

<b>Change Proposal – BSCP40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1163</b> <i>Version No: 1.0</i>
<b>Title</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Requesting Meter Technical Details during a change of Meter Operator Agent	
<b>Description of Problem/Issue</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  Within BSCP 514, with change of MOA, but no change of Supplier, the Supplier instructs the old MOA to send the MTDs to the new MOA. However, with concurrent change of Supplier and MOA, it is up to the new MOA to request the MTDs from the old MOA.	
<b>Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  It would be sensible to consider making the process the same in both cases. (eg put the responsibility on the new MOA to request the MTDs every time).	
<b>Justification for Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  A common approach where possible simplifies the operational rules and therefore reduces the chance of error.	
<b>Is the Change being proposed a Housekeeping Change?</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>  No	

<b>Change Proposal – BSCP40/01</b>	<b>CP No: 1163</b> <i>Version No: 1.0</i>
<b>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i> BSCP 514 PSL 110	
<b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>	
<b>Requested Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>  12 months from date of CP acceptance.  <b>Reason:</b>  This is likely to require MOA system changes.	
<b>Agreed Release/Implementation Date</b> <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i>	
<b>Originator's Details:</b>  <i>BCA Name</i>  <i>Organisation.....Western Power Distribution</i>  <i>Email Address</i>  <i>Date.....10/4/2006.....</i>	
Attachments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> /N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	