# Consultation on EMR data flows

We invite you to respond to the questions on this form. Please submit responses entitled ‘Consultation on EMR data flows’ to [emr@elexon.co.uk](mailto:emr@elexon.co.uk) by 5pm on 7 March 2014.

## Your details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Respondent | *Your name* |
| Company name: |  |
| Email address: | *Your email address* |
| Role of stakeholder represented | *Please state the role of the stakeholder/stakeholders on whose behalf you are responding (delete as applicable):*  ***CfD***  *CfD supplier*  *CfD generator: Transmission connected  Distribution connected  Private wire*  ***Capacity Market***  *CM Supplier*  *CM Capacity Provider CMU type: CMRS Transmission CMU  CMRS Embedded CMU  Non-CMRS  Customer Demand Response* |
| Does this response contain confidential information? |  |

## Consultation questions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Option 1: Sending files as email attachments | |
| Question 1.1 | Do you agree that sending and receiving electronic data files as email attachments is an appropriate mechanism for parties who wish to minimise their investment in IT systems? If not, what alternative would you propose? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Question 1.2 | Would you be likely to use this mechanism yourself? If so, would you see this as a permanent solution, or a temporary workaround? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Question 1.3 | Do you agree that XML is an appropriate open standard to use for the data files attached to these emails? If not, what alternative would you propose? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Question 1.4 | Do you agree with our proposal that the settlement systems should also attach human-readable versions of each file (in PDF format) to outgoing emails? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Option 2: Other potential mechanisms for sending and receiving data | |
| Question 2.1 | What do you see as the pros and cons of the options outlined above? Which option(s) do you see as most appropriate? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Question 2.2 | Are there any other options that we should be considering? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Option 3: Possible use of existing industry networks to exchange data | |
| Question 3.1 | Do you agree that the DTN is the appropriate mechanism for HHDAs to provide data to the settlement systems (provided that the obligation to do so is in the BSC)? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Question 3.2 | Do you believe it is appropriate to allow existing networks (i.e. DTN and/or CVA network) to be used for other EMR purposes (unrelated to the BSC or other existing industry codes)? Please provide your rationale. |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Question 3.3 | Do you agree that the costs incurred in using networks in this way should be recovered from parties who choose to make use of this option? If so, should this apply to all such costs (including for example the costs of including appropriate functionality in the settlement systems.)? Or should it apply only to those costs directly attributable to individual data recipients (e.g. DTN data transfer charges)? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |
| Question 3.4 | What changes would be needed to existing codes or Licenses to allow the DTN and/or CVA network to be used in this way? |
| *Please provide a rationale for your view. Insert response here.* | |