

<b>Modification Proposal</b>	<b>MP No: 23</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<b>Title of Modification Proposal</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> Review Of The Imbalance Settlement Group (ISG).	
<b>Submission Date</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> 22 June 2001	
<b>Description of Proposed Modification</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> Review of the ISG to ensure maximum transparency to the industry and efficient operation of the processes it undertakes.	
<b>Description of Issue or Defect that Modification Proposal Seeks to Address</b> <i>(mandatory by proposer):</i> Dynergy has a number of concerns about the current arrangements of the ISG meetings. Areas of concern are the following:  1) Dynergy believes all ISG meetings should be open. The current meetings limit the transparency of the industry thus impacting on participants ability to compete effectively. As well as being open, the meetings should take on board the views of industry participants attending, who would have valuable views to add to debates on key issues. Dynergy believe that the electricity industry contains knowledgeable people who are not within the ISG, but who could make valuable contributions to issues investigated by the group. By enforcing closed ISG sessions the industry is compromising the efficiency of the meeting due to only considering solutions created within the group.  2) Dynergy would also like to improve ISG's present remit. ISG should become a standing group, with set meeting dates that analyse the energy and imbalance settlement related modifications. The current process for progressing modifications is resulting in many of the same people attending many meetings. While modifications have been lumped together, a formal strucutre for sending all related modifications to a standing group would increase efficiency, add to the expertise of the group and help non-attendees better feed into the modifications process. Effectively ISG would become a standing modifications workstream, as well as group to look at other energy and imbalance issues.	
<b>Impact on Code</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i> Section B, paragraph 5, of the Code to be altered so that all panel committees, including ISG meetings, shall be open except when issues arise involving the discussion of commercially sensitive information. 5.1.6 would also need to be deleted. To help improve the efficiency of the modification process generally Dynergy will raise another modification to section F of the code.	
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i>	
<b>Impact on BSC Systems and Other Relevant Systems and Processes Used by Parties</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i>	
<b>Impact on other Configurable Items</b> <i>(optional by proposer):</i>	

## Modification Proposal

**MP No: 23**  
(mandatory by BSCCo)

**Justification for Proposed Modification with Reference to Applicable BSC Objectives** (mandatory by proposer):

This modification will better facilitate competition in the generation and supply of electricity by enabling BSC parties, including new entrants, to actively participate in the governance of the BSC, the multi-party contract they are signatories to. This modification would allow better information to be used by ISG, by canvassing wider audience with further expertise, and will further understanding in the industry by making the governance process more open and transparent.

**Details of Proposer:**

**Name:** Lisa Waters

**Organisation:** Dynegy

**Telephone Number:** 020 8334 7265

**Email Address:** lisa.waters@dynegy.co.uk

**Details of Proposer's Representative:**

**Name:** Rekha Patel

**Organisation:** Dynegy

**Telephone Number:** 020 8334 7267

**Email Address:** rekha.patel@dynegy.co.uk

**Details of Representative's Alternate:**

**Name:**

**Organisation:**

**Telephone Number:**

**Email Address:**

**Attachments: NO**

**If Yes, Title and No. of Pages of Each Attachment:**