SAA Calendar. This would mean the responsibility for producing the Settlement Calendar would rest with the SAA, who would need to carefully consider the requirements of the SVAA when determining the relevant dates. In turn the SVAA would timetable its activities based upon each</li> </ol>	

## Change Proposal – F40/01

CP No: 1017

Version No: 1.0

Settlement Date rather than the FAA Payment Date.

**2. Adjust the FAA Payment Date**

BSCP01 allows the FAA Payment Date to be set at either 20 or 21WD from the Settlement Date. ELEXON could insist that the Payment Date is always set to 21WD, thus providing the SVAA with greater flexibility when processing the SF Run.

**3. Relax SF Run Date Requirement to SD+16WD**

Currently the SF Run Date is required to be set at +15WD from the Settlement Date or -5WD from the Payment Date. Relaxing these dates to SD+16WD and T-4WD would be another method of providing the SVAA with greater flexibility for the SF Run.

**4. Modify terminology in SAA Calendar**

Some of the current confusion can be remedied by modifying the 'SVAA' and 'Notification Date' items in the SAA Calendar to be consistent with that of the SVAA. However on its own this will not change the process by which the Calendars are produced and so inconsistencies may still occur.

Option 1 would be the most effective long-term solution but would also have the greatest impact, especially on the SAA, and so one of the alternatives may be preferred.

**Justification for Change** (*mandatory by originator*)

To reduce the risk of inconsistencies between the SAA and SVAA Settlement Calendars.

**Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)** (*optional by Originator*)

BSCP01, BSCP509, SAA Service Description

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1017</b>  <b>Version No: 1.0</b>
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
<b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>	
<b>Requested Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  <b>Reason:</b>	
<b>Agreed Release/Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>	
<b>Originator's Details:</b>  <b>BCA Name</b> .....  <b>Organisation</b> <i>ELEXON</i> .....  <b>Email Address</b> .....  <b>Date</b> <i>21/10/03</i> .....	
<b>Attachments:</b> Y/N*      (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	