

Change Proposal Circular – CPC00731 Responses

CPC00731: Impact Assessment of CP1398

Summary of Responses for CP1398

ORGANISATION	AGREE WITH THE CHANGE?	IMPACTED?	COST?	IMPLEMENTATION DATE?
British Gas	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
EDF Energy	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
Electricity North West Limited	Yes	No	None	Yes
Northern Powergrid	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
npower	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
Power Data Associates Ltd	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
ScottishPower	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
Western Power Distribution	Yes	Yes	None	Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1398	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
British Gas	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? - Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? None</p>
EDF Energy	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? - Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? None</p>
Electricity North West Limited	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? Yes, because of the nature of the changes implementation in the February 2014 BSC Systems Release would seem appropriate.</p> <p>Any other comments? Agree with the change because it will enhance the content of BSCP520 by adding clarity to certain activities together with removing some duplication and correcting some numbering issues.</p>
Northern Powergrid	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? - Yes because the implementation is standardised.</p> <p>Any other comments? Agree with the change because the changes that have been proposed do not impact any systems or processes used by Northern Powergrid, however they do clarify assumptions within the documentation and make the National Terms of Connection prominent within the documents.</p>
npower	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? - Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? We are happy to agree with the UMSUGs review and recommendation regarding this change.</p>
Power Data Associates Ltd	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – Documentary changes. Changes reflect current practice.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change – Nil</p>

	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? - Yes because sooner become effective the better</p> <p>Any other comments? Agree with the change as it clarifies the BSC requirements and removes ambiguity highlighted by the Auditor.</p>
ScottishPower	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? - Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? None</p>
TMA Data Management Ltd	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? None</p>
Western Power Distribution	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As an UMSO we need to confirm that the clarifications do not impact on current processes.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – No additional costs.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? None</p>

Summary of Comments on BSCP redlining

Organisation	Document name & location	Comment	ELEXON's recommendation
Power Data Associates Ltd	Data Catalogue	Not caused by this change, but it should be noted for a future housekeeping change that the BSCP520 and ELEXON Data Catalogue have become out of step. There are items	ELEXON has added this to the housekeeping log so that BSCP520 and the Data Catalogue can be aligned in due course.

Summary of Comments on BSCP redlining

Organisation	Document name & location	Comment	ELEXON's recommendation
		listed in the BSCP which are not in the Catalogue and vice-versa. It would be worthwhile to review these differences during 2014.	
	4.6.3.3	In 4.6.3.2 the word manually has been removed. There is logic in removing "both manually and " here as well, for consistency and practicality.	ELEXON agreed that this change was sensible and has amended the redlined text to reflect the change.
	4.6.2	The proposed wording of the time could be further improved/clarified: When contacting the PECU array, the MA shall ensure that any difference between the PECU array second counter and the EM clock time equivalent does not exceed 20 seconds in any 24 hour period.	ELEXON agreed that this change was sensible and has amended the redlined text to reflect the change.