

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1036
<p>Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Rejection Flow for D0052</p>	
<p>Description of Problem/Issue <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Non Half Hourly Data Collectors (NHHDC) are required (Party Service Line PSL120 sections 1.3.1.2 & 1.4.1.3) to validate the details associated with each Metering System received from a Supplier. The validation specifically refers to the attributes contained within the 'Affirmation of Metering System Settlement Details' (D0052) flow.</p> <p>In practice many D0052 flows fail validation (estimated by one Supplier at a D0052 Workshop hosted by ELEXON in August 2003 to be 10% to 15%), but there is no formal mechanism for NHHDCs to notify Suppliers that a D0052 has not been processed and the reason why.</p> <p>Some Suppliers and NHHDCs are operating workarounds (such as the use of email and fax) to notify failed D0052 flows, but these workarounds can be inefficient and manually intensive. Other Suppliers are unaware how many D0052 flows are being rejected and are unable to take corrective action, where required.</p>	
<p>Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Introduce an obligation on NHHDCs to notify Suppliers of rejected D0052s and:-</p> <p>Option 1 – introduce a new rejection flow for this purpose, or:</p> <p>Option 2 – use the existing 'Request for Metering System Related Details (D0170)' flow to request a revised D0052.</p> <p>See Attachment A for details of the proposed changes.</p>	
<p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>The D0052 flow is a significant flow in terms of Suppliers notifying NHHDCs of settlement details and Initial EAC values. It is designed to ensure that the correct details are used in profiling and aggregation. The D0052 also has a key role in the annual review of Profile Classes (BSCP516), resolving Default EACs and Non Half Hourly Data Aggregation exceptions (as reported on the D0095).</p> <p>The timely identification of validation errors will facilitate the resolution of these errors and the timely execution of the processes described above. As such a rejection flow for the D0052 will result in improved Settlement data quality, including a reduction in the levels of default data used during the data aggregation process. A universal process for addressing failed D0052 flows will have operational benefits for both Suppliers and NHHDCs and will provide an identified audit trail.</p>	

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1036
<p>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by Originator)</i></p> <p>BSCP504 SVA Data Catalogue</p>	
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i></p> <p>The addition of a new rejection flow will require a change to the Data Transfer Catalogue (DTC).</p>	
<p>Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i></p> <p>This Change Proposal supersedes the following two CPs:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CP924 – Missing requirement on Data Collectors to notify Suppliers of invalid Meter System settlement attributes • CP953 – control over the affirmation of Metering System details (D0052). <p>Related Change Proposals addressing issues with the population, validation and usage of the D0052 flow are:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CP1037 – Timing and validation of D0052 flow • CP1038 – Optional data items in D0052 flow • CP1039 – Use of D0052 flow on change of Supplier and change of NHHDC. 	
<p>Requested Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>As soon as possible. Co-incident with associated Change Proposals 1037, 1038 and 1039. If the option of a new flow is selected, the implementation date will need to be synchronised with the related change to the DTC.</p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>Early implementation will allow the benefits of improved settlement data quality and the cost saving associated with removing manually intensive operational workarounds to be enjoyed sooner rather than later. Change Proposals 1037, 1038 and 1039 are complementary changes.</p>	
<p>Agreed Release/Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>	

Change Proposal – F40/01

CP No: 1036

Originator's Details:

BCA Name.....

Organisation..... **ELEXON**.....

Email Address.....

Date..... **16 December 2003**

Attachments: Y/~~N~~* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:...13.....)
(delete as appropriate)