

CP Assessment Report

CP1441 'Allowing the Peer Comparison technique to be reported on a Supplier Agent level'



Committee

Performance Assurance Board

Recommendation

Approve

Implementation Date

5 November 2015
(November 2015 Release)

Contents

1	Why Change?	2
2	Solution	3
3	Impacts and Costs	4
4	Implementation Approach	5
5	Initial Committee Views	5
6	Industry Views	6
7	Recommendations	7
	Appendix 1: Glossary & References	8

About This Document

This document is the Change Proposal (CP) Assessment Report for CP1441 which ELEXON will present to the Performance Assurance Board (PAB) at its meeting on 30 July 2015 and the Supplier Volume Allocation Group (SVG) at its meeting on the 4 August 2015. The PAB and the SVG will consider the proposed solution and the responses received to the CP Consultation before making a decision on whether to approve CP1441.

There are three parts to this document:

- This is the main document. It provides details of the solution, impacts, costs, and proposed implementation approach. It also summarises the PAB and SVG's initial views on the proposed changes and the views of respondents to the CP Consultation.
- Attachment A contains the proposed redlined changes to deliver the CP1441 solution.
- Attachment B contains the full responses received to the CP Consultation.

PAB174/04

CP1441
CP Assessment Report

24 July 2015

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 8

© ELEXON Limited 2015

1 Why Change?

Background

The [Performance Assurance Reporting and Monitoring System](#) (PARMS) is a database that contains information about how Suppliers and their Supplier Hubs are performing.

PARMS data is used primarily to support Performance Monitoring and Reporting, which is part of ELEXON's [Performance Assurance Framework](#) (PAF). However, PARMS data is also used in the [Peer Comparison](#) technique, which is designed to encourage performance improvements and compliance by showing comparative performance across relevant participants.

What is the issue?

The current provisions in Balancing and Settlement Code Procedure (BSCP) [533 'PARMS data provision, reporting and publication of Peer Comparison data'](#) only permits the Peer Comparison technique to be performed at a Supplier level. However, seven of the Peer Comparison PARMS Serials (BSCP533 section 5.15) report performance at a Supplier Agent level which is then aggregated to a Supplier level¹.

The PAB considered this limitation at its meeting on 29 January 2015 ([PAB168/08](#)). It determined that, as a number of Peer Comparison PARMS Serials report performance at a Supplier Agent level, the Peer Comparison technique should also support reporting at this level. To facilitate this, the PAB determined that a CP should be raised to progress changes to BSCP533 to enable Peer Comparison to be performed at a Supplier Agent level.



What is the PAF?

The PAF is in place to provide assurance that:

- energy is allocated between Suppliers efficiently, correctly and accurately;
- Suppliers and Supplier Agents transfer Metering System data efficiently and accurately; and
- calculations and allocations of energy and the associated Trading Charges are performed in line with the requirements detailed in the BSC.

¹ These are: HM11 (Timely Sending of Half Hourly (HH) Meter Technical Details (MTDs) to Half Hourly Data Collectors (HHDCs)); HM12 (Missing HH MTDs); HM13 (Quality of HH MTDs); HM14 (Timely HH Meter Investigation Requests); NM11 (Timely Sending of Non Half Hourly (NHH) MTDs to Non Half Hourly Data Collectors (NHHDCs)); NM12 (Missing NHH MTDs); and NC11 (Missing NHH Meter Reads & History from Old NHHDC to New NHHDC).

Proposed solution and draft redlining

[CP1441 'Allowing the Peer Comparison technique to be reported on a Supplier Agent level'](#)

was raised by ELEXON. It proposes to amend BSCP533 paragraph 5.16.2 to introduce Supplier Agents into the publication criteria of Peer Comparison, as shown in the draft changes to BSCP533 in Attachment B.

Whilst the associated PARMS Serials all aggregate data to a Supplier level, it is the Supplier Agents who undertake the activities that some of the Serials monitor. Reporting Supplier Agent performance through the Peer Comparison technique will incentivise good performance and the effective delivery of the PAF.

3 Impacts and Costs

Central impacts and costs

CP1441 will require document changes to BSCP533. There are no systems impacted, and there will be no impacts on BSC Agents.

Central Impacts	
Document Impacts	System Impacts
<ul style="list-style-type: none">BSCP533	<i>None</i>

The central implementation costs for CP1441 will be approximately £240 (one ELEXON man day) for ELEXON to implement the relevant document changes.

BSC Party & Party Agent impacts and costs

There are no implementation impacts on BSC Parties, as the necessary information is already submitted by Supplier Agents.

Three respondents to the CP Consultation indicated that they would be impacted by CP1441. Two of these respondents advised that these impacts would be minor and highlighted that there would be no system changes required to implement CP1441. Another respondent noted that they would need to put in place processes to ensure that they can extract suitable data from its Supplier Agent systems for the Peer Comparison analysis.

All of the respondents to the CP Consultation believed that there would be no costs incurred due to the implementation of CP1441.

4 Implementation Approach

Recommended Implementation Date

We are recommending an Implementation Date for CP1441 of **5 November 2015** as part of the November 2015 BSC Systems Release, as this is the next available Release that this CP can be included in.

Respondents to the CP Consultation unanimously agreed with the proposed Implementation Date, with one commenting that it seems a reasonable approach.

5 Initial Committee Views

PAB's initial views

The PAB considered CP1441 at its meeting on 28 May 2015 ([PAB172/05](#)).

The PAB had no initial comments on CP1441 and did not ask for any additional questions to be added to the CP Consultation.

SVG's initial views

The SVG considered CP1441 at its meeting on 2 June 2015 ([SVG172/05](#)).

The SVG had no initial comments on CP1441 and did not ask for any additional questions to be added to the CP Consultation.

6 Industry Views

This section summarises the responses received to the CP Consultation. You can find the full responses in Attachment B.

Summary of CP1441 CP Consultation Responses				
Question	Yes	No	Neutral/ No Comment	Other
Do you agree with the CP1441 proposed solution?	6	0	0	0
Do you agree that the draft redlining delivers the intent of CP1441?	6	0	0	0
Will CP1441 impact your organisation?	3	3	0	0
Will your organisation incur any costs in implementing CP1441?	0	6	0	0
Do you agree with the proposed implementation approach for CP1441?	6	0	0	0

View on the proposed solution

All six respondents to the CP1441 CP Consultation agreed with the proposed solution.

One respondent commented that the change is an efficient method of improving transparency of Supplier Agent performance. Another respondent agreed that reporting Supplier Agent performance through the Peer Comparison technique would help incentivise good performance.

Comments on the proposed redlining

Respondents to the CP Consultation unanimously agreed that the proposed redlined changes to BSCP533 deliver the intention of CP1441.

7 Recommendations

We invite you to:

- **APPROVE** the proposed changes to BSCP533 for CP1441; and
- **APPROVE** CP1441 for implementation on 5 November 2015 as part of the November 2015 Release.
- **NOTE** that CP1441 will also be presented to the SVG on 4 August 2015 for decision.

Appendix 1: Glossary & References

Acronyms

Acronyms used in this document are listed in the table below.

Acronyms	
Acronym	Definition
BSCP	Balancing and Settlement Code Procedure (<i>Code Subsidiary Document</i>)
CP	Change Proposal
CPC	Change Proposal Circular
HH	Half Hourly
NHH	Non Half Hourly
NHHDC	Non Half Hourly Data Collector (<i>Party Agent</i>)
PAB	Performance Assurance Board (<i>Panel Committee</i>)
PAF	Performance Assurance Framework
PARMS	Performance Assurance Reporting and Monitoring System
SVG	Supplier Volume Allocation Group (<i>Panel Committee</i>)

External links

A summary of all hyperlinks used in this document are listed in the table below.

All external documents and URL links listed are correct as of the date of this document.

External Links		
Page(s)	Description	URL
2	PARMS information page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/reference/market-compliance/parms/
2	PAF information page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/reference/market-compliance/performance-assurance/
2	Peer Comparison information page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/reference/market-compliance/peer-comparison/
2	BSCP page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/bsc-related-documents/related-documents/bscps/
2	PAB168 page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/meeting/pab-168/
3	CP1441 page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/change-proposal/cp1441/
5	PAB172 page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/meeting/pab-172/
5	SVG172 page on the ELEXON website	https://www.elexon.co.uk/meeting/svg-172/

PAB174/04

CP1441

CP Assessment Report

24 July 2015

Version 1.0

Page 8 of 8

© ELEXON Limited 2015