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We welcome your views and responses to the questions set out in this response form. To help us understand your response, please provide supporting reasons for your answers where possible. Where applicable, we also encourage you to provide financial information showing any costs and/or benefits arising from any of our recommendations to your business.

**ELEXON can treat any information provided as confidential if you request this.**

Please complete this form and email it to us at [design.authority@elexon.co.uk](mailto:design.authority@elexon.co.uk) by **17:00** on **Friday, 6 January 2017**.

Your details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Respondent | |
| **Name** |  |
| **Organisation** |  |
| **Contact telephone number** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Confidentiality | |
| **Does this response contain confidential information?** | *If ‘yes’, please clearly mark the confidential parts* |

Metering Dispensations – Consultation Questions

| Question 1 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that a CP should be raised to make it clear that Metering Equipment must be installed at the point of connection to the Transmission System? |
|  |

| Question 2 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that no further changes are required to the BSC or BSCPs for Third Party Access? |
|  |

| Question 3 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that the relevant CoPs should be amended to not require metering for supplies where assets to run a windfarm are shared by the windfarm operator and the OFTO? |
|  |

| Question 4 |
| --- |
| Do you have a view on the approach proposed for allocating the estimates of the windfarm operator’s share of consumption? Please provide any views you have on any threshold for this share to be considered immaterial. |
|  |

| Question 5 |
| --- |
| Do you agree with the proposed approach to ensuring stakeholders such as Meter manufacturers that are not normally consulted on BSC changes are consulted when metering CoPs are impacted? |
|  |

| Question 6 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that additional advice and guidance on the detailed plans for rectifying non-compliances and providing accuracy assessments for Metering Dispensation applications should be provided? Is there particular guidance you’d like us to include or improve? |
|  |

| Question 7 |
| --- |
| Do you agree with the proposed approach to investigating and resolving expired Metering Dispensations? |
|  |

| Question 8 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that ‘differencing arrangement’ GSPs and related sites should be subject to the proposed review and investigations? |
|  |

| Question 9 |
| --- |
| Do you have any further comments on any part of the Metering Dispensations review? |
|  |

Non-standard BM Unit applications – Consultation Questions

| Question 10 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that a clarification should be added that allows separate Import and Export BM Units to be registered by the same Party though the same Systems Connection Point? |
|  |

| Question 11 |
| --- |
| Please describe any plans you are aware of to convert CCGT Modules to OCGT Modules. We are particularly interested in whether non-standard BM Units would be sought and the likely numbers of conversions per year. |
|  |

| Question 12 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that the list of standard BM Unit configurations listed in the Code should be updated with the four suggestions put forward? |
|  |

| Question 13 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that thresholds need to be introduced for some of the proposed new standard configurations? If so, please provide any views you have on what those thresholds should be. |
|  |

| Question 14 |
| --- |
| Are you planning any non-standard BM Unit applications in the future that would fall into one of the proposed new standard configurations? If so, please provide details of your plans. |
|  |

| Question 15 |
| --- |
| Are you planning any non-standard BM Unit applications in the future that would not fall into one of the proposed new standard configurations? If so, please explain the configuration. |
|  |

| Question 16 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that that Panel should be able to agree ‘generic’ non-standard BM Unit configurations? |
|  |

| Question 17 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that the BSC or BSCP15 should be updated to include a clause for how changes to non-standard BM Unit configurations should be treated? |
|  |

| Question 18 |
| --- |
| Do you agree with the proposed approach for handling currently registered BM Units that have a non-standard configuration but which are not registered as such with the ISG? |
|  |

| Question 19 |
| --- |
| Do you agree that additional information on the non-standard BM Unit application process and an application form are added to BSCP15? |
|  |

| Question 20 |
| --- |
| Do you have any further comments on any part of the non-standard BM Unit applications review? |
|  |