

Appendix E - Response Proforma

National Grid invites responses to this consultation by **12th February 2014**. The responses to the specific consultation questions (below) or any other aspect of this consultation can be provided by completing the following proforma.

Please return the completed proforma to balancingservices@nationalgrid.com

Respondent:	Roger Harris
Company Name:	ELEXON
Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify.	No

ABSVD

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
1	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the ABSVD, shown in Table 1 have been implemented correctly to the ABSVD in Appendix A?</i>	-	
2	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the ABSVD, shown in Table 1 and in Appendix A, should be made?</i>	-	
3	<i>Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the ABSVD?</i>	-	

BSAD

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
4	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BSAD, shown in Table 2 have been implemented correctly to the BSAD in Appendix B?</i>	-	
5	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the BSAD, shown in Table 2 and in Appendix B, should be made?</i>	-	

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
6	<i>Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BSAD?</i>	-	

Procurement Guidelines

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
7	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines, shown in Table 3 have been implemented correctly to the Procurement Guidelines in Appendix C?</i>	-	
8	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines, shown in Table 3 and in Appendix C, should be made?</i>	-	
9	<i>Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines?</i>	-	

SMAF

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
10	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the SMAF, shown in Table 4 have been implemented correctly to the SMAF in Appendix D?</i>	-	
11	<i>Do you agree that the changes proposed to the SMAF, shown in Table 4 and in Appendix D, should be made?</i>	-	

No	Question	Response (Y/N)	Rationale
12	<p><i>Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the SMAF?</i></p>	Y	<p><u>CPs 1392 & 1400</u></p> <p>Changes to the SO-flag in BSAD cannot be made until CP1400 is released on 26 June 2014. We note that the SMAF document changes that allow SO-flag corrections will be released in parallel.</p> <p>Changes to the SO-flag in BSAD are processed manually by ELEXON. We note that CP1392 proposes to automate the changes from 26 February 2015. In the meantime, based on the volume of changes noted in the consultation document (DIR 66 per year), ELEXON can process the changes manually with minimal impact.</p> <p>There are no volumes specified for discrepancies observed during the post-event constraint tagging process. Our impact assessment has assumed a total of eight changes per month for DIR and post-event corrections.</p> <p><u>P276</u></p> <p>Approved BSC Modification P276 will be implemented on 31 March 2014. Under P276, normal BSC market operations will only be suspended following a Partial Shutdown of the Transmission System if an additional BSC Market Suspension Threshold is met. The P276 Workgroup recommended that National Grid reviews the SMAF to ensure that the rules for flagging system-balancing actions are clear in relation to Partial Shutdowns in which normal BSC market operations aren't suspended and in which dual imbalance prices continue to apply.</p> <p>This was because the Workgroup was unclear, on the basis of the existing SMAF, what proportion of balancing actions (BOAs and BSAD) in the 'healthy' (non-shutdown) part of the system would continue to be energy-balancing actions and would feed into imbalance prices. (See pages 13-14 & 36 of the P276 Final Modification Report).</p> <p>We therefore encourage National Grid to consider whether any SMAF changes are required to support the P276 arrangements.</p>