

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1062</b>  <i>Version No: 2.0</i>
<b>Title Clarification of the use of Defined Terms within BSCP 27</b>	
<b>Description of Problem/Issue</b>	
<p>CP1062 was raised on 18 June 2004 as version 1. The Change Proposal (CP) called for a review of BSCP27 'Technical Assurance of Half Hourly Metering Systems For Settlement Purposes' as it was considered that the terms compliance and non-compliance used within BSCP27 were used liberally and not defined in such a way that provided clarity. It was also thought that the lack of clarity could potentially lead to the possibility of 'legal challenge'.</p> <p>Version 1 of CP1062 was progressed and initially planned for release in Feb 2005 as the clarification required was considered to be minor and non material. However, the later review of BSCP 27 concluded that the definitions of the terms compliance and non-compliance within BSCP27 are not consistent with the Code. This view was further supported by ELEXONs legal team.</p> <p>The Code specifies that the Technical Assurance Agent (TAA) may only find a Metering System non-compliant under given conditions however, BSCP27 implies that the TAA may find either the Metering System, a Party or a Party Agent non-compliant.</p> <p>The recommended changes to BSCP27 (attachment 1) have been drafted on the principle that the terms should be defined in respect of compliance of a Metering System (and therefore capitalised within BSCP27) in accordance with the Code and any reference to a Party or Party Agents compliance to Code obligations are not defined (and therefore not capitalised). The rationale for this principle is given below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Section L7.1 of the Code sets out that the role of the Technical Assurance Agent's (TAA) requirement to monitor compliance of Half Hourly Metering Systems for which a Registrant is responsible and Section L7.5 further specifies the conditions in which the TAA may find a Half Hourly Metering System non-compliant. These are that the TAA shall find a Metering System non-compliant where a Metering System departs from the requirements of the Code and the relevant Code of Practice (CoP), after taking into consideration any applicable Metering Dispensations, and where configurable Metering parameters are not consistent with Meter Technical Details.</li> <li>o The Registrant of a non-compliant Metering System is responsible for its rectification (L7.5.2) however the current definitions of compliance and non-compliance within BSCP27 indicate that the Party, Party Agent or the Metering System is either compliant or non-compliant.</li> </ul> <p>In light of the significance of the review of BSCP27, ELEXON recommended CP1062 v1 be withdrawn from the Feb 05 release through both ISG (ISG/049/003) and SVG (SVG/048/006) on the grounds that this would provide industry with an opportunity to assess the review recommendations. This approach was agreed by both ISG and SVG.</p>	

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1062</b> <i>Version No: 2.0</i>
<p><b>Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>The solution proposed is provided in Appendix 1.</p>	
<p><b>Justification for Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>BSCP27 is currently not consistent with the Code and is ambiguous. The proposed changes will provide clarification with respect to the interpretation of compliance and non-compliance and will make BSCP27 consistent with the Code.</p>	
<p><b>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(optional by Originator)</i></p> <p><i>BSCP 27 - Technical Assurance of Half Hourly Metering Systems for Settlement Purposes</i></p>	
<p><b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i></p>	
<p><b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i></p>	

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1062</b> <i>Version No: 2.0</i>
<b>Requested Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  <i>At the earliest opportunity.</i>  <b>Reason:</b> Prompt implementation limits the risk of legal challenge	
<b>Agreed Release/Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>	
<b>Originator's Details:</b>  <i>BCA Name...Keith Champion .....</i>  <i>Organisation...ELEXON.....</i>  <i>Email Address .....keith.campion@elxon.co.uk .....</i>  <i>Date...01/03/05 .....</i>	
Attachments: Yes      (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:...2.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	