

<b>Change Proposal – F40/02 (Page 1 of 2)</b>	<b>CP No: 877</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<b>Title:</b> Removal of the Notification Agent Forward Contract Report Sub-flow 2 from the ECVAA Reporting Requirements	
<b>Description of Change</b>  <p>Prior to the rejection by the Authority of Modification Proposals P17 'ECVNAs to receive 7 day report' and P66 'ECVNAs and MVRNAs to receive ECVAA Forward Contract Report' some initial development work had been done which provided the functionality in the Energy Contract Volume Aggregation Agent's (ECVAAs) software and documentation for these Notification Agent Forward Contract Reports to be produced.</p> <p>The automatic production of these reports is already 'switched off' at source with notes in the documentation stating that these reports are 'not currently available'.</p> <p>An enduring solution is required to ensure that ECVAA will not be required to produce these reports whilst the issue of cost allocation relating to the production of these reports is unresolved. This issue of cost allocation is the reason why P17 was rejected by the Authority.</p>	
<b>Proposed Solutions</b>  <p>There are two possible solutions to this problem that have been identified:</p> <p>Firstly, the details of this new Report could be removed from any documentation which is visible to external Parties. The functionality would remain in the software, but would no longer be visible and so would be unavailable to external Parties.</p> <p>Secondly the functionality could be removed in its entirety from all the software and documentation, in essence reversing all the work that was completed as part of the development of P17/P66.</p> <p>The preferred solution will depend on a number of factors, such as cost, whether this service is likely to be requested in the future and the view of Participants.</p>	
<b>Justification for Change</b>  <p>At present, there is a requirement contained in the ECVAA User Requirement Specification (URS) for ECVAA to produce this Forward Contract Report if requested. However, there is no details of who will pay for this report to be produced and sent, and so as such, the cost would have to be spread across all BSC Parties, representing an unfair business risk to those Parties who do not wish to receive it.</p> <p>This change would remove this requirement from ECVAA, by withdrawing the opportunity for Parties to request this report and hence would eliminate this undue risk.</p>	

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 2)</b>		<b>CP No: 877</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<b>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> Interface Definition & Design Document (IDD) ECVAA URS ECVAA Software (Depending on solution chosen)		
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> None		
<b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>None</i>		
<b>Originator's Details:</b>  <b>BCA Name</b> <i>Tom Cash</i>  <b>Organisation</b> <i>ELEXON</i>  <b>Email Address</b> <a href="mailto:Tom.Cash@elexon.co.uk">Tom.Cash@elexon.co.uk</a>  <b>Date</b> <i>17 October 2002</i>		
Attachments: N		