

CP Progression – CP1357

Meeting Name	Imbalance Settlement Group
Meeting Date	20 December 2011
Purpose of paper	For Decision
Summary	This report provides details of the background, solution, impacts and industry views of CP1357 'Correction to MSID Allocation Process'. The ISG is requested to consider the report and to reach a decision on whether to approve the CP.

1. Why Change?

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 When registering a new Metering System, the Registrant must send the CRA a Metering System Registration form (BSCP20/4.1 form). This is stipulated in BSCP20 3.1.3.
- 1.1.2 BSCP20 3.1.6 states that the CRA use the registration data provided in the Metering System Registration form to allocate a Metering System ID (MSID).

1.2 What is the issue?

- 1.2.1 The current wording of BSCP20 requires the CRA to notify details of the MSID allocation within 1 Working Day of Boundary Point registration (BSCP20 3.1.1). However, in many cases, the CRA would not have received the BSCP20/4.1 form at the same time or before the Boundary Point Registration occurs. This means that the CRA cannot allocate the MSID or notify the Registrant and MOA about the allocation.
- 1.2.2 The CRA can only provide the MSID on receipt of the BSCP20/4.1. However, since this usually happens after Boundary Point registration, this process is currently non-compliant.

2. Solution

- 2.1 CP1357 'Correction to MSID Allocation Process' was raised by ELEXON on 28 October 2011. It proposes to amend the timescales in BSCP20 Section 3.1 to align with current practice. Rather than being required to allocate an MSID and notify details of the allocation within 1 Working Day of receiving the Boundary Point registration, the CRA would be required to allocate an MSID and notify details of the allocation within 1 Working Day of receiving the Metering System Registration form.

3. Industry Views

- 3.1 CP1357 was issued for participant Impact Assessment via CPC00704. We received 4 responses of which 3 agreed and 1 was neutral.

- 3.2 The breakdown of responses is shown in the following table and the full collated participant responses to CP1357 can be found in Attachment B, or on the ELEXON website [here](#).

Respondent Role	Respondent Support		
	Yes	No	Neutral
LDSOs	1	-	-
Mixed (i.e. two or more of Supplier, Generator, Trader, Party agent or Distributor)	2	-	1
Total	3	0	1

- 3.3 No respondents were against the changes proposed in CP1357. No respondents made any specific points in their response.

3.4 Comments on the Proposed Redlining

- 3.4.1 No comments were received on the proposed redlined changes to BSCP20.

4. Intended Benefits

- 4.1 This change to the timescales will introduce efficiency by removing an impossible process step and clarifying the process. Making this change will also remove unnecessary BSC Audit issues that the CRA cannot resolve.

5. Impacts and Costs

- 5.1 The following table summarises the ELEXON effort required to implement CP1357. The implementation of CP1357 would have no impact on any Market Participants.

Market Participant	Cost/Impact	Implementation time needed
ELEXON (Implementation)	1.5 man days, equating to £360	June 2012 Release is suitable
All Market Participants	No Impact	June 2012 Release is suitable

6. Implementation Approach

- 6.1 CP1357 would be implemented on **28 June 2012** as part of the June 2012 BSC Systems Release, as this is the next available Release.

7. Recommendations

- 7.1 We invite you to:

- a) **AGREE** the proposed amendments to BSCP20; and
- b) **APPROVE** CP1357 for implementation on 28 June 2012, as part of the June 2012 Release.



ISG131/02

Attachments:

Attachment A – BSCP20 Redlining

Attachment B – Collated Responses to CPC00704

For more information, please contact:

David Kemp, Change Analyst

david.kemp@elexon.co.uk

020 7380 4303

CP1357 – Correction to MSID Allocation Process

CP1357 proposes changes to BSCP20 Section 3.1.

We have redlined these changes against Version 16.0 of this BSCP.

3 Interface and Timetable Information

3.1 Registration of New Metering System¹

This process shall not apply where a Metering System is being transferred from one Party to another as part of the CVA BM Unit CoBLP process in BSCP15 which defines the process for transferring the ownership of Metering Systems in such circumstances.

REF	WHEN ²	ACTION	FROM	TO	INPUT INFORMATION REQUIRED	MEDIUM
3.1.1 ³	At least 20WD before REFD of Boundary / Systems Connection Point	Provide registration data of Boundary Point or Systems Connection Point ¹ at location of new Metering System as registered according to BSCP25.	LDSOs / TC (as appropriate)	CRA	Details as provided in BSCP25/5.1 (Registration/De-registration of Transmission System Boundary Point) or BSCP25/5.2 (Registration of Distribution Systems Connection Point)	Fax/Email
3.1.2	On receipt of 3.1.1	Forward registration details of Boundary / Systems Connection Point to BSCCo Where the LDSO specified on the BSCP25/5.2 form is not the Nominated LDSO for the GSP Group, CRA should also inform the Nominated LDSO of the registration	CRA	BSCCo / Nominated LDSO	As submitted in 3.1.1	Fax/Email

¹ Where the New Metering System is associated with an Exemptable Generating Plant and the Import Meter(s) is Registered in SMRS, refer to process 3.8 'Registration of a New Metering System which is associated with Exemptable Generating Plant and where the Import Meter(s) is Registered in SMRS'

² The timescales in this process may vary when there is a transfer of registration as outlined in BSCP68. In this instance, the timescales in BSCP68 should be followed.

³ Suppliers that have Customers directly connected to the Transmission System can register and de-register the BM Unit associated with that Customer premises within 5WD in limited circumstances in accordance with BSCP15

REF	WHEN ²	ACTION	FROM	TO	INPUT INFORMATION REQUIRED	MEDIUM
3.1.3	At least 20WD before the REFD of the Metering System	Provide registration data of Metering System ⁴	Registrant	CRA	BSCP20/4.1, Registration of Metering System signed by an authorised person, registered as such via BSCP38 Or CRA-I031 Metering System Data ⁵	Fax /Email /Post Electronic
3.1.4	On receipt of notice in 3.1.43	Check that the Registrant is a valid Party or is to become a Party before or on the REFD with the BSCCo	CRA	Internal/ BSCCo (as appropriate)	Registrant of the proposed Metering System	Fax/Internal (as appropriate)
3.1.5	On receipt of notice in 3.1.43	Check that the MOA is registered.	CRA		MOA of the proposed Metering System	Internal Process
3.1.6	Within 1WD of receipt of 3.1.43	Allocate a MSID to the Metering System and notify Registrant, MOA and CDCA. (The Registration Report CRA-I014 will be circulated at the end of each day once the change or registration has been input into the CRA system)	CRA	Registrant CDCA MOA	MSID of Metering System using data as submitted in 3.1.3	Fax/Email
3.1.7	Within 2WD of receipt from 3.1.46	The MOA may object to the registration on the understanding that they are not the MOA for the Metering System.	MOA	CRA	MOA details why they are not the MOA for the Metering System.	Fax/Email

⁴ In the event that the Metering System is at a Systems Connection Point between two Distribution Systems, the owners of each Distribution System must agree on the identity of the Registrant using Form BSCP20/4.1

⁵ Where the Electronic flow CRA-I031 is used to register a Metering System the Registrant will need to confirm manually (email/Fax/Post) whether it is the Metering System owner or that it has obtained the Metering System owners consent

REF	WHEN²	ACTION	FROM	TO	INPUT INFORMATION REQUIRED	MEDIUM
3.1.8	Where an objection has been raised by the MOA.	The CRA shall notify the Registrant that the MOA has objected to the registration and therefore registration has been rejected.	CRA	Registrant	MOA objection details.	Fax/Email
3.1.9	At least 16WD prior to REFD and after receipt of MSID allocation.	If no objections to the registration, provide Meter Technical Details.	MOA or Registrant	CDCA	BSCP20/4.3, Registration of Meter Technical Details Signed by an authorised person, registered as such via BSCP38 (CDCA-I003 Meter Technical Details)	Fax/Post/Email
3.1.10	Within 1WD of receipt of 3.1.9	CDCA to validate the Meter Technical Details provided by the MOA or Registrant and inform MOA or Registrant of any discrepancies. ⁶	CDCA	MOA or Registrant	Meter Technical Details (CDCA-I051)	Fax/Email
3.1.11	In accordance with BSCP02	Carry out Proving Tests ⁷	MOA	CDCA	Refer to BSCP02	
3.1.12	Prior to REFD	Provide Metering Technical Details Report of data entered into system and Registrant to confirm accuracy.	CDCA	Registrant, MOA, TC and if appropriate relevant LDSO	Meter Technical Details Report (CDCA-I051).	Fax/Post/Email

⁶ Where the Meter Technical Details are not valid the registration will be rejected, and the MOAs or Registrant will be required to resubmit the Meter Technical Details under step 3.1.9.

⁷ The MOA shall seal the new Metering System in accordance with BSCP06

REF	WHEN²	ACTION	FROM	TO	INPUT INFORMATION REQUIRED	MEDIUM
3.1.13	Prior to REFD	Once the requirements of the relevant conditions set out in Section K.2.2.4 of the BSC have been fulfilled, provide registration reports of data entered into system and Registrant to confirm accuracy	CRA	Registrant TC	Registration reports of data entered into systems – Registration Report (CRA-I014), NGC Standing Data Report (CRA – I028).	Electronic



Change Proposal Circular: CPC00704: Impact Assessment of CP1357, CP1358 and CP1359

Responses for CP1357 'Correction to MSID Allocation Process'

Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in	Agree?	Impacted?	Days needed to implement
Northern Powergrid	LDSO, UMSO	Yes	No	-
IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower	Supplier, Distributor, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHDA, HHDC, Generator	Yes	No	-
npower	Supplier and Supplier Agents (NHH & HH)	Neutral	No	-
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	Yes	No	-

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
Northern Powergrid	Yes	No	For which role is your organisation impacted? We are however involved in other areas of this process and we agree that the change should be implemented
IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower	Yes	No	-
Npower	Neutral	No	-
EDF Energy	Yes	No	-

No comments received on the redlined text.

Responses for CP1358 'Change to timeband weightings in the Market Index Definition Statement'

Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in	Agree?	Impacted?	Days needed to implement
Northern Powergrid	LDSO, UMSO	Neutral	No	-
IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower	Supplier, Distributor, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHDA, HHDC, Generator	Yes	Yes	14
npower	Supplier and Supplier Agents (NHH & HH)	Neutral	No	-
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	Yes	Yes	5

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
Northern Powergrid	Neutral	No	-
IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower	Yes	Yes	<p>Agree change comment - Selecting more of the deals closer to gate closure gives a better reflection of the short term market price which the MIDS is trying to achieve, particularly as there is no impact to the number of default periods.</p> <p>For which role is your organisation impacted? Trading.</p> <p>Please state what the impact is - Internal system change.</p> <p>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation? No</p> <p>Associated costs comment – Minimal costs.</p>
Npower	Neutral	No	-
EDF Energy	Yes	Yes	<p>For which role is your organisation impacted? Supplier</p> <p>Please state what the impact is - Minor re-configuration required on internal system.</p> <p>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation? We feel that this change and M1359 do not need to be dependent on a release, see e points against question 6</p> <p>Any other comments - We support a preference for an "ASAP" change to the MIDS, as it doesn't seem to make sense to a) have an actively traded 1H product that is excluded from MIP calculations on one exchange but not the other; and b) have two releases of</p>

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

			the MIDS (APX product, followed by time bands 7 and 8) in a short space of time.
--	--	--	--

No comments received on the redlined text.

Responses for CP1359 'Introducing new products into the Market Index Definition Statement'

Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in	Agree?	Impacted?	Days needed to implement
Northern Powergrid	LDSO, UMSO	Neutral	No	-
IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower	Supplier, Distributor, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHDA, HHDC, Generator	Yes	Yes	14
npower	Supplier and Supplier Agents (NHH & HH)	Neutral	No	-
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	Yes	Yes	5

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
Northern Powergrid	Neutral	No	-
IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower	Yes	Yes	<p>Agree change comment - The additional products are appropriate for the calculation of the MID price. The 1 hour block product gives good reflection of the short term market price which the MIDS is trying to achieve and should be included in the calculation. This should also reduce potential default periods.</p> <p>For which role is your organisation impacted? Trading</p> <p>Please state what the impact is - Internal system change.</p> <p>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation? No</p> <p>Associated costs comment – Minor costs</p>
Npower	Neutral	No	-
EDF Energy	Yes	Yes	<p>For which role is your organisation impacted? Supplier</p> <p>Please state what the impact is - Details as per CP1358</p> <p>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation? See response to CP1358</p>

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Any other comments - See response to CP1358

Comments on the redline text

No.	Organisation	Document name	Location	Severity Code	Comments
1	IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower		PP15, A1,1	M	Shouldn't there be clarification on what happen with the October clock change for the new products (1 hour block and DA auction)? This is inconsistent with the product (DA Auction) definition on PP20, A2,1.