## Modification Proposal – BSCP40/03

MP No: P331

(mandatory by BSCCo)

**Title of Modification Proposal** (mandatory by originator):

Extended Dispute Deadline at the Post-Final Settlement Run under exceptional circumstances

**Submission Date** (mandatory by originator):

14 January 2016

**Description of Proposed Modification** (mandatory by originator)

Extending the Dispute Deadline for Settlement Errors at the Post-Final Settlement Run will provide increased flexibility in the timeframes for which corrections can be authorised. Rather than any broad extension to the overall deadline, it is proposed that only the provisions relating to exceptional circumstances be extended from one month to two months. Extending beyond this, even with the control of Trading Disputes Committee (TDC) determining exceptional circumstances, would risk a moral hazard.

**Description of Issue or Defect that Modification Proposal Seeks to Address** (mandatory by originator)

Due to the Dispute Deadline, a proportion of the disputed period under Trading Dispute DA686 could not be corrected. An extension to the deadline would have permitted full correction and Trading Disputes could arise in future that concern periods similar to, or greater than, DA686 so the current arrangements could result in further Settlement Error not being addressed.

**Impact on Code** (*optional by originator*)

Section W

**Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code** *(optional by originator)* 

None

**Impact on BSC Systems and Other Relevant Systems and Processes Used by Parties** (*optional by originator*)

None

**Impact on other Configurable Items** (*optional by originator*)

BSCP11 'Trading Disputes'

## **Modification Proposal – BSCP40/03**

MP No: P331

(mandatory by BSCCo)

**Justification for Proposed Modification with Reference to Applicable BSC Objectives** *(mandatory by originator)* 

This Modification better achieves BSC Applicable Objective (d) 'Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements' as providing more flexibility in the timeframes that corrections can be authorised, limited to when the TDC or Panel believe that there are exceptional circumstances, would enable the resolution of more Settlement Error.

Is there a likely material environmental impact? (optional by originator)

None

Urgency Recommended: No

**Justification for Urgency Recommendation** (mandatory by originator if recommending progression as an Urgent Modification Proposal)

N/A

Self-Governance Recommended: Yes

**Justification for Self-Governance Recommendation** (mandatory by originator if recommending progression as Self-Governance Modification Proposal)

This change would not have a material impact on the specified categories of participants nor discriminate between different classes of Parties

Fast Track Self-Governance Recommended:

No

**Justification for Fast Track Self-Governance Recommendation** (mandatory by originator if recommending progression as Fast Track Self-Governance Modification Proposal)

N/A

**Should this Modification Proposal be considered exempt from any ongoing Significant Code Reviews?** (optional by originator in order to assist the Panel decide whether a Modification Proposal should undergo a SCR Suitability Assessment)

No relevant ongoing SCRs

Modification Proposal – BSCP40/03	<b>MP No: P331</b> (mandatory by BSCCo)
Details of Proposer:	
Name: BSC Panel	
Organisation:	
Telephone Number:	
Email Address :	
Details of Proposer's Representative:	
Name: Simon Fox-Mella	
Organisation: ELEXON	
<i>Telephone Number:</i> 020 7380 4299	
Email Address : <u>bsc.change@elexon.co.uk</u>	
Details of Representative's Alternate:	
<i>Name:</i> Dean Riddell	
Organisation: ELEXON	
<i>Telephone Number:</i> 020 7380 4366	
Email Address : <u>bsc.change@elexon.co.uk</u>	
Attachments: Yes	
If Yes, Title and No. of Pages of Each Attachment:	
Attachment A – Proposed draft legal text (1 page)	
Attachment B – Proposed draft BSCP11 changes (1 page)	