

Change Proposal – BSCP40/02	CP No: 1272 Version No: 1.0 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
Use of Appointment and Termination Flows in Unmetered Supplies (UMS)	
Description of Problem/Issue <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>In a number of instances BSCP520 (BSC Procedure: Unmetered Supplies Registered in SMRS vs. 14.0) requires Suppliers to send D0155¹, D0148² and D0151³ flows to UMSOs when establishing or amending inventories, disconnecting a Metering System ID, or following a Change of Supplier, Data Collector or Meter Administrator. These flows are normally used by Suppliers when appointing and de-appointing Supplier Agents, but in this context they are used to inform the UMSO of the identity of the Data Collector and Data Aggregator appointed for that Unmetered Supply.</p> <p>The Data Transfer Network (DTN) is not configured to allow these flows to be provided to UMSOs electronically, so manual processes have to be used to transfer the information. Because of the difficulties involved, some UMSOs do not make use of the flows and instead retrieve the necessary appointment data from SMRS, which should be updated by the Supplier once the appointments have been made.</p> <p>Requiring Suppliers to issue the D0155, D0148 and D0151 flows to the UMSO has been viewed by industry as an ineffective process.</p>	
Proposed Solution <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>Remove the requirement in BSCP520 for Suppliers to send D0155, D0148 and D0151 flows to UMSOs. This would be on the basis that the necessary appointment data should have already been entered into SMRS by the Supplier and so should be available to the UMSO. This option would remove the onus on the Supplier to send the flow to the UMSOs.</p> <p>Include a requirement in BSCP501 for the UMSO to be notified of any appointment related changes associated with Unmetered Supply. The suggested change will replace inefficient processes with practical solutions that will align with current working practices.</p>	
Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>CP1272 would remove an unnecessary requirement for Suppliers to send D0155, D0148 and D0151 flows to UMSOs. Industry impact assessment responses to DCP0038 (see Version History below) and the BSC Audit report (DCP0029 Solution Catalogue) confirm that UMSOs are not reliant on the D0155, D0148 and D0151 flows as the information is available through SMRS. The preference of the majority of DCP0038 impact assessment respondents was to remove the requirement for Suppliers to send these flows.</p>	
To which section of the Code does the CP relate, and does the CP facilitate the current provisions of the Code? <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>Yes, CP1272 does better facilitate the provisions of Section S as it would ensure that ineffective processes and procedures are removed from BSCP520.</p>	

¹ Notification of new Meter Operator or Data Collector Appointment and Terms

² Notification of Change to Other Parties

³ Termination of Appointment or Contract by Supplier

Estimated Implementation Costs (mandatory by BSCCo)

The estimated ELEXON implementation cost is 4.25 man days, which equates to £935.

Configurable Items Affected by Proposed Solution(s) (mandatory by originator)

- BSCP501 'Supplier Meter Registration Service'
- BSCP520 'Unmetered Supplies Registered in SMRS'

Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code (mandatory by originator)

None.

Related Changes and/or Projects (mandatory by BSCCo)

None.

Requested Implementation Date (mandatory by originator)

June 2009

Reason:

Next available release.

Version History (mandatory by BSCCo)

The issue was initially identified by the Unmetered Supplies Expert Group (UMSEG). The UMSEG was convened in 2007 to discuss UMS issues identified by the BSC Auditor. CP1272 relates to issue A2.1 (for more information refer to page 16 of the DCP0029 Solution Catalogue).

DCP0029 was raised in order to investigate which UMSEG proposals the industry wanted to progress. Following a favourable response to the DCP0029 industry impact assessment DCP0038 was raised to progress issue A2.1.

[DCP0038](#) presented four potential solutions. The majority of [industry impact assessment respondents](#) favoured Option a – removed the requirement to send the D0155, D0148 and D0151. The audit reports confirmed this view as participants stressed (during the audit) that they were not reliant on the above data flows as this information is already available to them.

CP1272 was issued on 9 January 2009 for industry impact assessment.

Originator's Details:

BCA Name.....*Stuart Holmes*

Organisation.....*ELEXON*.....

Email Address.....*stuart.holmes@elexon.co.uk*

Telephone Number..... *0207 380 4135*.

Date.....*09 January 2009*

Attachments: Y

Attachment A – CP1272 redline changes to BSCP501 (3 Pages).

Attachment B – CP1272 redline changes to BSCP520 (25 Pages, redline changes relating to CP1272 are represented in green. Additional changes outlined in the document are changes that have been approved and will appear in version 14.1 of BSCP520, which is not yet available).