

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1033 Version No: 1.0
Title Discrepancy between D0269 and D0270 flows	
<p>Description of Problem/Issue</p> <p>The D0269 flow is the 'Market Domain Data Complete Set', whereas the D00270 is the 'Market Domain Data Incremental Set'. Participants in receipt of MDD should be able to load a D0270 flow on top of a D0269 flow and still have the complete set of MDD. Because the D0270 only contains the incremental updates rather than the entire data set, the processing time of loading a D0270 rather than a D0269 is improved.</p> <p>There is a discrepancy between the D0269 and D0270 files, specifically in the MTC TPR Count field. In the D0269 file the field is populated however in the D0270 file the field remains unpopulated. The fact that the flows are slightly different means that loading a D0270 flow on top of a D0269 flow will not have exactly the same effect as loading a new D0269.</p>	
<p>Proposed Solution(s) (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>The MDDM system should be enhanced to populate the 'MTC TPR Count' field on the D0270 as it is on the D0269.</p>	
<p>Justification for Change (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>Update from initial investigation: The TPR Count is a derived field and is present in the MPA record in both the D0269 and the D0270 flow. In the D0270 flow, this field is always set to Null. This is consistent with the Design Functional Specification v3.0 as agreed with ELEXON. For further detail see PMR 40601.</p> <p>This issue was raised in NHHTAG paper 13/79 in November 1999. It was noted as a problem at the time but a decision was taken by the NHHTAG not to delay the implementation of MTC in MDD. Instead the NHHTAG recommended that a Settlement Issue Report (SIR) be raised and the problem fixed at a later date.</p>	
<p>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) (optional by Originator)</p>	

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1033 Version No: 1.0
Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>	
Requested Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
Reason:	
Agreed Release/Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>	
Originator's Details:	
BCA Name... Andrew Hudson (On behalf of Phillip Kluver - TGPL).....	
Organisation... ELEXON.....	
Email Address... andrew.hudson@elexon.com.....	
Date... 02/02/04.....	
Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	