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We invite you to respond to the consultation on the Risk Evaluation Register (RER) for 2016/17. The changes we are recommending to the RER for Performance Assurance Operating Period (PAOP) 9 1 April 2016 to 31 March 2017 are provided in Appendix 1. The complete list of risks including proposed amendments is in RER ledger. In particular, we’d like your responses to the questions below and your reasons for those responses.

Please respond by 17 July 2015 (we may not be able to consider late responses). ***No response will be taken as agreement to any proposed changes.***

Your Contact Details:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Respondent | Your name |
| Telephone contact | Your telephone number |
| Company name | Your company name |
| Number of BSC Parties represented  | Please give the total number of BSC Parties on whose behalf you are responding (including your own organisation if relevant) |
| Names of BSC Parties represented | Please list the names of all BSC Parties on whose behalf) you are responding (including the name of your own organisation if relevant) |
| Number of non-Parties represented | Please give the total number of non-Parties (e.g. Party Agents, consultancies) on whose behalf you are responding (including your own organisation if relevant) |
| Names of non-Parties represented | Please list the names of all non-Parties on whose behalf you are responding (including the name of your own organisation if relevant) |
| Role of Parties/non-Parties represented | Please state the industry role of the Parties/non-Parties on whose behalf you are responding (including the role of your own organisation if relevant) – e.g. Supplier/ Generator/Trader/Consolidator/Exemptable Generator/BSC Agent/Party Agent/Distributors/ other – please state |
| Does this response contain confidential information? | If yes, then please clearly show which information is confidential. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| When we present your findings to the Performance Assurance Board in August, we intend to include your comments (unless indicated as confidential) as an attachment to the PAB paper which will be publicly available on the website after the PAB meeting. |  |
| **Do you agree that your comments can be published?** | Yes/No |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Question 1 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to SR0068 to include the new measurement classes introduced by P300? | Response: Y/N |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Question 2 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to SR0119 to accommodate the changes introduced by P272? | Response: Y/N |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Question 3 | Do you agree with the proposed new risk SR0189 to accommodate the changes introduced by P372? | Response: Y/N |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Question 4 | Are there any Settlement Risks which your organisation believes should be added, removed or amended (description, role codes, etc) from the Risk Evaluation Register? | Response: Y/N |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Question 5 | Please provide any further comments you may have on the Risk Evaluation Register and, where necessary, clearly specifying the Settlement Risk. | Response: Y/N |
|  |  |  |

Further Information

To help us process your response, please:

* Email your completed response form to melinda.anderson@elexon.co.uk;

The Performance Assurance Board will consider your consultation response at its meeting in August 2013. Thank you for your time.

Any questions?

Contact: Melinda Anderson

Email: melinda.anderson@elexon.co.uk

Telephone: 07551124688

Appendix 1

Proposed changes to RER 2016/17

| **SRIN** | **EFD** | **Risk Description** | **Gross Prob.** | **Gross Imp.** | **Gross Sig.** | **Noted Controls** | **Control Strength** | **Net Sig.** | **Rationale for change** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SR0068 | 5 Nov 2015 | The risk that Measurement Class 'E', ‘F’ and ‘G’ sites that subsequently meet the criteria for 100kW Metering for mandatory HH metering Systems are not registered as Measurement Class ‘C’ within required timescales resulting in data being collected outside required timescales and inaccurate data entering Settlement. | 2 | 1 | 2 | None identified. | Low | 2 | New measurement classes introduced by P300. |
| SR0119 | Immediate | The risk that a NHH metered site that meets the criteria for 100kW Metering ~~mandatory HH metering~~ Systems does not have a HH meter installed within required timescales resulting in energy potentially being allocated to the wrong Settlement Period or collected outside required timescales. | 4 | ~~2~~3 – The impact of this risk is that the Meter is settled NHH when it should be HH. | 12 | 100kW Demand Report (P28). ~~D0081 (Supplier Half Hourly Demand Report).~~ | ~~Low~~Medium – P28 sent monthly to BSC Panel and Supplier.  | ~~8~~~~6~~10 | Re-wording to accommodate P272 changes.Review probability rating post P272 implementation (1 April 2016) because the likely threshold crossers will be HH rather than NHH.  |
| New riskSR0189 | 1 April 2016 | The risk that a Profile Class 5-8 Non Half Hourly Advanced Metering System is settled Non Half Hourly instead of Half Hourly resulting in energy potentially being allocated to the wrong Settlement Period or collected outside required timescales. | 3 | 2The impact of this risk is that the Meter is settled NHH when it should be HH (but HH consumption is under 100kW therefore the impact is not as severe as for SR0119).  | 6 | None identified. | Low | 6 | This is the risk that the P272 changes are not implemented. This risk will be lessened following migration but will still exist for a small number of Metering Systems that might switchfrom PC3-4 into PC5-8 and new connections.  |