

CPC00570: Impact Assessment Responses for CP1162 and CP1163

CP1162

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|---|-------|----------|--|
| United Utilities MOP (HH and NHH Complex) | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: Updates will be required to both IT systems and business processes.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: Six months notice required for software changes</p> <p>Other Comments: Awaiting costings for software upgrades that will be required.</p> |
| United Utilities MOPS MPIDS – NORW and UUNL | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: IT system changes and development changes required</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 9 Months</p> <p>Comments: 9 months required to system develop and test and implement</p> |
| Western Power Distribution | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|---|----------|----------|--|
| | | | <p>Implementation Notification Required: 12 months notice to allow sufficient time for system changes</p> |
| <p>Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd;</p> | <p>✓</p> | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: This would require changes to systems and processes – low to medium impact.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 6 months</p> <p>Comments: The earliest we could implement this change would be February 2007</p> |
| <p>Siemens Energy Services</p> | <p>✓</p> | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Comments: More information required on whether it's just an updated D0150 that should be sent (i.e. Details effective at the COA Date) or whether removal details should be sent.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: Unable to comment on times-scales at this time but it is anticipated that this change would take at least 3 months.</p> |
| <p>Npower Limited, Npower Northern Limited, Npower Northern Supply Limited, Npower Yorkshire Limited, Npower Yorkshire Supply Limited, Npower Direct Limited</p> | <p>✓</p> | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: Some small changes to MOP systems may be needed</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 12 months after the agreement of the Change</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|-------------------|-------|----------|---|
| IMServ Europe Ltd | | ✓ | <p>Disagree</p> <p>Reason: MTD may be changed by the outgoing MOA up to 5WD following the physical change, allowing time for paperwork to be processed and systems to be updated. The incoming MOA may elect to replace the existing equipment and have no need to process incremental updates. To adopt the outgoing MOA equipment which may be customer owned requires that meter type templates be maintained, incremental updates may result in further template maintenance. Sending MTD to the New MOA means that data duplication exists with the possibility of the MTD being updated by two MOA's simultaneously causing further issues for the DC, including the possibility of duplicate proving tests being requested. It will also be necessary to inform the outgoing MOA of the MPID of the incoming MOA as part of the de-appointment process rather than at the later point of the MTD request. The outgoing MOA will need to record the incoming MOA's MPID – The current process requires only response to an incoming Flow. The existing process requires that supplier, distributor and data collector be informed of MTD changes by the outgoing MOA, as well as providing MAP detail changes as appropriate. The new MOA will on receipt of said MTD follow current procedures and send what amounts to duplicate flows to the aforementioned parties, requiring possible validation changes to these systems or at best unnecessary processing.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: Quite extensive</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 365 Days</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|---|-------|----------|---|
| | | | <p>Comments: Consortium developed (Wheatley MOP) package requires change. 6m to 1yr lead time required from the point at which the change is accepted to the point of process deployment, depending on the timing of the change in relation to planned development cycles.</p> |
| <p>E.ON UK – responding on behalf of E.ON UK plc (SVA), Powergen Retail Ltd, Citigen (London) Ltd, Cottam Development Centre Ltd, Enizade Ltd, E.ON UK Drakelow Ltd, E.ON UK High Marnham Ltd, E.ON UK Ironbridge Ltd, Midlands Gas Ltd, Severn Trent Energy Ltd, TXU Europe (AHG) Ltd, TXU Europe (AHGD) Ltd, TXU Europe (AH Online) Ltd, Economy Power, Western Gas Ltd, Powergen Retail Gas (Eastern) Ltd</p> | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation’s Systems and/or Processes: No</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 60 Days</p> <p>Comments: To allow thorough testing to be completed prior to Implementation Date.</p> |
| <p>E.ON UK Energy Services Limited</p> | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Comments: We support this change as it reflects our current working practice for the NHH sector. This CP would ensure consistency if implemented.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation’s Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: Changes would be required to our MOP system to make the handling of HH</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|---|-------|----------|--|
| | | | <p>flows compliant with this CP.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 365 Days</p> <p>Comments: The suggested implementation timescale is acceptable</p> <p>Other Comments: It is noted that this CP would create different COA processes in relation to MOPs & DCs</p> |
| <p>Scottish Power UK plc, ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd, ScottishPower Generation Ltd, ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd, SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd, SP Distribution Ltd.</p> | | <p>✓</p> | <p>Disagree</p> <p>Reason: Whilst we agree that the change proposed would help improve the accuracy of Meter Technical Details during the CoS/ CoA process we believe that there are other potential issues, i.e. correctly populating the Date Action Required By on the D0170, which should also be considered as part of any initiative to improve the process. Therefore we believe that it would be beneficial for an industry group, e.g. IREG or SAF, to analyse all aspects of the process to provide a comprehensive solution.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: New system functionality would be required to the MOp system to receive and process the updated details and to pass these to the DC, Supplier and Distributor. DC and Supplier systems would also be required to receive and process the updated MTD.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 365 Days</p> <p>Comments: Rather than the next available release we would prefer the requested implementation date to be as stated on the CP, i.e. 12 months from the date of CP acceptance.</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|---|-------|----------|---|
| EDF Energy | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Comments: Change needs to be further developed as old MOP not only should be sending these details to new MOP but also to old Supplier and old DC. This should include final and initial reads as relevant. Without this it would be impossible for a correct CoS reading to be produced. As a Supplier we would also need assurance that DCs are able to process these changes and as an old DC can send out new reading history flows. As a new DC that they can process such changed history and meter technical details to provide correct CoS reading, or an amended reading if CoS reading has already been produced, although this is likely to be required on a very small number of such changes. We have discussed this with CFS DC and they have indicated that in both cases they can deal with such changes.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: System amendments will be required to ensure this process operates correctly.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 180 Days</p> <p>Comments: We feel that February 2007 would be earliest release for this change.</p> |
| British Energy Power & Energy Trading Ltd, British Energy Direct Ltd, British Energy Generation Ltd, Eggborough Power Ltd, British Energy Generation (UK) Ltd | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Comments: British Energy support any change that would ensure that Meter Technical Details are passed effectively between agents.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: No</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|----------------|-------|----------|--|
| | | | <p>Implementation Notification Required: N/A</p> <p>Comments: No notice would be required as there would be no significant impact to systems or processes.</p> |
| Centrica | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Comments: We support the introduction of this change proposal to enable the provision & maintenance of accurate & timely metering information. This replicates a similar NHHDC obligation within BSCP504 (3.2.6.5).</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: No</p> <p>Other comments: We would question the rationale behind the 12 month implementation lead time as opposed to the usual 6 months?</p> |

CP1163

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|--|--------------|-----------------|---|
| United Utilities MOP (HH and NHH Complex) | ✓ | | Agree Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes Comments: Updates will be required to both IT systems and business processes. Implementation Notification Required: Six months notice required for software changes Other Comments: Awaiting costings for software upgrades that will be required. |
| United Utilities MOPS MPIDS – NORW and UUNL | ✓ | | Agree Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes Comments: IT system impacts – same as CP 1162 Implementation Notification Required: 9 months Comments: IT system development, testing and implementation time required. |
| Western Power Distribution | ✓ | | Agree Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes Implementation Notification Required: 12 months notice to allow sufficient time for system changes |
| Southern Electric Power | | ✓ | Disagree |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; | | | <p>Reason: There is no evidence that there are problems with the process at the moment and no business justification.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: We would need to make significant system changes.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 6 months</p> <p>Comments: The earliest we could implement this would be February 2007</p> |
| Siemens Energy Services | | <p style="text-align: center;">✓</p> | <p>Disagree</p> <p>Reason: Whilst there are no fundamental problems with this CP, we are unsure as to whether its implementation will add sufficient value to the industry. The current CoMO process regarding the origin of the D0170 mirrors the COADC process and in our opinion should continue to do so.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: Unable to comment on times-scales at this time but it is anticipated that this change would take at least 3 months.</p> |
| Npower Limited, Npower Northern Limited, Npower Northern Supply Limited, Npower Yorkshire Limited, Npower Yorkshire Supply Limited, Npower Direct Limited | <p style="text-align: center;">✓</p> | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: Changes to Supplier systems to stop the request being sent from both Supplier and the New MOA</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|-------------------|-------|----------|--|
| | | | <p>Implementation Notification Required: 12 months after the agreement of the Change</p> <p>Other Comments: It is important to ensure that all Suppliers “turn off” the sending of the flow on the same date that the New MOA start sending the request to ensure there is no duplication of files.</p> |
| IMServ Europe Ltd | | ✓ | <p>Disagree</p> <p>Reason: Requests for MTD made directly by the supplier (no CoS) to the outgoing MOA, are made with visibility of the objection process, and may be seen to be of benefit as a result.</p> <p>Current process can break down if the Supplier does not action the D0170 request to the old MOA however the new process is dependant on the Supplier providing the necessary information to the new MOA on the D0148, a process which is similarly subject to delays and occasional breakdown. PARMS serial SP06 reported 44,587 x D0148s received late by HHMOAs in the March reporting period.</p> <p>Systems/processes are configured to current requirement – as improvement cannot be guaranteed we believe that the cost of change cannot be justified.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation’s Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: Changes required if supplier request to MOA for MTD is no longer applicable.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 365 Days</p> <p>Comments: Consortium developed (Wheatley MOP) package requires change. 6m to 1yr lead time required from the point at which the change is accepted to the point of process deployment, depending on the timing of the change in relation to planned</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|--|-------|----------|--|
| | | | development cycles. |
| <p>E.ON UK – responding on behalf of E.ON UK plc (SVA), Powergen Retail Ltd, Citigen (London) Ltd, Cottam Development Centre Ltd, Enizade Ltd, E.ON UK Drakelow Ltd, E.ON UK High Marnham Ltd, E.ON UK Ironbridge Ltd, Midlands Gas Ltd, Severn Trent Energy Ltd, TXU Europe (AHG) Ltd, TXU Europe (AHGD) Ltd, TXU Europe (AH Online) Ltd, Economy Power, Western Gas Ltd, Powergen Retail Gas (Eastern) Ltd</p> | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: No</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 60 Days</p> <p>Comments: To allow thorough testing to be completed prior to Implementation Date.</p> |
| <p>E.ON UK Energy Services Limited</p> | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Comments: We support this change as it reflects our current working practice for the NHH & HH sectors This CP would ensure consistency if implemented.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: No</p> <p>Comments: No changes would be required</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 365 Days</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|---|-------|----------|---|
| | | | <p>Comments: The suggested implementation timescale is acceptable</p> |
| <p>Scottish Power UK plc, ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd, ScottishPower Generation Ltd, ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd, SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd, SP Distribution Ltd.</p> | | <p>✓</p> | <p>Disagree</p> <p>Reason: The cost justification and benefit for making this change has not been clearly identified. We would also consider that DC obligations may also need to be considered to enable a common approach.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: This would impact on both Supplier and Mop systems.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 365 Days</p> <p>Comments: Rather than the next available release we would prefer the requested implementation date to be as stated on the CP, i.e. 12 months from the date of CP acceptance.</p> |
| <p>EDF Energy</p> | | <p>✓</p> | <p>Disagree</p> <p>Reason: Although we concede that having two different processes is not ideal we are unaware of this causing any problems. Change would also introduce different methods of operation depending on if a Supplier is dealing with a MOP or DC. Currently as a Supplier we know that on CoA event we have to inform agents to transfer information but on a CoS event agents carry out this requirement. We would not wish to have different processes and, therefore, system requirements for a MOP and a DC under these scenarios.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> |

| Carried out by | Agree | Disagree | Comments |
|---|-------|----------|--|
| | | | <p>Comments: Changes are required to both our Supplier and MOP systems</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 720 Days</p> <p>Comments: We do not see this as an important change and would not want to schedule this at this time.</p> |
| <p>British Energy Power & Energy Trading Ltd, British Energy Direct Ltd, British Energy Generation Ltd, Eggborough Power Ltd, British Energy Generation (UK) Ltd</p> | ✓ | | <p>Agree</p> <p>Comments: British Energy support this proposal as it would ensure a consistent process.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> <p>Comments: There would be limited system and process changes that would need to be actioned.</p> <p>Implementation Notification Required: 60 Days</p> |
| <p>Centrica</p> | | ✓ | <p>Disagree</p> <p>Reason: What is the business benefit in synchronising the requestor for the Cos/CoA event with the CoA event for the provision of MTD's? The current process within BSCP 514 is mirrored with the NHHDC process within BSCP 504 in that the requestor is different for both of these events. This process is not "broken" and as such do not believe that there is justification in the progression of this change proposal.</p> <p>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes: Yes</p> |