

CP1172 Attachment 1 – Schedule of housekeeping changes for CP1172

Ref.	CSD	Section	Version No.	Description of Change
1	BSCP27	2.3 3.3 3.3.2	3.0	<p>The use of 'select' in relation to Metering Systems being chosen for Targeted Inspection implies there is no objective decision process in place. In fact, the decision is based on evidence received of suspected non-compliance.</p> <p>Proposed solution is to replace use of 'select' and 'selection' in these sections with 'determine' and 'determination'.</p>
2	BSCP27	3.6.3	3.0	In the 'WHEN' section for step 3.6.3, add 'or following 3.6.5, 3.6.7b, 3.7.2 or 3.7.6b.' to align with other processes in the BSCP.
3	BSCP27	Table of Contents	3.0	Item 1.14 'Volume Data Consumption Check' is incorrect. It should be called 'Consumption Data Comparison Check'.
4	BSCP27	3.1.7 3.2.8 3.3.6 3.4.6	3.0	<p>The 'INFORMATION REQUIRED' section for these four steps is unclear, referring only to 'Information as requested'.</p> <p>Proposed solution is to include a specific reference to 'Meter Technical Details and Additional Metering Equipment Technical Details.'</p>
5	BSCP27	3.1.8 3.2.9 3.3.7 3.4.7	3.0	<p>As with the change above, the 'INFORMATION REQUIRED' section for these steps is unclear.</p> <p>Proposed solution is to replace 'Information as requested' with 'Meter Technical Details'.</p>
6	BSCP27	1.6	3.0	The title of BSCP550 in the 'Associated BSCPs' section is incorrect and should be changed to 'Shared SVA Meter Arrangement of Half Hourly Import and Export Active Energy'.
7	BSCP27	1.6	3.0	<p>BSCP27 explains that a category 1 non-compliance may require rectification of a settlement error. In turn this may require the progression of a Trading Query via BSCP11 'Trading Queries and Trading Disputes'.</p> <p>Proposed solution to add BSCP11 as an associated BSCP in BSCP27.</p>

Ref.	CSD	Section	Version No.	Description of Change
8	BSCP27	1.18	3.0	<p>In Section 1.18 Reference to Code of Practice 4 does not contain quotation marks around CoP 4's document title. Also, the last word of the CoP 4's title is 'purposes' and should be 'Purposes'.</p> <p>Proposed solution I to added quotation marks around the CoP 4 title and to update 'purposes' to 'Purposes'</p>
9	BSCP27	3.1 – 3.8	3.0	<p>Full stops missing from 'Action' and 'Information Required' sections of Interface and Timetable Information tables in Section 3.</p> <p>Proposed solution is to insert full stops where required.</p>
10	BSCP27	General	3.0	<p>BSCP27 contains references to 'Metering Technical Details' or 'Metering System Technical Details', whereas the Code-defined term is 'Meter Technical Details'.</p> <p>Proposed solution it to alter these incorrect terms throughout.</p>
11	BSCP27	General	3.0	<p>BSCP27 refers to 'Registrants' for CVA Metering Systems and 'Suppliers' for SVA Metering Systems, when in fact 'Registrant' applies equally to both markets and is the term used by Section L of the Code.</p> <p>Proposed solution is to replace references to 'Supplier' and 'Supplier Agent' with 'Registrant' and 'Party Agent' throughout.</p>
12	BSCP502	3.2.7.11	12.0	<p>In BSCP502 there is an error at reference 3.2.7.11. The notes under 'when' state that final meter reads should be sent to the new DC 'within 5 WD of 3.2.7.9' however this process had an extra step added to it as part of a previous release which changed all the reference numbers so this should be sent to the new DC 'within 5 WD of 3.2.7.10'.</p> <p>Proposed solution is to update BSCP502 reference 3.2.7.11 so that the when column reads 'within 5 WD of 3.2.7.10'.</p>
13	BSCP507	2.2	11.0	<p>At the top of the workflow diagram on page 13 is the following statement: 'from 3.2.11'. This should read 'from 3.2.10' as it arrow, beginning on page 12, is from 3.2.10.</p>

Ref.	CSD	Section	Version No.	Description of Change
				Proposed solution is updated 'from 3.2.11' to 'from 3.2.10'.
14	BSCP509	3.1.1 and 3.1.6	11.0	<p>The re-procurement of the Profile Administrator contract resulted in a change of service provider and a subsequent change in operational practice, as dictated by the new contract. BSCP509 states that the Profile Administrator should send the Draft Technical Deliverables to ELEXON on CD. The Draft Technical Deliverables are now sent via e-mail. Audit issue 1712 has been raised as a result.</p> <p>Proposed solution is to Include Email as a valid delivery method in sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.6</p>