

Change Proposal Circular – CPC00743 Responses

CPC00743: Impact Assessment of CP1416

Summary of Responses for CP1416

ORGANISATION	Agree with the change?	Impacted?	Cost?	Implementation Date?
BES Commercial Electricity Ltd	Yes	No	None	Yes
British Gas	Yes	No	None	Yes
EDF Energy	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Yes
E.ON	Yes	Yes	Low cost	No
IMServ Europe Ltd	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
RWE npower	Yes	No	None	Yes
ScottishPower	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	Yes	Yes	None	No
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	Yes	Low cost	Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1416	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
BES Commercial Electricity Ltd	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? – Agree with change because we already treat all remotely isolated smarts as energised.</p>
British Gas	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? No comments.</p>
EDF Energy	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – Changes will be needed to Supplier and NHHDC systems to accept and process the potential data flow changes required to communicate this additional information.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – At this stage we are unable to provide detail on associated costs for delivering this change.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? – Agree with change because periods of ‘disablement’ should be accounted for in settlement. Further work may be required with respect to recording periods of ‘disablement’. To ensure that periods of non-consumption are taken into account during settlement, it may be beneficial for the NHHDC to be aware of when the meter was ‘disabled’ and also when the meter is re-enabled. This does pose further questions where there is a Change of Supplier during the period though.</p>
E.ON	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As a Supplier, all remote disable processes will need to be reviewed to confirm that the status of de-energised will not be set.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – We do not believe that any of our internal processes would set the status to de-energised, however, a review of internal processes would be required to confirm this</p>

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1416	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	<p>which would equate to 2 weeks man hours</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? – No. Although we believe that this change will not require system changes its association to CP1417 would require significant work. We believe that this change should be implemented in the November 2015 release with CP1415 and CP1417.</p> <p>Any other comments? – No comments.</p>
IMServ Europe Ltd	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As a NHHMO we need to ensure ES for remotely disabled sites remains at E and as a NHHDC to need to recognise and process valid zero advances on remotely disabled sites.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – None</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? – Change provides clarification in an area where things are not clear.</p>
RWE npower	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes - Npower agree that remotely disabled meters should be treated as energised.</p> <p>Any other comments? – Meter Operator has always been aware that unless the mains fuse is removed; that a site will always be classed as energised for the purpose of safety etc. Npower would like to state to the BSCP 514 as absolute confirmation. The DYYYY flow which is to be introduced in February 15; could and should have also captured the remote disabled status to send to the MOP. Although MOP will always work from the Energisation status only; it does no harm to also know if the meter is shut down as this would help MOP handle any queries received and any on site activity.</p> <p>This should not impact the approach to the way Risk Assessments are performed on site as the operator should still and always</p>

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1416	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	<p>confirm the Energisation status before performing any work regardless of the status of the meter.</p> <p>Currently npower domestic are currently not planning to use the functionality of remotely disabling meters, however, Npower will still need to consider the changes in industry regulation to ensure that we are compliant.</p> <p>In future releases, we would need to investigate functionality to retrieve zero advances from remotely disabled sites, and to ensure that the NHHDC is notified of this, so that zero advances do not fail validation. Consideration will be required in SAP ISU and MDMS relating to how remotely disabled meters will be flagged, treated as energised, and to ensure that MROs are generated for these sites.</p>
ScottishPower	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As per Q1, from a Supplier perspective we could incur charges that may not be recoverable.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – No comment.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? – While we support the change, we would comment that from a Supplier perspective, if a site is recognised as energised the Supplier will still be liable for DUoS standing charges, which may not be recoverable from a customer. If the site is deemed to be de-energised then no such charges would be applied.</p>
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As a Supplier.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – None.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – No. Implementing this change in February 2016 would be a more sensible approach. There are a high number of changes being implemented in February 2015, many of which can be justified. In this case, CP1415, CP1416 and 1417 could be implemented in February 2016 without detrimentally impacting the Smart</p>

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1416	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	<p>programme. We would question whether these changes need to be live for the testing and Initial Live Operation phase of the DCC.</p> <p>Any other comments? - It would be of interest to understand LDSOs position vis-à-vis the use (and cost) of raising individual requests to the DCC rather than receiving a data flow through the existing routes.</p>
TMA Data Management Ltd	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – Processes.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Low cost.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes, the Smart Metering related changes must be implemented at the same time.</p> <p>Any other comments? – No comments.</p>

No comments were received on the proposed redlined text for CP1416.