

Change Proposal – F40/01

CP No: 1032

Version No: v1.0

Title *(mandatory by originator)* **Review, revision and clarification of BSCP11 “Volume Allocation and Settlement Run Queries”**

Description of Problem/Issue *(mandatory by originator)*

Section W of the Code sets out the arrangements for the resolution of Trading Queries and Trading Disputes for the operation of the Trading Disputes Committee (TDC). BSCP11 describes the processes and work undertaken by ELEXON to progress Trading Queries through a query resolution process and for the duration of their life as Trading Disputes.

During the assessment of Modification P131, and the walkthrough of BSCP11 during the implementation of P107, it was identified that processes and timetables within BSCP11 were, in some cases, unclear. In addition, it did not always accurately represent working practice.

Therefore, it would be useful to review and update the document as many of the changes are outside the scope of P131.

If approved, Modification P131 would change much in BSCP11 for example the terms pre-final and post-final queries will be redundant and the criteria used by the TDC prior to April 2002 (when they were deemed to be legally invalid and suspended) contained in Section 5.15 will be changed. It would be appropriate for any other areas of BSCP11 to be changed in conjunction with this

This will be useful regardless of the outcome of P131.

Proposed Solution(s) *(mandatory by originator)*

A review of the processes contained in BSCP11.

The following timescales and processes should be reviewed and updated:

1. Validity of distinction between pre-final and post-final disputes with regard to ELEXON's treatment of them – main difference is in rectification, not in assessment of the dispute
2. Section 4.2. Some of the timescales are unrealistic and for this reason not adhered to in practice.
3. Section 4.3. Need guidance on when it is and isn't appropriate to withdraw a Trading Query/Trading Dispute.
4. Section 4.5. Review timescales.
5. Sections 4.6 and 4.7. Review processes
6. Check forms contain appropriate data

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1032 Version No: v1.0
<p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>A review of the processes contained in BSCP11 needs to be undertaken as the document is unclear and the processes and timescales documented are not necessarily useful / adhered to in practice.</p>	
<p>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by Originator)</i></p> <p>BSCP11</p>	
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i></p>	
<p>Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSSCo)</i></p> <p>Modification Proposal P131</p>	
<p>Requested Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>To coincide with that of P131 if it is approved by the Authority, if not then when possible: 29 June 2004 if the Authority's decision on P131 is received by the 1 February 2004 and 2 November 2004 if the Authority's decision on P131 is received by 1 July 2004</p> <p>Reason: Both P131 implementation if it gets Authority approval, and the review and update of BSCP11, will bring about changes and will require a walkthrough – it would save resource and confusion to have coincident Implementation Dates</p>	

Change Proposal – F40/01	CP No: 1032 Version No: v1.0
Agreed Release/Implementation Date (mandatory by BSCCo)	
Originator's Details: BCA Name Organisation ELEXON Email Address Date 06/11/03.....	
Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	