

Title: Design Enhancements to the Non Half Hourly Balancing Mechanism process within BSCP507 "Stage 2 Settlement Standing Data Changes"

Description of Change (mandatory by originator)

This NCR proposes changes to process 2.2/3.2 "Non Half Hourly Balancing Mechanism Unit Standing Data Changes" which was introduced into BSCP507 "Stage 2 Settlement Standing Data Changes" for use by those Suppliers wishing to allocate Non Half Hourly (NHH) consumption to Balancing Mechanism (BM) Unit(s).

This CP has been raised to supersede NCR265

Proposed Solution(s) (mandatory by originator)

Process 2.2/3.2 has been tested during the Process Special Testing and Pre-Production Testing and as a result a number of design enhancements are proposed to this process:

1. New Pro-forma (F501/02)

Add a new pro-forma (F501/02) for the provision of the NHH BM Unit data from the Supplier to the SVAA to the appendices and cross-reference from 3.2. A pro-forma was originally designed for use in the initial data take on exercise and has been enhanced following the receipt of comments from the SVAA and Suppliers. TS2 agreed to the use of this updated pro-forma at their meeting on 13th March 2001 (TS2/34/881). The pro-forma is appended to this NCR.

There should be no costs associated with incorporating this pro-forma in the BSCP from a SVAA perspective.

2. Modification of Step 3.2.5

Step 3.2.5 currently refers to the P0024 "Acknowledgement" dataflow being sent from the SVAA to the Supplier if the BM Unit Allocation is valid. However this dataflow should be replaced with the sending of the pro-forma once Part B of the pro-forma has been updated by the SVAA. An alternative solution would be for the SVAA to additionally include a screen print of the data entered. This would allow the Supplier to check that the data entered was consistent with what was provided. Where errors were identified the Supplier should then notify the SVAA who would then initiate the error correction process referred to in 3 below.

There may be costs associated with providing a screen print. This needs to be confirmed by the SVAA.

3. Error Correction Process

The SVAA or the Supplier may identify an error between what was provided on the pro-forma and what was entered into the SVAA system. The following steps should be added to process 2.2/3.2 :

- a) Where the Supplier identifies an error he should notify the SVAA as soon as possible but no later than 1 WD after identification of the error.
- b) The SVAA will notify ELEXON where they or the Supplier identify an error as soon as possible but no later than 1 WD after identification of the error.

- c) As soon as possible but no later than 1 WD after receipt of the notification, ELEXON will decide whether or not the SVAA should modify the NHH BMU allocation for the Supplier.
- d) Within the same timescales as for c, ELEXON will request the SVAA to modify the NHH BMU allocation, via an e:mail.
- e) Within 1 WD of receipt of this notification from ELEXON, the SVAA will modify the NHH BMU allocation.
- f) Weekly, the SVAA will send notification to ELEXON, by e:mail, which will include a summary of all the allocations entered correctly and those where further changes to the allocations have been necessary, following authorisation from ELEXON. This notification will cover the previous 5 days of allocations.

There will be no costs associated with incorporating this requirement in the BSCP from a SVAA perspective.

4. A number of clarifications are proposed for inclusion as footnotes to avoid invalid NHH BMU data being provided:

- a) If a Non Half-Hourly allocation is only required to be effective for a particular Settlement Day, the P0185 should be submitted with a date in both the 'effective from date' and the 'effective to date'.
- b) Suppliers should be aware that Non Half-Hourly consumption will be allocated to the Base BM Unit by default, without any need to submit additional allocations.
- c) Supplying an 'effective to date' for the allocation will terminate an allocation to an additional BMU once the date has been reached.

These changes should be embodied as soon after Go-live as possible. The reason for this is that it will maintain integrity between the Supplier provided data and what is used in the Settlement process.

Justification for Change *(mandatory by originator)*

Implementing these changes within BSCP507 will minimise the risks of data errors entering Settlements.

Cap Gemini have already reviewed the proposals above.

Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) *(optional by BSCCo)*

Impact on Core Industry Documents *(optional by originator)*

Related Changes and/or Projects (*mandatory by BSCCo*)

Originator's Details:

Name: Ceri Hughes

Organisation: ELEXON

Email Address:

Date:

[BSC Panel Representative]:

Organisation:

Attachments: No