

August 2001

**DRAFT MODIFICATION REPORT**  
**MODIFICATION PROPOSAL P20 –**  
***Revision of Obligations on Parties in***  
***Relation to BM Unit Registration***

Prepared by ELEXON on behalf of the Balancing  
and Settlement Code Panel

<b>Document Reference</b>	MR020
<b>Version no.</b>	0.1
<b>Issue</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Issue</b>	1 August 2001
<b>Reason for Issue</b>	Consultation
<b>Author</b>	ELEXON

## I DOCUMENT CONTROL

### a Authorities

Version	Date	Author	Signature	Change Reference
0.1	01/08/01	Neil Cohen / Drew McGregor		For Consultation

Version	Date	Reviewer	Signature	Responsibility
0.1	01/08/01	Gareth Forrester		Peer Review

### b Distribution

Name	Organisation
Each BSC Party	Various
Each BSC Agent	Various
The Gas and Electricity Markets Authority	Ofgem
Each BSC Panel Member	Various
energywatch	Energywatch
Core Industry Document Owners	Various

### c Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright

This document contains materials the copyright and other intellectual property rights in which are vested in ELEXON Limited or which appear with the consent of the copyright owner. These materials are made available for you to review and to copy for the purposes of the establishment, operation or participation in electricity trading arrangements in Great Britain under the BSC. All other commercial use is prohibited. Unless you are a person having an interest in electricity trading in Great Britain under the BSC you are not permitted to view, download, modify, copy, distribute, transmit, store, reproduce or otherwise use, publish, licence, transfer, sell or create derivative works (in whatever format) from this document or any information obtained from this document otherwise than for personal academic or other non-commercial purposes. All copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original material must be retained on any copy that you make. All other rights of the copyright owner not expressly dealt with above are reserved.

## **II CONTENTS TABLE**

<b>I</b>	<b>Document Control.....</b>	<b>2</b>
a	Authorities.....	2
b	Distribution.....	2
c	Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright.....	2
<b>II</b>	<b>Contents Table .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Summary and Recommendations .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Recommendation.....	4
1.2	Background.....	4
1.3	Rationale for Panel Recommendations.....	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Purpose and Scope of the Report .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>History of Proposed Modification.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Descriptbn of Proposed Modification.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary of Representations.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Annex 1 – Representations .....</b>		<b>100</b>

## 1 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 1.1 Recommendation

Modification Proposal P20 proposes that Lead Parties be allowed to transfer obligations for registration of BM Units and Metering Systems to Affiliated Parties. An alternative proposal, P20 (alternative), enabled such transfers to any nominated Party.

On the basis of the analysis, consultation and assessment undertaken in respect of this Modification Proposal during the Assessment Phase, and the resultant findings of this report, the BSC Panel recommends that:

**The Authority reject both Modification Proposal P20 and the alternative proposal described above.**

### 1.2 Background

Modification Proposal P20 was submitted by London Electricity plc on 18 May 2001. ELEXON produced an Initial Written Assessment and presented it to the Panel on 28 June 2001. The Panel endorsed ELEXON's recommendation that the Modification be progressed through the Assessment Procedure supported by a Modifications Group and that an Assessment Report be submitted to the panel for its meeting on 26 July 2001.

The proposal was considered by the P20 Modifications Group on 10 July 2001. Following a consultation exercise, the Modifications Group met for a second time on 17 July 2001 to review responses to the consultation along with initial High Level Impact Assessments.

An Assessment Report was presented to the Panel at its meeting on 26 July 2001. Further details of the process followed are detailed in Section 4 of this report.

### 1.3 Rationale for Panel Recommendations

The BSC Panel meeting on 26 July 2001 noted the recommendation from the P20 Modifications Group that a further Assessment Process should be undertaken to fully develop P20 since significant further work was required in order to fully understand the ramifications and implications of the proposal (or its alternative). In particular it was noted that detailed consideration of the overall framework of obligations in licences, the BSC and Core Industry Documents would be required. However, Panel members also considered that the lack of consensus as to whether the proposal (or its alternative) would better achieve the Applicable BSC Objectives, or not, was unlikely to change in the light of such further assessment. In addition the Ofgem representative stated that there were concerns in respect of implications for the licensing regime, particularly given that the perceived benefits of the proposal (or its alternative) were very modest.

On the basis of the above considerations, the Panel concluded that P20 and P20(alternate) should both go to the Report stage, with the recommendation that the proposals should be rejected.

## 2 INTRODUCTION

This Report has been prepared by ELEXON Ltd., on behalf of the Balancing and Settlement Code Panel ('the Panel'), in accordance with the terms of the Balancing and Settlement Code ('BSC'). The BSC is the legal document containing the rules of the balancing mechanism and imbalance settlement process and related governance provisions. ELEXON is the company that performs the role and functions of the BSCCo, as defined in the BSC.

This Modification Report is addressed and furnished to the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority ('the Authority') and none of the facts, opinions or statements contained herein may be relied upon by any other person.

An electronic copy of this document can be found on the BSC website, at [www.elexon.co.uk](http://www.elexon.co.uk)

### **3 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE REPORT**

BSC Section F sets out the procedures for progressing proposals to amend the BSC (known as 'Modification Proposals'. These include procedures for proposing, consulting on, developing, evaluating and reporting to the Authority on potential modifications.

The BSC Panel is charged with supervising and implementing the modification procedures. ELEXON provides the secretariat and other advice, support and resource required by the Panel for this purpose. In addition, if a modification to the Code is approved or directed by the Authority, ELEXON is responsible for overseeing the implementation of that amendment (including any consequential changes to systems, procedures and documentation).

A Modification Report must be prepared and submitted to the Authority in respect of each proposed modification and must contain:

- (a) The recommendation of the Panel as to whether or not the Proposed Modification or any Alternative Modification should be made;
- (b) The proposed Implementation Date for implementation of the Proposed Modification or any Alternative Modification;
- (c) The matters set out in Annex F-1 of the BSC. This will usually be in the form of the relevant Assessment Report where the Proposal has been submitted to a Modification Group prior to the Report Phase;
- (d) An explanation of the Panel's rationale should the Panel form a different view of any matters contained in the Modification Group Report; and
- (e) A summary of the representations made by Parties and interested third parties during the consultation undertaken in respect of the Proposed Modification and any Alternative Modification.

## 4 HISTORY OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION

Modification Proposal P20 was submitted on the 18 May 2001 by London Electricity. An Initial Written Assessment (IWA) was produced by ELEXON and issued for comments. It was then presented to the Panel on 28 June 2001 with a recommendation that the Modification Proposal be progressed through the Assessment Procedure supported by a Modifications Group and that an Assessment Report be submitted to the Panel for its meeting on 26 July 2001.

Following the Panel's decision to accept the IWA recommendation to undertake an Assessment Procedure, as detailed in Clause 2.6 of Section F of the BSC, a P20 Modifications Group met on 10<sup>th</sup> July 2001 to consider the proposal.

Following the first Modification Group meeting, a consultation exercise was undertaken with responses invited by 17 July 2001. Concurrent with this consultation exercise, ELEXON undertook refinement of their view on the impact on the BSC and High Level Impact Assessments were requested from NGC, MRASCo and the Central Registration Agent.

A second Modification Group meeting was held on 17 July 2001 to consider the responses to the consultation, updates in impact assessments and the contents of the report to be presented to the BSC Panel.

An Assessment Report was presented to the Panel at its meeting on 26 July 2001.

## 5 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION

The proposal seeks to allow BM Units and Metering Systems to be registered in the name of a BSC Party that is an Affiliate (as defined in the BSC) of the BSC Party that is responsible (pursuant to paragraph K1.2.2 of the BSC) for the exports or imports of electricity at the relevant Boundary Point.

It should be noted that some Modification Group Members felt that the proposal (and its alternative) was ambiguous in relation to precisely which obligations transferred from the principal to the nominated Lead Party. One interpretation was that all the obligations detailed in clause 1.2.1 of section K of the Code transferred. The counter view was that those responsibilities in clause 1.2.1 (a) of Section K (the obligation to install, maintain and operate Metering Equipment) would not transfer. There was also some debate as to whether the obligations in clause 1.2.1 (b) (the obligation to register a Metering System) should go hand-in-hand with those in clause 1.2.1 (a).

In considering the basic elements of the Modification proposal, the Modifications Group considered that there was no particular significance to the concept of an Affiliate acting on behalf of a given Party. The Modifications Group took the view that, so long as the necessary undertakings were given that the Party acting as Lead Party was able to fully discharge all of its obligations under the BSC, then affiliation became a limitation, with no discernible benefit. The Modifications Group, therefore, considered that an Alternative Modification should be established, whereby a Party acting on behalf of another Party, in the manner described in P20, could be any such Party so designated.

## **6 SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS**

[The Final Modification Report will include a summary of responses to the consultation on the Draft Modification Report.]

Additionally, summaries and copies of previous consultation responses received and considered by the P20 Modifications Group can be found as follows:

- The representations received under the initial consultation on Modification Proposal P20 are detailed in the P20 Consultation Report.
- A second consultation on the detail of Modification Proposal P20 was undertaken and the representations received in response to this further consultation can be found in the P20 Assessment Report. This Modification Report should be read in conjunction with that P20 Assessment Report.

Copies of both reports are available from the ELEXON website ([www.elexon.co.uk](http://www.elexon.co.uk))

## **ANNEX 1 – REPRESENTATIONS**

Any representations received from Parties during the Report Phase will be reproduced here in full in the final version of this report (version issued to the Authority).