

Change Proposal Circular – CPC00732 Responses

CPC00732: Impact Assessment of CP1401

Summary of Responses for CP1401

ORGANISATION	AGREE WITH THE CHANGE?	IMPACTED?	COST?	IMPLEMENTATION DATE?
Imserv Europe Ltd	Yes	Yes	Minimal	Yes
Electricity North West Limited	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
ScottishPower	Yes	Yes	Relatively low	Yes
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	Yes	Medium level cost	Yes
EDF Energy	Yes	No	None	Yes
npower	Yes	Yes	No response	Yes
British Gas	Yes	Yes	Minimal	Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1401	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
<p>Imserv Europe Ltd</p>	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – We will have to clear up the initial negatives and then on request any new ones we might inherit</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – minimal</p> <p>Do you agree with the timescales within which Suppliers, NHHDA's and NHHDC's must complete both the initial exercise and any subsequent ad-hoc request(s)? - Yes</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – We would like to see this done as soon as possible – so are happy with this date but would be more happy with Nov 2013 or Feb 2014</p> <p>Do you agree with the proposed date, for which NHHDA's will be required to run the script provided by BSCCo, of 1 May 2014? - We would like to see this done as soon as possible – so are happy with this date but would be more happy with Nov 2013 or Feb 2014</p> <p>Any other comments? Agree with the change because negative EACs distort Settlements and because of all the reasons quoted in the justification are a bad thing and need to be eliminated</p>
<p>Electricity North West Limited</p>	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As we use the settlement data to charge DUoS, removing the negative EACs will reflect in the DUoS Charging.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – None</p> <p>Do you agree with the timescales within which Suppliers, NHHDA's and NHHDC's must complete both the initial exercise and any subsequent ad-hoc request(s)? - Yes</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes because this provides NHHDA's with enough time to develop the processes. It also means that all NHHDA's will not have negative EAC's from a certain date.</p>

	<p>Do you agree with the proposed date, for which NHHDA's will be required to run the script provided by BSCCo, of 1 May 2014? - Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? Agree with the change because this will remove negative EAC's from settlements making settlements and Suppliers performance more accurate.</p>
<p>ScottishPower</p>	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – operate as Supplier, NHHDC and NHHDA the implementation of this change proposal will require additional technical support from our IT support teams and additional resources to address the increased workload that the initial request, and any subsequent requests, may generate.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – We believe the costs ScottishPower would incur to implement this change would be relatively low.</p> <p>Do you agree with the timescales within which Suppliers, NHHDA's and NHHDC's must complete both the initial exercise and any subsequent ad-hoc request(s)? - Yes</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Do you agree with the proposed date, for which NHHDA's will be required to run the script provided by BSCCo, of 1 May 2014? - Yes. We do not envisage any issues with the proposed implementation date of 1st May 2014.</p> <p>Any other comments? Agree with the changes because it will improve the accuracy and equitability of Settlement.</p>
<p>TMA Data Management Ltd</p>	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – NHHDA/NHHDC system and procedure changes required</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Medium level cost</p> <p>Do you agree with the timescales within which Suppliers, NHHDA's and NHHDC's must complete both the initial exercise and any subsequent ad-hoc request(s)? - It is difficult to agree to timescales when volumes are unknown. On the face of it, the timescales provided appear adequate. The subsequent requests should contain a significantly lower number of MPANS, if any as the no new negative EACs are issued</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Do you agree with the proposed date, for which NHHDA's will be required to run the script provided by BSCCo, of 1</p>

	<p>May 2014? - Yes</p>
EDF Energy	<p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – We do not envisage any additional costs to implement this change</p> <p>Do you agree with the timescales within which Suppliers, NHHDA and NHHDCs must complete both the initial exercise and any subsequent ad-hoc request(s)? - We agree with the timescales for Suppliers, NHHDA and NHHDCs to complete this process.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Do you agree with the proposed date, for which NHHDA will be required to run the script provided by BSCCo, of 1 May 2014? - We are in agreement with the proposed date for the NHHDA to run the script</p>
npower	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As A Supplier we would benefit from a more accurate settlement and reporting view.</p> <p>Do you agree with the timescales within which Suppliers, NHHDA and NHHDCs must complete both the initial exercise and any subsequent ad-hoc request(s)? - Yes</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Do you agree with the proposed date, for which NHHDA will be required to run the script provided by BSCCo, of 1 May 2014? - Yes</p>
British Gas	<p>How is your organisation impacted? –British Gas has very few sites with negative EACs and those we do have represent extremely hard to access sites where we have been unable to obtain a meter reading to correct the EAC.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Minimal cost for our agents to identify and replace negative EACs</p> <p>Do you agree with the timescales within which Suppliers, NHHDA and NHHDCs must complete both the initial exercise and any subsequent ad-hoc request(s)? - We agree with the timescales proposed in the legal drafting. We note</p>

	<p>that the wording for BSCP 505 states that "Upon request by the BSCCo the NHHDA shall, within 10 Working Days, identify any negative EAC values in its database and shall notify the Supplier of these negative EAC values and associated details." This request should be made by BSCCo as soon as possible after approval of this CP.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Do you agree with the proposed date, for which NHHDA's will be required to run the script provided by BSCCo, of 1 May 2014? - Yes</p>
--	--

Summary of Comments on BSCP redlining

Organisation	Document name & location	Comment
Imserv Europe Ltd	BSCP505 1.6.2	Should "identify any negative EAC values in its database" actually say "identify any negative EAC values in its database that are being used in Settlements" – we have a number of negative EACs in our NHHDA database that are not being used in Settlements (we are not the DA) that we cannot remove (and there is no need to)