

<b>Modification Proposal</b>	<b>MP No: 92</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<b>Title of Modification Proposal</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> Replacement Energy Contract Volume Notifications (ECVNs) And Metered Volume Reallocation Notifications (MVRNs)	
<b>Submission Date</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> 08 July 2002	
<b>Description of Proposed Modification</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> Amend Section P of the Code to confirm that a second notification can replace or augment a first notification before the first notification becomes "in force".	
<b>Description of Issue or Defect that Modification Proposal Seeks to Address</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> A minor inconsistency appears in the two Sections of the Code (P 2.3.5 and P 3.3.5) in that on one interpretation it could be argued that a first notification must be "in force" before the second notification can replace it. The term "in force" is defined in Section P 1.3.2 and basically means effective. It is clear that Parties must have the ability to replace notifications before they have taken effect so that they adequately react to unplanned events such as Plant outages. It is recommended that Sections P 2.3.5 and P 3.3.5 be amended to clarify the position. It is further recommended that Section P 1.3.2 be amended to clarify the position that a notification which has missed gate closure will not become "in force" until the first Settlement Period for which Gate Closure was made.	
<b>Impact on Code</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i> Sections P 1.3.2, 2.3.5 and 3.3.5	
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i> Nil	
<b>Impact on BSC Systems and Other Relevant Systems and Processes Used by Parties</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i> Nil	
<b>Impact on other Configurable Items</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i> Nil	

## Modification Proposal

**MP No: 92**  
(mandatory by BSCCo)

### Justification for Proposed Modification with Reference to Applicable BSC Objectives (mandatory by proposer):

Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements.

A possible interpretation of the current Sections P 2.3.5 and P 3.3.5 is that Parties are bound by any ECVN or MVRN that it submits for at least 7 settlement periods (or 2 settlement periods when Gate Closure is reduced to one hour) before it can replace that notification with another. This is plainly counter to the purpose of being able to submit replacement notifications and would render the procedure pointless.

This Proposal seeks to remove any ambiguity on the issue so that the position with respect to replacement notifications is clearly understood.

Furthermore, the amendment to P 1.3.2 is intended to make clear when a replacement notification will become in force in circumstances where it has been submitted late, such that Gate Closure has been missed.

### Details of Proposer:

**Name:** BSC Panel

**Organisation:** BSC Panel

**Telephone Number:**

**Email Address:**

### Details of Proposer's Representative:

**Name:** Kris Clapham

**Organisation:** ELEXON

**Telephone Number:** 0207 380 4257

**Email Address:** kris.clapham@elexon.co.uk

### Details of Representative's Alternate:

**Name:** Cathy Woods

**Organisation:** ELEXON

**Telephone Number:** 0207 380 4137

**Email Address:** catherine.woods@elexon.co.uk

**Attachments:** NO

**If Yes, Title and No. of Pages of Each Attachment:**