

Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 1 of 2)	CP No: 895 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Clarifications to BSCP502 'Half Hourly Data Collection for SVA Metering Systems Registered in SMRS' in respect of Proving Tests	
Description of Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> A number of changes are required to BSCP502 'Half Hourly Data Collection for SVA Metering Systems Registered in SMRS' to clarify a number of issues relating to proving tests.	
Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Refer to Appendix 1 for Proposed changes to BSCP502.	
Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> The proposed changes will ensure the consistency within the methods of proving described in BSCP502 and add clarity the processes to be followed with the aim that a greater percentage of proving tests can be completed in line with BSCP502 than currently occurs.	
Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i> PSL110 Party Service Line for SVA Meter Operation	
Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i> None	
Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i> It is desirable that this CP is implemented in conjunction with CP854 'Provision of a new Balancing and Settlement Code Procedure (BSCP) covering Meter Operator Agent processes for Supplier Volume Allocation (SVA) Metering Systems'.	
Originator's Details: BCA Name <i>Katie Key</i> Organisation <i>ELEXON</i> Email Address <i>Katie-Ann.Key@ELEXON.co.uk</i> Date <i>19 November 2002</i>	
Attachments: Yes (No. of Pages attached: 1) Appendix 1 Proposed changes to BSCP502 'Half Hourly Data Collection for SVA Metering Systems Registered in SMRS' in respect of Proving Tests.	

Appendix 1

Proposed changes to BSCP502 'Half Hourly Data Collection for SVA Metering Systems Registered in SMRS' in respect of Proving Tests.

- Under section 3.5 'Proving a Metering System', delete the word remotely from the action boxes: 3.5.1.3, 3.5.2.4, 3.5.3.3 and 3.5.4.3 and add using the same method as would normally be used for the retrieval of data from that Metering System. The box then reads 'Read Meter ~~remotely~~ for the same HH Settlement Period [as requested by the MOA / as agreed with the MOA / of own choosing] using the same method as would normally be used for the retrieval of data from that Metering System.' This will ensure consistency with Appendix 4.6.2 'Methods of Proving'.
- Under Appendix 4.5 'Key SVA Meter Technical Details', add 'whilst the MS [Metering System] is energised.' to the end of the first sentence (to read 'Below is a list of key fields of Meter Technical Details that will cause the MS to be proved if any, or all, of them are changed whilst the MS is energised.') Also add a final paragraph to this section reading 'Where any, or all of the above are changed whilst a MS is de-energised, a proving test shall be initiated as soon as that MS becomes energised and completed in the timescales set out in section 4.6.3.'
- Under section 4.6.1 'Reasons for a Proving Test', add a new first sentence reading 'A proving test shall be carried out on both main and check Meters'. Also add a further bullet point to the list of circumstances in which a proving test should be carried out reading 'When there has been a Key field change (refer to section 4.5) whilst a site has been de-energised and the MS becomes energised.'
- Amend the second to last sentence of Appendix 4.6.2 'Methods of Proving', Method 3 from 'The HHDC collects for the same Settlement Period of own choosing and sends this to the MOA' to 'The HHDC collects for the ~~same~~ HH Settlement Period of own choosing and sends this to the MOA'
- Add a footnote to section 4.6.2 'Methods of Proving' to both Method 3 and Method 4, after the sentence in both 'The HHDC collects for the HH Settlement Period of own choosing...' to say 'Ideally this should be the last Settlement Period for which non-zero data was collected to prevent problems with the Meter's memory overwriting the Settlement Period chosen by the HHDC where the SP chosen is too far in the past.'
- Under section 4.6.2 'Methods of Proving', Comparison of Data, add the following, underlined words to the second paragraph 'If the HHDC receives the MTD and knows that a proving test should have been performed but no successful proving test has been performed within the timescales in 4.6.3, the HHDC will report this to the MOA, the Supplier and the BSCCo. This report will be by email, fax or post and include the following details: MSID, Meter Id (Serial Number), MOA responsible for that MS and the date by which the proving test should have been completed. The MOA will then be required to perform a proving test within the timescales agreed with the BSCCo.'
- Add a footnote to section 4.6.3 'Proving Test / Re-Test Timescales', after 'WDs to complete Proving Test / Re-Test' in the table to state that 'The starting date for this time is either the Date of Meter Installation or the Effective From Date of the Meter, whichever is the later, or the date when a Metering System becomes energised where there has been a key field change whilst the Metering System was de-energised.'