



## Change Proposal Circular – Collated Responses for CPC00721

### CPC00721: Impact Assessment of CP1385

#### Responses for CP1385: Notification of NHH Energisation Status Change by LDSO

##### Summary of Responses for CP1385

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in	Agree?	Impacted?	Days needed to implement
Association of Meter Operators	Meter Operators	Yes	-	00
British Gas	Supplier	Yes	No	00
EDF Energy	Supplier, MOP	Yes	Yes	60
Electricity North West Limited	Distributor	Yes	Yes	00
GDF SUEZ Marketing Ltd	Supplier	Yes	Yes	00
IMServ	HHDC, NHHDC, HHDA, NHHDA, HHMOP, NHHMOP	Yes	Yes	90
Northern Powergrid	LDSO, UMSO	Yes	Yes	90
npower	Supplier and Supplier Agents (NHH and HH)	Yes	Yes	180

**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Summary of Responses for CP1385				
Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in	Agree?	Impacted?	Days needed to implement
ScottishPower	Distributor, Supplier, Supplier Agents	No	Yes	00
SSE	Supplier & Party Agents	Yes	Yes	30
SSE Power Distribution	LDSO	Yes	No	00
TMA Data Management Ltd	NHHDC, NHHDA, HHDC and HHDA	Yes	No	00
Western Power	LDSO	No	Yes	270

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 2 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

**Severity Codes****H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.

**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1385			
Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
Association of Meter Operators	Yes	-	<p><b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes - Support the principle of the change. But need some minor change to the wording of Again some minor change to the foot note of 3.6.10 – “For example as the result of an emergency”. Remove any link to metering services. LDSOs will attend when fires, flooding, identification of cannabis farms or other incidents have required the immediate de-energisation of a property.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – 0 days</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.</p>
British Gas	Yes	No	<p><b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – No comment</p>

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 3 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

**Severity Codes****H** (High): Prejudices document’s conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.**L** (Low): Minor error but document’s intention is clear.

**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1385			
Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
			<b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.
EDF Energy	Yes	Yes	<p><b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes - We support the change as we believe it will provide an obligation on LDSOs to communicate changes and readings the Meter Operator in a timely manner, which will improve getting the data at initial settlement right more often.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – MOP</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Minor changes to operational processes</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – 60 days - This is due to resource requirements on SMART metering</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – Only process changes would be required</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – No additional costs have been identified</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.</p>
Electricity North West Limited	Yes	Yes	<p><b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes - Adds clarity to the process when the LDSO has led the de-energisation.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – Distributor</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – We will need to meet the 5 WD timescale in notifying parties, therefore reviewing our processes and developing them to fulfil this obligation.</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – June 2013 is acceptable</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – We anticipate this to be a small cost to review and develop current</p>

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 4 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

**Severity Codes****H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.

**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1385			
Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
			processes. <b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.
GDF SUEZ Marketing Ltd	Yes	Yes	<b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes - We strongly agree with the clarification and the timeliness standards proposed. <b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – Supplier <b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Mandated updates from DNOs when MPANs are de-energised without Supplier input. <b>Lead time comment</b> – 0 days <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No adverse impact <b>Associated costs comment</b> – No associated costs. <b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.
IMServ	Yes	Yes	<b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes <b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – NHH/HH MOP & DC <b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Possibly some LWIs/Process documentation/training <b>Lead time comment</b> – 90 days - Estimate based on the assumption that no system changes are required <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – Minimal impact - based on the assumption that no system changes are required

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 5 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

**Severity Codes****H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.

**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1385			
Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
			<b>Associated costs comment</b> – Minimal costs <b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.
Northern Powergrid	Yes	Yes	<b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes <b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – LDSO <b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Process modification <b>Lead time comment</b> – 90 days <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No <b>Associated costs comment</b> – No associated costs <b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.
npower	Yes	Yes	<b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes - We are supportive of this change and believe the obligations applied will aid in streamlining the process and providing additional clarity. <b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – Supplier, NHHDC, NHHMO <b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Positive impact only <b>Lead time comment</b> – 180 days <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No <b>Associated costs comment</b> – No known costs <b>Any other comments</b> – There appears to be some inconsistency between the amendments

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 6 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

**Severity Codes****H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.



Any Questions

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1385			
Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
			being made to BSCP 514 in this change and CP 1384. Both a attempting to modify section 6.3.2.7 but with different text. Which change would take precedence?
ScottishPower	No	Yes	<p><b>Agree change comment – No - ScottishPower believe that CP 1384 and CP 1385 are closely linked, therefore please see all comments, including review of red lined text relating to CP1384 for responses here as the comments relate to both changes.</b></p> <p>In addition we would like to see an alignment in the number of days for action within BSCP 514 and BSCP 515. E.g. BSCP 514 proposed Section 6.3.2.7 quotes within 10WD, whereas BSCP 515 proposed section 3.6.11 suggests within 5WD. These timescale differences are repeated within the relevant sections 6.3 (514) and 3.6(515) within the BSCPs and as suggested above we believe they should be aligned, we would suggest 10WDs for both, which would mean only BSCP 515 would require amendment.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – Distributor, Supplier and Agents</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Process changes</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – 0 days</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.</p>
SSE	Yes	Yes	<b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 7 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

### Severity Codes

**H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.

**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.

**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.



**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1385			
Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
			<p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – Supplier &amp; Party Agent</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Minor change to process</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – 30 days</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – No</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – Negligible</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.</p>
SSE Power Distribution	Yes	No	<p><b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – N/A</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – N/A</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – 0 days</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – N/A</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – N/A</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.</p>
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	No	<p><b>Agree change comment</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – No comment</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – N/A</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – 0 days</p>

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 8 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

### Severity Codes

**H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.

**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.

**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.





**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1385			
Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
			<p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – N/A</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – N/A</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> – No comment.</p>
Western Power	No	Yes	<p><b>Agree change comment</b> – No - We consider that there is no material problem with current processes. Adding in the requirement to send additional flows will involve system changes and incur additional costs for LDSO. The CP contains no mention of the financial benefit that will be gained to justify incurring these costs.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> – LDSO</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – System and business process changes.</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> – 270 days - Due to other resource commitments we will not be able to make changes within a shorter time frame.</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> – Yes - We cannot make the required changes within this timeframe.</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – &gt;£10,000</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> – No comments.</p>

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 9 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

### Severity Codes

**H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.

**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.

**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.

**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

Comments on the redline text CP1385					
No.	Organisation	Document name	Location	Severity Code	Comments
1.	British Gas	BSCP514	6.3.1.6		Before step 6.3.1.7 should there be a heading like 'If LDSO energises', this would be inline with subheadings within BSCP515 and make it clearer that this step does not follow step 6.3.1.6.
2.	British Gas	BSCP514	6.3.2.7		Before step 6.3.2.7 should there be a heading like 'If LDSO de-energises', this would be inline with subheadings within BSCP515 and make it clearer that this step does not follow step 6.3.2.6.
3.	IMServ	BSCP514	6.3.17	M	Says that the MOP will pass on the final reads within 10WD of receiving them from the LDSO, this will only be possible if the LDSO provides the reads in a D0010 (rather than in the D0139 or by e-mail or phone call)
4.	IMServ	BSCP514	6.3.17	M	Energise - Says that the MOP will pass on the final reads and the D0139 to the NHHDC/Supplier but it's not clear in the document if the D0010 goes to the Supplier (I assume not)? Or is it just the D0139 which goes to the Supplier and the D0010 goes to the NHHDC? Should these be separate steps?
5.	IMServ	BSCP514	6.3.2.7	M	De-Energise - Says that the MOP will pass on the final reads and the D0139 to the NHHDC/Supplier but it's not clear in the document if the D0010 goes to the Supplier (I assume not)? Or is it just the D0139 which goes to the Supplier and the D0010 goes to the NHHDC? Should these be separate steps?
6.	npower	BSCP514	6.3.1.7	H	This section covers the energisation of a site however this step requests a "Final" read to be sent. This should be changed to "Initial"
7.	British Gas	BSCP515			This only cover where a DNO de-energises not at the request of a supplier, there are

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 10 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

**Severity Codes****H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.



**Any Questions**

If you have any queries, please contact:

[CCC@elexon.co.uk](mailto:CCC@elexon.co.uk)

					instances of them energising as well so I think the change should be reflected in section 3.5 of BSCP515.
--	--	--	--	--	---

CPC00721
27 December 2012
Version 1.0
Page 11 of 11
© ELEXON Limited 2012

**Severity Codes**

**H** (High): Prejudices document's conclusions, recommendations or fitness for purpose.

**M** (Medium): Matter of substance, but not high.

**L** (Low): Minor error but document's intention is clear.