

Change Proposal Circular – CPC00727 Responses

CPC00727: Impact Assessment of CP1390

Summary of Responses for CP1390:

ORGANISATION	British Gas	EDF Energy	Electricity North West	Northern Powergrid	Npower	ScottishPower	Southern Electric Power Distribution (SOUT)/ Scottish Hydro Power Distribution (HYDE)	SSE Energy Supply Ltd	TMA Data Management Ltd	Western Power Distribution
Agree with the change?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Impacted?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Cost?	Minimal	None	Small	Not known	N/A	No comment	None	No comment	N/A	None
Agree Imp Date?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1390

Organisation	Responses/Comments
British Gas	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – Minimal change</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Minimal</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – No</p> <p>Any other comments? We do not agree with the proposal not to add the new MDD entity to the D0269/0270. We use the data flow to add MDD into our systems and do not want to have to make manual checks via the ELEXON portal check for changes. The example quoted is not a valid example. The Portal holds GSP Group Profile Class Average EAC which when you look at it, it is the Research Average EAC as per D0269 group GAE.</p>
EDF Energy	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – We will need to incorporate this into current processes to maintain this data.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – No extra costs are expected to be incurred, this will be just a minor change to our process</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? We have one issue, regarding how the data item "Meter Type" under the DTC and SMETS Version in MDD will be kept in line. There is the potential for SMETS version to be updated and notified to MPAS, however the Meter Type held by the MOA may be different with the potential of causing data conflicts.</p>
Electricity North West	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – Electricity North West as a Distributor will be holding the SMETS Version data item within their registration system and potentially other internal systems.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – The implementation of this change has been categorised as a small change, less than 10 man days.</p>

	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes because the implementation date coincides with the implementation date of DTC CP3362 which introduces the Registration Smart changes and parties will start using the SMETS version data item.</p> <p>Any other comments? We agree with the SMETS version being maintained within MDD to provide parties with a reliable central reference. We do not agree with the SMETS version not being included on the D0269/0270 dataflows as this means parties will have to locate the data and load it into their systems and this could create inconsistencies. Electricity North West believes that CP1390 should be implemented as suggested but a future change is required to include the SMETS version within the D0269/0270 dataflows.</p>
Northern Powergrid	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – This would need to be loaded into our Registration System.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – Not known.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? No - we do not agree with this change as we believe the SMETS version should be encompassed into D0269 / D0270 flows.</p> <p>Any other comments? We do agree with the overriding principles outlined in the change i.e. that SMETS should be added into MDD. However we do not agree with the fact that the SMETS data will be omitted from the D0269/D0270 MDD data flows and instead be published on the ELEXON Portal. Our view that it should be loaded into MPRS via the MDD flows ensuring uniformity of data across the MPRS consortium.</p>
Npower	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? No</p>
ScottishPower	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – There may be some internal system changes to record the SMETS version which applies to a specific Smart meter asset type.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – No comment made.</p>

	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? Agree with change - storing the SMETS Versions as part of the MDD will permit interested parties to compare SMART metering systems' compliance with the current and earlier Versions of SMET. As Smart metering systems evolve, with the capability of each generation of Smart meter closely aligned with their SMETS version, the version will form part of identifying the data and communications facilities available to industry and end customers from that system.</p>
Southern Electric Power Distribution (SOUT)/ Scottish Hydro Power Distribution (HYDE)	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – This will have an impact on MPRS.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – None</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? No</p>
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – Changes to systems and procedures</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – No comment made.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? No</p>
TMA Data Management Ltd	<p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? No</p>
Western Power Distribution	<p>How is your organisation impacted? – As with other MDD entities we will need to maintain the valid set within our systems.</p> <p>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change? – No additional costs.</p> <p>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why? – Yes</p> <p>Any other comments? We believe maintaining this entity within MDD is the correct approach.</p>



Any Questions

If you have any queries, please contact:

CCC@elexon.co.uk

No comments were received on the proposed redlined text for CP1390.

CPC00727 – 22 March 2013
Version 1.0
Page 5 of 5
© ELEXON Limited 2013