

<b>Change Proposal – BSCP40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1154</b> <b>Version No: 1.0</b>
<b>Title</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Amendment to form BSCP15/4.1 to facilitate registration of standard BM Units.	
<b>Description of Problem/Issue</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  Section K of the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) defines the criteria for the configuration of a Balancing Mechanism (BM) Unit. It then goes on to list a number of configurations which are deemed to comply with the criteria and can be regarded as ‘standard BM Units’ i.e. BM Units whose configuration does not require Panel approval.  BSCP15 ‘BM Unit Registration’ details the procedure for registering a BM Unit and ELEXON is responsible for managing the registration process. When a BM Unit is registered, ELEXON and the Central Data Collection Agent (CDCA) are required to determine whether the BM Unit is standard or a non standard BM Unit that will require Panel approval. This can be a time-consuming process since no indication is given on the registration form (BSCP15/4.1 ‘Registration of BM Unit for a CVA Metering System’) that the registration is for a standard BM Unit and what configuration type it is. An indication to this extent would go some way to decreasing the time spent on checking BM Unit configurations.	
<b>Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  There are five standard configurations listed in Section K and it is proposed that the table on page 2 of BSCP15/4.1 form be modified to include a column so that the Lead Party can identify the relevant standard configuration or note that the BM Unit is non-standard when submitting the registration form. It is also proposed that the following columns should be removed from the table on page 2 of BSCP15/4.1 as they are no longer used and hence considered redundant: ‘NGC Zone (optional)’, ‘Ordnance Survey Reference (optional)’ and ‘Effective To (optional)’.	
<b>Justification for Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  A minor change to form BSCP15/4.1 to indicate standard BM Unit configurations would improve the efficiency of ELEXON’s processes by reducing the amount of time spent determining the type of BM Unit being registered.	
<b>Is the Change being proposed a Housekeeping Change?</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>  No <sup>1</sup>	

<sup>1</sup> The relevant Panel Committee will decide whether a Change Proposal can be progressed as a Housekeeping Change Proposal.

<b>Change Proposal – BSCP40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1154</b> <b>Version No: 1.0</b>
<b>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>  BSCP15 ‘BM Unit Registration’	
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
<b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>  P191 ‘Revised definition of Balancing Mechanism Unit to include Power Park Module’	
<b>Requested Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> <i>June 2006 BSC Systems Release</i> <b>Reason:</b>  It would be preferable to implement this CP concurrently with Modification Proposal P191 ‘Revised definition of Balancing Mechanism Unit to include Power Park Module’. If P191 is approved, one of the proposed changes is to amend form BSCP15/4.1 in a similar fashion to that recommended in this CP, in order to allow Power Park Modules to be indicated.	
<b>Agreed Release/Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>	
<b>Originator’s Details:</b>  <i>BCA Name</i>  <i>Organisation.....ELEXON</i>  <i>Email Address</i>  <i>Date.....4 November 2005</i>	
Attachments: Y/N*                    (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	