

<b>Modification Proposal – BSCP40/06</b>	<b>MP No: 193</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<p><b>Title of Modification Proposal</b> <i>(mandatory by originator):</i></p> <p>Improved Arrangements for Modification Proposals raised by the BSC Panel.</p>	
<p><b>Submission Date</b> <i>(mandatory by originator):</i></p> <p>26 August 2005.</p>	
<p><b>Description of Proposed Modification</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>This proposal seeks to amend the existing Code provisions so that the Panel will be able to raise and submit a Modification Proposal directly to Definition, Assessment or Report at the same meeting.</p> <p>This proposal seeks to amend the existing Code provisions such that, where a Modification Proposal is raised by the Panel on the recommendation of BSCCo or the TDC as described in F2.1.1(d), the Panel may submit the proposal directly to Definition, Assessment or Report at the same meeting at which the Panel agrees to raise the mod. In practice, this will mean that the IWA is presented at the same time as BSCCo's/the TDC's recommendation to raise the proposal – either as part of the recommendation paper itself, or as a separate attachment.</p> <p>When considering a recommendation to raise a proposal by BSCCo/the TDC, the Panel would have the option to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) submit the proposal directly to Definition, Assessment or Report;</li> <li>ii) defer consideration of the IWA to the following Panel meeting (an existing ability under F2.2.5); or</li> <li>iii) defer the consideration of whether to raise the draft Modification Proposal to the following meeting (existing ability).</li> </ul> <p>Of these options available to the Panel (should this mod be approved) it should be noted that only option i) is entirely new – options ii) and iii) are already available to the Panel.</p> <p>A flow diagram showing these options in more detail is provided as Attachment 1.</p>	
<p><b>Description of Issue or Defect that Modification Proposal Seeks to Address</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Paragraph F2.1.8 of the Code currently requires an initial written Assessment (IWA) for a Modification Proposal to be prepared by BSCCo in time for the same meeting at which any newly raised Modification Proposal will be first considered by the Panel. Paragraph F2.1.9 requires the Modification Secretary to place a “new” Modification Proposal on the agenda of the “next Panel meeting” (i.e. the Panel meeting following the raising of the Modification Proposal). However, a Modification Proposal raised by the Panel on the recommendation of BSCCo or the TDC will already have been presented to a previous Panel meeting for the Panel’s agreement for the Modification Proposal to be raised. Since Panel meetings are held monthly, a logical interpretation of Paragraphs F2.1.8 &amp; F2.1.9 means that in practice it can take up to two months for Panel-raised Modification Proposals to be submitted to the Definition or Assessment Procedure or Report Phase. National Grid believes that this timescale is inefficient and hinders the ability of the Panel to discharge its responsibilities to operate the Modification Procedures in an “efficient, economical and expeditious manner ....”, under Paragraph F1.2.2 of the Code.</p>	
<p><b>Impact on Code</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i></p> <p>Changes will be required to Section F “Modification Procedures”. In particular, it is anticipated that changes will be required to F2.1.8 and F2.1.9.</p>	

<b>Modification Proposal – BSCP40/06</b>	<b>MP No: 193</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
None.	
<b>Impact on BSC Systems and Other Relevant Systems and Processes Used by Parties</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
None.	
<b>Impact on other Configurable Items</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
None.	
<b>Justification for Proposed Modification with Reference to Applicable BSC Objectives</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>This Modification Proposal would better facilitate the achievement of Applicable BSC Objective (d) 'Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements'.</p> <p>Allowing the Panel to raise a Modification Proposal and consider its IWA at the same meeting will allow Panel-raised proposals to be progressed in a more expeditious and efficient manner – reducing the timescales for their progression by one month, and resulting in efficiency savings for the Panel and the industry.</p> <p>There would be no reduction in the transparency of the IWA, which would still be presented to the Panel. In addition, the Panel would continue to have the safeguards of being able to defer consideration of either the proposal or IWA until the following Panel meeting, if it believed that further information was required.</p>	
<b>Details of Proposer:</b>	
<b>Name</b> ...John Greasley.....	
<b>Organisation</b> ...National Grid Electricity Transmission plc.....	
<b>Telephone Number</b> ...01926 656313.....	
<b>Email Address</b> ...john.greasley@ngtuk.com.....	
<b>Details of Proposer's Representative:</b>	
<b>Name</b> ...Richard Dunn.....	
<b>Organisation</b> ...National Grid Electricity Transmission plc.....	
<b>Telephone Number</b> ...01926 656211.....	
<b>Email address</b> ...richard.dun@ngtuk.com.....	

**Modification Proposal – BSCP40/06**

**MP No: 193**  
*(mandatory by BSCCo)*

**Details of Representative's Alternate:**

**Name**...Clare Talbot.....

**Organisation**...National Grid Electricity Transmission plc.....

**Telephone Number**...01926 656377.....

**Email address**...clare.talbot@ngtuk.com.....

**Attachments:** Yes. *(mandatory by originator)*

**If Yes, Title and No. of Pages of Each Attachment:**

Attachment 1 'Flow diagram showing Panel options for considering a recommendation to raise a Modification Proposal and its IWA at the same meeting'