

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1026</b>  <b>Version No: v1.0</b>
<b>Title (mandatory by originator) Issues associated with the registration of Metering Systems on existing “New Distribution Networks” and transfer to P62 compliant arrangements</b>	
<b>Description of Problem/Issue (mandatory by originator)</b>  <p>Prior to the implementation of Modification P62 there were already a number of existing Metering Systems on networks not owned by the former Host PES distributor, but which were registered in its SMRS under an arrangement known as “Option 1a”. The implementation of P62 requires these Metering Systems to be migrated to a different SMRS (in the case where the LDSO already has such a service) and in all cases the creation of a new Metering System Identifier (“MPAN”) and the termination of the old one (in the old SMRS). This process has been described as a “virtual Disconnection/Reconnection”, i.e. a combination of Disconnection and New Connection processes. However, the transfer of Metering Systems between Distribution businesses (which this effectively is, as far as the industry process is concerned) was specifically excluded from the scope of Modification P62.</p> <p>The problem is that the existing processes for Disconnection and New Connection rely on certain physical initiating events, such as the physical disconnection of a Metering System, and also do not provide for the robust transfer of information between SMRSs or Supplier and Agent systems. This could result in different market participants using different and incompatible processes, which could result in Metering Systems not being taken into account in Settlement or duplicated. We believe that significant changes to Supplier and Agent Systems may be necessary in order to facilitate this process, and the requirements for these need to be defined. There are particular issues associated with Change of Supplier or Change of Agents coincident with the migration process, which need to be supported, which need to be addressed.</p>	
<b>Proposed Solution(s) (mandatory by originator)</b>  <p>Possible solutions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Creation of a new BSCP to define the process(es) for migrating Metering Systems on existing embedded and directly connected distribution networks that are not part of the “Host” distribution network to P62 compliant arrangements. This needs to clearly identify responsibility for co-ordinating the handover from one SMRS to the other. It is suggested that BSCCo would be the most appropriate body to fulfil the role of P62 Migration Co-ordinator (as for ERS-PRS migration and SMRS-CMRS transfers).</li> <li>2) (preferred) Adopt “Option 1a” as the approach for registering Metering Systems on new or out of area networks. This would require an obligation on the LDSO responsible for the principal network in any GSP Group to provide the SMRS for other LDSOs operating within that GSP Group, which would require a Code Modification. This approach would have the advantage of being able to cater robustly for changes of Distribution Network ownership. However, a BSCP may be required for migrating Metering Systems which have already been registered under P62 arrangements to this more robust enduring solution.</li> </ol> <p>The relevant obligations on Suppliers responsible for affected Metering Systems should be suspended pending resolution of this issue.</p>	

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1026</b>  <b>Version No: v1.0</b>
<b>Justification for Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  To protect the integrity of Settlement and minimise the risk of Disputes due to Metering Systems being incorrectly migrated.  The removal of these risks/uncertainties will also facilitate competition in supply for customers on these networks.  The materiality of the issue may now be greater than originally assumed, particularly if there are changes in ownership of licensed distribution networks, and the lack of a robust defined process could create a significant BSC Audit issue.	
<b>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(optional by Originator)</i>  New BSCP, possible impacts on other BSCPs (e.g. BSCPs 511 and 512) and associated documents.  (Since new obligations which would be created by a new BSCP, and these were clearly not envisaged by Modification P62, clause F 3.1.2 of the Code may mean that a Modification Proposal is required.)	
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>  Potential impact on MRA, DTC	
<b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>  	
<b>Requested Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  As soon as possible  <b>Reason:</b>  To define requirements so that affected Suppliers can achieve compliance by the earliest practicable date.	
<b>Agreed Release/Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>  	

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	CP No: 1026 Version No: v1.0
<i>Originator's Details:</i> <i>BCA Name</i> ..... <i>Organisation...Npower Ltd</i> ..... <i>Email Address</i> ..... <i>Date 21<sup>st</sup> November 2003</i> .....	
Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	

