

<b>Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</b>	<b>CP No: 881</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i> version 2.0
<b>Title</b> <i>(mandatory by originator):</i> <b>Provision of SAA-I014 Variant 2 (S0142) to BSC Parties</b>	
<p><b>Description of Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>The BSC sections V3.2.2 and V3.2.3, in conjunction with Annex V-1, states that the Settlement Reports (SAA-I014), including the sub-flow sent to the Transmission Company (S0142), should be available to any Party <u>on request</u> through BSCP 41 flexible reporting requirements.</p> <p>An enduring solution has been put in place whereby Parties can obtain the S0142.</p> <p>CP712, (implemented in December 2002) provides for the NETA Central Services Agent to provide a down-load facility of the S0142 from the Low Grade Server.</p> <p>As part of the Full Release of BSC Systems implemented in September 2002, generic Flow Versioning capability was introduced. This allowed Parties to continue to receive the "old" version of a report (v001) for a period of time after deployment of the "new" flow (v002) in order to smooth the transition from old to new flow.</p> <p>The way that flow versioning has been implemented, any Party receiving a copy of another Party's S0141 report, receives the version of the report that is generated for the owning Party.</p> <p>For example, in the case of changes to the S0142 noting that this is achieved either by the BSCP40 change process via a Change Proposal, or by the BSCP76 Modification process via a Modification Proposal (in both cases industry will have been consulted on the specifics of the change), the owning Party, in this case NGC, requests the new version of the report, and consequentially, any Party receiving the S0142 by request, will also receive the version. Similarly, if NGC chose to remain with the old version of the report, parties would continue to receive the old version until NGC moved to the new.</p> <p>This will have a significant impact on those Parties that have requested to receive the S0142 and creates a dependency between Parties and NGC. Evidence of this is NGC's recent move from S0142 v001 to v002 where they didn't simply add the price adjustment data as new fields at the end of existing records, instead they inserted the new fields within records. This will have resulted in Parties in receipt of the S0142 having to do more complex recoding of their loading processes accept the new fields.</p> <p>This CP proposes that a new flow is created that has the same content and structure as the S0142, is under the "ownership" of BSC Parties, is not linked into NGC's version changes and is available to 'Any Party (on request)'.</p>	

**Proposed Solution(s) (mandatory by originator)**

The following options should be considered for feasibility and cost:

**Option 1 Creating a new variant for industry use:**

Create a new subflow of the SAA – I014, subflow 4 (the S0144), with BSCCo and BSC Parties (on request) as recipients, this subflow will be initially identical to the S0142, and for the avoidance of doubt, it will be subject to separate change control.

The S0144 will replace the S0142 for download from the low grade service on a Settlement Day basis, noting that historical S0142's will be available. Furthermore, all current versions of the S0144 will be available for download from the low grade service, such that BSC Parties will be able to choose which version to download [the logistical / volumetric implications of this will need to be explored].

BSCCo will be the main recipient of the S0144 subflow and will receive it instead of the S0142, via the high grade service.

The Transmission Company will be the sole recipient of the S0142.

*It should be noted that Section V of the Code allows BSC Parties to request any variant of the Settlement Report, and there may arise the situation where some BSC Parties would still prefer the Transmission Company variant of the Settlement Report, for example where changes to the new variant, the S0144, make the S0142 preferable for those Parties. Therefore this circumstance must be catered for.*

**Option 2 Adopting the BSCCo variant for industry use:**

Amend the BSCCo specific subflow of the SAA – I014, subflow 3 (the S0143), to align with the S0142, the S0143 will initially be identical to the S0142, and for the avoidance of doubt, it will be subject to separate change control.

The S0143 will replace the S0142 for download from the low grade service on a Settlement Day basis, noting that historical S0142's will be available. Furthermore, all current versions of the S0143 will be available for download from the low grade service, such that BSC Parties will be able to choose which version to download [the logistical / volumetric implications of this will need to be explored].

BSCCo will continue to be the main recipient of the S0143 subflow and will therefore not additionally require the S0142.

The Transmission Company will be the sole recipient of the S0142.

*It should be noted that Section V of the Code allows BSC Parties to request any variant of the Settlement Report, and there may arise the situation where some BSC Parties would still prefer the Transmission Company variant of the Settlement Report, for example where changes to the S0143, make the S0142 preferable for those Parties. Therefore this circumstance must be catered for.*

**Option 3 Make all current versions of the S0142 available to BSC Parties**

Retain the S0142 as the variant of the Settlement Report to be made available to BSC Parties, but make all current versions of the Settlement Report available for download from the low grade service, so that BSC Parties can choose their preferred version for download [the logistical / volumetric implications of this will need to be explored].

It should be noted that this does not address the issue raised by the CP with regards to separate change control, but does address the requirement to allow choice of report version.

### Justification for Change (mandatory by originator)

Removes dependency between Parties and NGC and enhances current reporting arrangements.

### Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) (*optional by BSCCo*)

- Neta Data File Catalogue (NDFC)
- Reporting Catalogue;
- Interface Definition and Design Documents (IDD) Parts 1 and 2;
- NETA Central System Software;
- SAA URS.

### Impact on Core Industry Documents (*optional by originator*)

None as far as aware.

### Related Changes and/or Projects (*mandatory by BSCCo*)

- CP712
- Approved Modification P114

### Originator's Details:

**BCA Name** Barry Orme

**Organisation** Innogy plc

**Email Address** barry.orme@innogy.com

**Date** 30/09/02

**[BSC Panel Representative].....**

**Organisation.....**

Attachments: N (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....)  
(delete as appropriate)