

INITIAL WRITTEN ASSESSMENT for Modification Proposal P206 'Publication of BSC Panel Election Results'

Prepared by: ELEXON Limited¹

Date of Issue:	8 September 2006	Document Reference:	P2061R
Reason for Issue:	For Panel Decision	Version Number:	1.0

This document has been distributed in accordance with Section F2.1.10 of the Balancing and Settlement Code.²

The process for electing Industry Panel Members is a system of preference voting by Trading Parties. The Code requires that BSCCo does not disclose the preference votes cast by Trading Parties or received by candidates.

P206 seeks to amend the Code such that BSCCo would be required to disclose the number of preference votes received in each voting round by candidates. The Modification Proposal also suggests that the qualifying total required for election and the qualifying total calculation for each round should be published. Furthermore, the Modification Proposal states that implementation should be from the submission date, in order that details of the 2006 election results would be disclosed retrospectively.

BSCCO'S RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the initial assessment BSCCo recommends that the Panel:

- **DETERMINE that Modification Proposal P206 should be submitted to the Assessment Procedure;**
- **AGREE the Assessment Procedure timetable such that an Assessment Report should be completed and submitted to the Panel for consideration at its meeting of 9 November 2006;**
- **DETERMINE that the P206 Modification Group be formed from members of the Governance Standing Modification Group; and**
- **AGREE the Modification Group Terms of Reference.**

¹ ELEXON Ltd fulfils the role of the Balancing and Settlement Code Company ('BSCCo'), pursuant to Annex X-1 of the Balancing and Settlement Code (the 'Code').

² The current version of the Code can be found at <http://www.elexon.co.uk/bscrelateddocs/BSC/default.aspx>.

CONTENTS TABLE

Summary of Impacted Parties and Documents	3
1 Description of Proposed Modification.....	4
1.1 Modification Proposal.....	4
1.2 Areas for Consideration in Progressing Modification Proposal.....	7
2 Rationale for BSCCo's Recommendations to the Panel	8
3 Terms Used in this Document	8
4 Document Control.....	9
4.1 Authorities.....	9
4.2 Intellectual Property Rights, Copyright and Disclaimer	9
Appendix 1: Modification Proposal	10
Appendix 2: Initial Assessment of Impacts of Modification Proposal	13
Appendix 3: Costs and Timetable for Progression	14

SUMMARY OF IMPACTED PARTIES AND DOCUMENTS

As far as BSCCo has been able to assess, the following parties/documents are potentially impacted by Modification Proposal P206.

Please note that this table represents a summary of the full initial impact assessment results contained in Appendix 2.

Parties	Sections of the BSC	Code Subsidiary Documents
Distribution System Operators <input type="checkbox"/>	A <input type="checkbox"/>	BSC Procedures <input type="checkbox"/>
Generators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Codes of Practice <input type="checkbox"/>
Interconnectors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C <input type="checkbox"/>	BSC Service Descriptions <input type="checkbox"/>
Licence Exemptable Generators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	D <input type="checkbox"/>	Party Service Lines <input type="checkbox"/>
Non-Physical Traders <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	E <input type="checkbox"/>	Data Catalogues <input type="checkbox"/>
Suppliers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	F <input type="checkbox"/>	Communication Requirements Documents <input type="checkbox"/>
Transmission Company <input type="checkbox"/>	G <input type="checkbox"/>	Reporting Catalogue <input type="checkbox"/>
Party Agents	H <input type="checkbox"/>	Core Industry Documents
Data Aggregators <input type="checkbox"/>	I <input type="checkbox"/>	Ancillary Services Agreement <input type="checkbox"/>
Data Collectors <input type="checkbox"/>	J <input type="checkbox"/>	British Grid Systems Agreement <input type="checkbox"/>
Meter Administrators <input type="checkbox"/>	K <input type="checkbox"/>	Data Transfer Services Agreement <input type="checkbox"/>
Meter Operator Agents <input type="checkbox"/>	L <input type="checkbox"/>	Distribution Codes <input type="checkbox"/>
ECVNA <input type="checkbox"/>	M <input type="checkbox"/>	Distribution Connection Agreements <input type="checkbox"/>
MVRNA <input type="checkbox"/>	N <input type="checkbox"/>	Distribution Use of System Agreements <input type="checkbox"/>
BSC Agents	O <input type="checkbox"/>	Grid Code <input type="checkbox"/>
SAA <input type="checkbox"/>	P <input type="checkbox"/>	Master Registration Agreement <input type="checkbox"/>
FAA <input type="checkbox"/>	Q <input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental Agreements <input type="checkbox"/>
BMRA <input type="checkbox"/>	R <input type="checkbox"/>	Use of Interconnector Agreement <input type="checkbox"/>
ECVAA <input type="checkbox"/>	S <input type="checkbox"/>	BSCCo
CDCA <input type="checkbox"/>	T <input type="checkbox"/>	Internal Working Procedures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
TAA <input type="checkbox"/>	U <input type="checkbox"/>	BSC Panel/Panel Committees
CRA <input type="checkbox"/>	V <input type="checkbox"/>	Working Practices <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SVAA <input type="checkbox"/>	W <input type="checkbox"/>	Other
Teleswitch Agent <input type="checkbox"/>	X <input type="checkbox"/>	Market Index Data Provider <input type="checkbox"/>
BSC Auditor <input type="checkbox"/>		Market Index Definition Statement <input type="checkbox"/>
Profile Administrator <input type="checkbox"/>		System Operator-Transmission Owner Code <input type="checkbox"/>
Certification Agent <input type="checkbox"/>		Transmission Licence <input type="checkbox"/>
Other Agents		
Supplier Meter Registration Agent <input type="checkbox"/>		
Unmetered Supplies Operator <input type="checkbox"/>		
Data Transfer Service Provider <input type="checkbox"/>		

1 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION

1.1 Modification Proposal

P206 was raised on 3 August 2006 by E.ON UK plc ('the Proposer'). P206 seeks to amend the Balancing and Settlement Code ('the Code'), such that BSCCo would be required to disclose the number of preference votes received in each voting round by candidates standing for election to the BSC Panel, but not the names of the voting Parties concerned. In addition, the Modification Proposal suggests that the qualifying total required by candidates for election in each round and the qualifying total calculation for each round should be published.

1.1.1 Panel Election Process

a) Nomination

The process for the election of the five Industry Panel Members is set out in Section B of the Code, Annex B-2. Each Trading Party may nominate one candidate, and each trading party group (a Trading Party and every Affiliate of that Party) may submit one set of voting papers for each Energy Account held by the voting Trading Party in that trading party group (i.e. two sets - one for the Production Energy Account and one for the Consumption Energy Account). The Panel elections are carried out using a preference voting system.

b) Voting Papers

Each submitted voting paper must indicate a first preference among the candidates. A voting paper may, but does not need to, indicate a second or third preference. However, the same candidate may not receive more than one preference in the same voting paper. Voting proceeds in a number of rounds.

Annex B-2, Paragraph 3.2.5, of Section B of the Code currently states that BSCCo will not disclose the preference votes cast by Trading Parties or received by candidates (neither the number of votes nor the names of voting Parties).

c) Voting Rounds

i) First Round

In the first voting round, the number of first preference votes allocated to each candidate is determined. The qualifying total for election is $(T/N) + 1$, where T is the total number of first preference votes in all voting papers and N is the number of Industry Panel Members to be elected. Any candidate who receives equal to or greater than the qualifying total is elected to the Panel.

ii) Second Round

In the second voting round, the remaining candidates are those not elected in the first round. The voting papers with first preference votes for candidates elected in the first round are discounted. The number of first and second preference votes allocated to each candidate on the remaining voting papers is determined. The qualifying total is now $(T'/N') + 1$, where T' is the number of first and second preference votes in all remaining voting papers and N' is the number of Panel Members remaining to be elected.

iii) Third Round

In the third voting round, the remaining candidates are those not elected in the first or second rounds. The voting papers with first or second preference votes for candidates elected in the first or second rounds are discounted. The number of first, second and third preference votes allocated to each candidate on the remaining voting papers is determined. The qualifying total is now $(T''/N'') + 1$, where T'' is the number of first, second and third preference votes in all remaining voting papers and N'' is the number of Panel Members remaining to be elected.

iv) Further Round(s)

A further round is necessary if any Panel Members remain to be elected after the third round. In this round, all voting papers are counted (i.e. including all those discarded in previous rounds), and the remaining candidates are ranked in order of the number of first preference votes allocated to them. The candidate(s) with the greatest number of such votes is elected. If there is a tie in the number of first preference votes between two or more candidates, the tied candidate(s) with the greatest number of second preference votes is elected. If there is a tie in the number of second preference votes between two or more candidates, BSCCo draws lots to select the candidate(s) to be elected from among those tied.

1.1.2 Worked Example

1st Round

Assume 6 candidates for 5 Industry Panel Member vacancies; candidates A, B, C, D, E and F. Assume 20 voting papers are received, with 1st, 2nd, and 3rd preference votes assigned as in the table below (Figure 1).

Preference	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
Votes	A	C	B	A	C		D	C	B	E	B	
Votes	A	C	B	B	C	F	D	C	B	E		
Votes	A	C	B	B	C	F	D	C	B	E		
Votes	A	C	D	B	C	F	D	C	B	F	B	A
Votes	A	C		B			D	C	B	F	E	

Figure 1

The qualifying total required by candidates for election for the Panel is then:

$$(20/5) + 1 = 5$$

Where 20 is the total number of 1st preference votes in all papers and 5 is the number of Industry Panel Members to be elected.

So any candidate with 5 or more 1st preference votes is elected to the Panel. Thus, candidate A and candidate D are elected with 6 and 5 votes respectively.

2nd Round

Any candidates not elected in the first round proceed to the second round. Any voting papers with 1st preference votes for elected candidates are now discounted, as illustrated in the table below (Figure 2). The remaining 1st and 2nd preference votes are counted.

Preference	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
Votes	A	C	B	A	C		D	C	B	E	B	
Votes	A	C	B	B	C	F	D	C	B	E		
Votes	A	C	B	B	C	F	D	C	B	E		
Votes	A	C	D	B	C	F	D	C	B	F	B	A
Votes	A	C		B			D	C	B	F	E	

Figure 2

The qualifying total is now:

$$(15/3) + 1 = 6$$

Where 15 is the total number of 1st and 2nd preference votes in all remaining papers and 3 is the number of Industry Panel Members remaining to be elected.

So any candidate with 6 or more 1st or 2nd preference votes is elected. Thus, candidate B is elected with 6 votes.

3rd Round

Any candidates not elected in the first or second round proceed to the third round. Any voting papers with 1st or 2nd preference votes for elected candidates are discounted, as illustrated in the table below (Figure 3). The remaining 1st, 2nd and 3rd preference votes are counted.

Preference	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
Votes	A	C	B	A	C		D	C	B	E	B	
Votes	A	C	B	B	C	F	D	C	B	E		
Votes	A	C	B	B	C	F	D	C	B	E		
Votes	A	C	D	B	C	F	D	C	B	F	B	A
Votes	A	C		B			D	C	B	F	E	

Figure 3

The qualifying total is now:

$$(4/2) + 1 = 3$$

Where 4 is the total number of 1st, 2nd and 3rd preference votes in all remaining papers and 2 is the number of Industry Panel Members remaining to be elected.

So any candidate with 3 or more 1st, 2nd or 3rd preference votes is elected. Thus, candidate E is elected with 3 votes.

Further Round

Candidates A, D, B and E have been elected; thus one Panel member remains to be elected from among candidates C and F. Counting all voting papers (i.e. including all those discounted in all preceding rounds), the remaining candidates are ranked in order of the 1st preference votes allocated to them. The candidate with the greatest number of such votes is then elected. Candidate F has 2 votes and candidate C has none, so candidate F is elected to the Panel.

1.1.3 Replacement of Panel Members

In the event that a Panel Member ceases to hold office not less than six months before the end of his term of office, a replacement is elected for the remainder of the term, using the process described above. However, only Trading Parties that voted for the resigning Panel Member (with a first, second or third preference vote), or who did not vote for any elected Panel Member still serving, may participate in the election by nominating candidates or voting.

If a Panel Member ceases to hold office less than six months before the end of his term of office, the Trading Party which nominated the resigning Panel Member is entitled to appoint a replacement Panel Member for the remainder of the term.

1.1.4 Aim of P206

The Proposer believes that the publication of the numbers of votes received by candidates in Panel elections would make the Panel election procedure more transparent and open to scrutiny by Parties. The Proposer asserts that the existing ability of Parties to require that the BSC Auditor scrutinise the conduct of elections only allows validation of the process, whereas disclosure of the numbers of votes received by candidates would allow evaluation of the Panel election process, which may lead to more efficient governance arrangements.

1.2 Areas for Consideration in Progressing Modification Proposal

An initial assessment of P206 has identified the following areas which BSCCo recommends should be considered further during the progression of the Modification Proposal.

BSCCo has also undertaken an initial assessment of the potential impacts of P206 on BSC systems, documentation and processes, and the full results of this assessment can be found in Appendix 2.

1.2.1 Principle of Disclosure of Voting Information

BSCCo recommends that consideration be given to the principle of whether information regarding the number of preference votes received by candidates should be published. BSCCo also recommends that any implications of the Freedom of Information Act in this area should be considered.

1.2.2 Relevant Election Process Precedents

BSCCo recommends that consideration be given to any precedents regarding confidentiality or disclosure of preference votes set by the election procedures of similar bodies (e.g. industry panels, quasi-regulatory bodies).

1.2.3 Previous Views

BSCCo recommends that consideration be given to any views previously expressed by Ofgem and/or industry (e.g. during assessment of Rejected Modification Proposal P129 'Changes to Panel Determination Process and Panel Election Procedures' or as part of the original drafting of the Code) regarding the disclosure of voting. P129 sought to amend the Panel election process from preference voting to a 'first past the post' system, and the solution agreed by the P129 Modification Group for this new election process included the publication of the numbers of votes received by candidates.

1.2.4 Information to be Disclosed

BSCCo recommends that consideration be given to the precise voting information that should be published, e.g. the number of preference votes received by each candidate in each voting round or the total number of votes received by elected candidates. The Modification Proposal suggests that, in addition to the number of preference votes received by each candidate in each voting round, BSCCo should also publish the qualifying total required by candidates for election in each round and the quota calculation (i.e. the qualifying total calculation) for each round.

1.2.5 Retrospectivity

The Modification Proposal states that, if approved, the amendment should be implemented from the submission date (i.e. 3 August 2006), in order that the 2006 election results would be disclosed.

Voting papers for the 2006 Panel election were issued on 17 July 2006 and voting closed on 9 August. Therefore, even assuming that all voting Parties became aware of the Proposed Modification on the day it was submitted, all of the nomination process and the majority of the voting were carried out under the existing election procedure as described in Annex B-2 of the Code, under the assumption that all aspects of voting would be confidential.

BSCCo recommends that consideration be given to the implications of the element of retrospectivity in the Modification Proposal, including any legal implications of Parties and candidates participating in Panel elections using a process that is then retrospectively changed.

1.2.6 Confidentiality

Due to the nature of the Panel elections process, BSCCo recommends that it be considered how much voting information can be disclosed before the confidentiality of voting may become compromised. With a known number of candidates and a finite number of voting Parties (some of whose voting intentions can be reasonably anticipated, e.g. if a Party has nominated a candidate) it should be considered whether it may be possible in some circumstances to deduce who has voted for who if the numbers of votes received by candidates are disclosed.

1.2.7 Elections for Replacement Panel Members

BSCCo recommends that consideration be given to any particular implications for elections held to replace members who resign more than six months before the end of their term of office (e.g. as these would involve fewer candidates and votes, any risk to confidentiality may be increased).

1.2.8 Draft Legal Text

The Modification contains some suggested legal drafting. BSCCo recommends that, in light of the areas set out above, consideration be given to the most appropriate legal drafting.

2 RATIONALE FOR BSCCO'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PANEL

BSCCo believes that further consideration of P206 by a Modification Group is required in order to further consider, and consult upon, the areas raised by this IWA. As the areas for consideration are sufficiently defined, BSCCo recommends that P206 proceed to the Assessment Procedure.

BSCCo recommends that P206 be submitted to a 2-month Assessment Procedure.

It is estimated that progression of P206 will require:

- 2 Modification Group meetings;
- 1 industry consultation (2 weeks duration);
- 1 BSCCo impact assessment; and
- 1 request for Transmission Company analysis.

The proposed timetable and estimated costs for the progression of P206 are shown in Appendix 3.

BSCCo recommends that the P206 Modification Group be formed from members of the Governance Standing Modification Group, whose areas of expertise include the governance of the Panel and Panel Committees and principles of confidentiality under the Code.

BSCCo recommends that the areas for consideration raised by this IWA should form the basis of the Modification Group Terms of Reference, along with any additional areas proposed by the Panel.

3 TERMS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT

Other acronyms and defined terms take the meanings defined in Section X of the Code.

Acronym/Term	Definition
Preference Vote	First, second or third preference among candidates, indicated on a voting paper.
Trading party group	A Trading Party and every Affiliate of that Trading Party.
Industry Panel Member	A Panel Member appointed pursuant to Section B2.2 of the Code.

4 DOCUMENT CONTROL

4.1 Authorities

Version	Date	Author	Reviewer	Reason for Review
0.1	01/09/06	Dean Riddell	Tom Bowcutt	For peer review
0.2	04/09/06	Dean Riddell	Kathryn Coffin	For technical review
0.3	06/09/06	Dean Riddell	Kathryn Coffin, Laone Roscorla, Richard Clarke	For quality review
0.4	07/09/06	Dean Riddell	Sarah Jones	For quality review
1.0	08/09/06	Change Delivery		For Panel decision

4.2 Intellectual Property Rights, Copyright and Disclaimer

This document contains materials the copyright and other intellectual property rights in which are vested in ELEXON Limited or which appear with the consent of the copyright owner. These materials are made available for you to review and to copy for the purposes of your establishment or operation of or participation in electricity trading arrangements under the Balancing and Settlement Code ("BSC"). All other commercial use is prohibited. Unless you are a person having an interest in electricity trading under the BSC you are not permitted to view, download, modify, copy, distribute, transmit, store, reproduce or otherwise use, publish, licence, transfer, sell or create derivative works (in whatever format) from this document or any information obtained from this document otherwise than for personal academic or other non-commercial purposes. All copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original material must be retained on any copy that you make. All other rights of the copyright owner not expressly dealt with above are reserved.

No representation, warranty or guarantee is made that the information provided is accurate, current or complete. Whilst care is taken in the collection and provision of this information, ELEXON Limited will not be liable for any errors, omissions, misstatements or mistakes in any information or damages resulting from the use of this information or any decision made or action taken in reliance on this information.

APPENDIX 1: MODIFICATION PROPOSAL

Modification Proposal – BSCP40/06	MP No: 206 (mandatory by BSCCo)
Title of Modification Proposal <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> : Publication of BSC Panel Election Results	
Submission Date <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> : Thursday 3 August 2006	
Description of Proposed Modification <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Annex B-2 of the Code should be amended to require BSCCo to disclose the number of preference votes received in each voting round by candidates standing for election to the BSC Panel. Subject to approval, this amendment should be implemented from the submission date of this Modification Proposal, in order to disclose the 2006 election results. The details of preference votes cast by Trading Parties would remain secret. The option of Trading Parties to require that a BSC Auditor scrutinise the conduct of the election would remain unaffected.	
Description of Issue or Defect that Modification Proposal Seeks to Address <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> The BSC Panel exercises judgement on proposed amendments to the Code and makes direct recommendations to the legislated Authority. It is therefore highly influential; and its decisions can affect BSC Parties profoundly. As such, the election of candidates to the Panel should be through an objective and transparent process. The secret ballot is the most widespread measure to ensure that views are known only to the individual voter. The concept is common to virtually all electoral systems. However, there is hardly any precedent for election results, in terms of the actual number of votes cast for candidates, to be kept secret. The current electoral system employed in the BSC comprises a multi-winner system, non-transferable preference votes and a variant on standard quotas. It is unusual to either political or corporate bodies and should be open to scrutiny by BSC Parties. However such scrutiny is made very difficult without disclosure of the voting mechanism. The proposer can see no reason why it should not be possible for Parties to evaluate election results, as it may lead to more efficient governance arrangements. The existing option to require that a BSC Auditor scrutinise the conduct of the election merely allows Parties to validate rather than evaluate the process.	
Impact on Code <i>(optional by originator)</i> Paragraph 3.2.5 of Annex B-2 would be amended: "...or received by candidates..." would be deleted and replaced with "...but will publish the quota calculation and qualifying total for each voting round and the number of preference votes received by candidates in each voting round..."	
Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code <i>(optional by originator)</i> None foreseen.	

Modification Proposal – BSCP40/06	MP No: 206 (mandatory by BSCCo)
Impact on BSC Systems and Other Relevant Systems and Processes Used by Parties <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
None foreseen.	
Impact on other Configurable Items <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
None foreseen.	
Justification for Proposed Modification with Reference to Applicable BSC Objectives <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>This proposal would better facilitate Applicable Objective (d), “promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements”. It would add transparency to a needlessly undisclosed feature of the Code and allow Parties to consider potentially more efficient governance arrangements.</p>	
Urgency Recommended: Yes / No <i>(delete as appropriate) (optional by originator)</i>	
Justification for Urgency Recommendation <i>(mandatory by originator if recommending progression as an Urgent Modification Proposal)</i>	
<p>Details of Proposer:</p> <p>Name.....<i>Ben Sheehy.....</i></p> <p>Organisation.....<i>E.ON UK plc.....</i></p> <p>Telephone Number.....<i>02476 424287.....</i></p> <p>Email Address.....<i>ben.sheehy@eon-uk.com.....</i></p>	

Modification Proposal – BSCP40/06	MP No: 206 (mandatory by BSCCo)
Details of Proposer’s Representative:	
<i>Name.....As Proposer.....</i>	
<i>Organisation.....</i>	
<i>Telephone Number.....</i>	
<i>Email address.....</i>	
Details of Representative’s Alternate:	
<i>Name.....Peter Bolitho.....</i>	
<i>Organisation..... E.ON UK plc.....</i>	
<i>Telephone Number.....02476 425441.....</i>	
<i>Email address.....peter.bolitho@eon-uk.com.....</i>	
Attachments: Yes / No <i>(delete as appropriate) (mandatory by originator)</i>	
If Yes, Title and No. of Pages of Each Attachment:	

APPENDIX 2: INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS OF MODIFICATION PROPOSAL

An initial assessment has been undertaken by BSCCo in respect of all BSC systems, documentation and processes. The following have been identified as being potentially impacted by P206.

a) Impact on BSC Systems and Processes

No impact anticipated.

b) Impact on BSC Agent Contractual Arrangements

No impact anticipated.

c) Impact on BSC Parties and Party Agents

No impact anticipated.

d) Impact on Transmission Company

No impact anticipated.

e) Impact on BSCCo

Area of Business	Potential Impact of Proposed Modification
Panel elections audit service	Very minor impact to take into account P206.
Panel support	Minor governance, legal and communication impacts.

f) Impact on Code

Code Section	Potential Impact of Proposed Modification
Section B	Annex B-2: Election of Industry Panel Members, would be amended to detail the requirement for BSCCo to publish the numbers of votes received by candidates.

g) Impact on Code Subsidiary Documents

No impact anticipated.

h) Impact on Core Industry Documents and Other Documents

No impact anticipated.

i) Impact on Other Configurable Items

No impact anticipated.

j) Impact on BSCCo Memorandum and Articles of Association

No impact anticipated.

k) Impact on Governance and Regulatory Framework

No impact anticipated.

APPENDIX 3: COSTS AND TIMETABLE FOR PROGRESSION**ESTIMATED COSTS OF PROGRESSING MODIFICATION PROPOSAL³**

Meeting Cost	£1,000
Legal/Expert Cost	Nil
Impact Assessment Cost	Nil
ELEXON Resource	35 man days £6,550

³ Clarification of the meanings of the cost terms in this appendix can be found on the BSC Website at the following link:
http://www.elexon.co.uk/documents/Change_and_Implementation/Modifications_Process_-_Related_Documents/Clarification_of_Costs_in_Modification_Procedure_Reports.pdf

P206 Assessment Procedure Timetable

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	September				October				November				De
					04/09	11/09	18/09	25/09	02/10	09/10	16/10	23/10	30/10	06/11	13/11	20/11	27/11
1	IWA Presented to Panel	0 days	Thu 14/09/06	Thu 14/09/06		■											
2	MG Meeting 1	0 days	Mon 18/09/06	Mon 18/09/06			■										
3	Draft Consultation Document	5 days	Tue 19/09/06	Mon 25/09/06				■									
4	MG Review by Correspondence	3 days	Tue 26/09/06	Thu 28/09/06					■								
5	Industry Consultation	11 days	Fri 29/09/06	Fri 13/10/06						■							
6	Draft Legal Text	11 days	Fri 29/09/06	Fri 13/10/06						■							
7	TC Analysis	11 days	Fri 29/09/06	Fri 13/10/06						■							
8	BSCCo Impact Assessment	7 days	Fri 29/09/06	Mon 09/10/06						■							
9	Compile Responses	1 day	Mon 16/10/06	Mon 16/10/06							■						
10	MG Meeting 2	0 days	Tue 17/10/06	Tue 17/10/06								■					
11	Draft Assessment Report	5 days	Wed 18/10/06	Tue 24/10/06									■				
12	MG Review by Correspondence	4 days	Wed 25/10/06	Mon 30/10/06										■			
13	Update Assessment Report	3 days	Tue 31/10/06	Thu 02/11/06											■		
14	Panel Paper Day	0 days	Fri 03/11/06	Fri 03/11/06												■	
15	Assessment Report Presented to Panel	0 days	Thu 09/11/06	Thu 09/11/06													■