

ELEXON

What stage is this document in the process?

O1 Initial Written Assessment

O2 Definition Procedure

Assessment Procedure

O4 Re

Stage 04: Report Phase Consultation Responses

P297 'Receipt and Publication of New and Revised Dynamic Data items'

This Report Phase Consultation was issued on 13 February 2014, with responses invited by 6 March 2014.

Consultation Respondents

Respondent	No. of Parties/Non- Parties Represented	Role(s) Represented
EDF Energy	10/0	Generator / Supplier / Party Agent / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / Trader
IBM on behalf of ScottishPower	7/0	Supplier / Generator / Trader / Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / Distributor
RWE Supply & Trading GmbH	9/0	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / Party Agent
SSE plc	8/0	Supplier / Generator / Trader

P297

Report Phase Consultation Responses

7 March 2014

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 4

© ELEXON Limited 2014

Question 1: Do you agree with the Panel's initial unanimous recommendation that P297 should be approved?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
4	0	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
EDF Energy	Yes	-
IBM on behalf of ScottishPower	Yes	We agree that the Modification does better facilitate Objective c. ensuring that the dynamic data set matches across the various Codes improves efficiency within the market. Maintaining the items as defined within the BSC gives the widest possible audience a view of these items, improving trading opportunities and driving costs downwards, improving competition.
RWE Supply & Trading GmbH	Yes	The modification is in accordance with Objective C as identified by the Workgroup.
SSE plc	Yes	We the support conclusions of the Panel that the modification will primarily benefit objective (c) and to a lesser extent objective (d).

Question 2: Do you agree with the Panel that the redlined changes to the BSC and CSDs deliver the intention of P297?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
3	0	0	1

P297 Report Phase Consultation Responses

7 March 2014

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 4

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
EDF Energy	Mostly	Assessment and the draft report (for example page 11) refer to sending by the Transmission Company, and publishing on BMRS of "the net-position SEL [SIL] data at gate closure", and revisions to that position following gate closure. As queried in previous responses, it remains unclear exactly what this means, and clarity should be provided by NGET for inclusion in a change-controlled document such as the BMRS specification.
		The XML Schema Definition (XSDs) documents for TIBCO messages using the new XML schema will be very important for BSC parties that use TIBCO. Currently, BSC parties use the Interface Definition Documents, and ELEXON should ensure new information is presented in a way which facilitates changes to user systems in a timely and accurate fashion.
IBM on behalf of ScottishPower	Yes	-
RWE Supply & Trading GmbH	Yes	The changes cover the requirements of the Modification appropriately.
SSE plc	Yes	-

Question 3: Do you agree with the Panel's recommended Implementation Date?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
4	0	0	0

P297
Report Phase Consultation
Responses
7 March 2014
Version 1.0
Page 3 of 4

© ELEXON Limited 2014

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
EDF Energy	Yes	Yes, subject to uncertainty about the eventual timetable for implementation of EBS. 05 November 2015 implementation is an achievable date and would ensure BMRS is able to accommodate new and revised data items from that time. If the new Electricity Balancing Systems are implemented in mid 2015 as now planned, there would be a gap of a few months during which the new and revised data items could either not be used or not be reported on BMRS. This does not seem ideal.
IBM on behalf of ScottishPower	Yes	-
RWE Supply & Trading GmbH	Yes	The date is in keeping with the expected implementation timescale of the EBS project.
SSE plc	Yes	-

Question 4: Do you have any further comments on P297?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/No Comment	Other
1	3	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
EDF Energy		In general, it might be sensible to allow larger field sizes in BMRS internal interfaces and external reporting (if they must be specified) to allow flexibility for potential future data specification changes, and rely on NGET Data Validation Consistency and Defaulting Rules (DVCDR) to verify that current data is within current value limits.
IBM on behalf of ScottishPower	No	-
RWE Supply & Trading GmbH	No	-
SSE plc	No	-

P297 Report Phase Consultation Responses 7 March 2014

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 4