

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1023 Version No: 1.0
Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Inconsistent use of terminology in the TAA SD	
Description of Problem/Issue <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are a number of instances of inconsistent use of terminology relating to Market Participants in the TAA Service Description: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Section 3.3.9 of the TAA Service Description (Version 3.0¹) states: <p>“Each person conducting a Technical Assurance Visit shall hold a Certificate of Competence issued by or recognised by the Registrant, relevant Distribution Business, or Generator who is responsible for the Meter.”</p> <p>However, there is no reference to Generators in any of the Forms included in Appendix H of the TAA SD.</p> ii) Appendix F of the TAA SD states that the following Notice is included in Appendix H of the TAA SD: <p>“Notification to Supplier for technical assurance visit”</p> <p>However, the notice makes no mention of “Supplier”, but refers to “Registrant” instead.</p> iii) The “Inspection Schedule for Metering Systems in CRA” forms included in Appendix H of the TAA SD state that the problem is to be rectified by “MO/Dist. Bus./TAA/Supplier”. <p>The term “Registrant” is not used in this section.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In addition there are some basic errors in the formatting of the document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> iv) Appendix F is followed by Appendix H, before you get to Appendix G. v) Pages 17 and 18 specify six forms to be found within Appendix G. Only two of the forms, ‘Site Inspection Schedule’ and ‘Certification’ are actually in Appendix G. The other four are within Appendix H. vi) The general appearance of the document is poor. Forms are often poorly labelled with headers that either do not match the specified titles of these forms in earlier Appendices or are entirely absent. There is an inconsistent use of typefaces in the titles of the Appendices (ie Appendices F, G and H titles are mutually inconsistent in the use of capitalisation and possibly also font size). 	

¹ Note that some of the terminology used is being amended by P62

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1023 Version No: 1.0
Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> A review of the TAA Service Description should be carried out with a view to updating the document to use meaningful and consistent terminology throughout. A quality check on the formatting of the document should also be undertaken, to ensure any problems with the document layout are also corrected.	
Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> <i>A review comment on version 3.1 of the TAA SD (including P62 rebadging) requested that the instance of "Supplier" in ii) above be changed to "LDSO". However, when advice was sought on this from ELEXON consultants, it became clear that terminology relating to Market Participants was used inconsistently throughout the document.</i>	
Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by Originator)</i>	
Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>	

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1023 Version No: 1.0
Requested Implementation Date (mandatory by originator) Reason:	
Agreed Release/Implementation Date (mandatory by BSCCo)	
Originator's Details: BCA Name Organisation Email Address Date 10/11/03.....	
Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	