

Change Proposal – BSCP40/01

CP No: 1140

Version No: 1.0

Title *(mandatory by originator)*

Removal of ECVNs/MVRNs from ECVAA for a Party in Section H Default

Description of Problem/Issue *(mandatory by originator)*

There is currently no documented process for removing and terminating all ECVNs and MVRNs from ECVAA in the specific case of a Party in Section H Default. This can occur following direction of the BSC Panel, and can be required at very short notice. There is no formal procedure in place and therefore no audit trail available, or any process whereby ELEXON is kept informed of the progress of the disapplication procedure.

CP 963 was raised in 2003 to address this issue, with a proposed solution involving the creation of a front-end function as part of the functionality of the ECVAA database. The CP was rejected by the ISG (ISG/33/369) on grounds of cost, but ELEXON's CVA Operations team and the NETA CSA both agreed that a solution was still needed. In discussions held in the regular operational meetings, it was agreed that a potential alternative would be to formalise and document the manual process which has previously been used when Parties' ECVNs/MVRNs have been forcibly terminated and removed.

When this situation has occurred previously there have been problems reported with the ECVAA system, particularly relating to the performance of credit checking. A defined solution would enable a full definition of the process and testing to be performed, giving added assurance.

Proposed Solution(s) *(mandatory by originator)*

Insert new sections as appropriate into the ECVAA Service Description and ECVAA URS, outlining the procedure to be followed for removing volume notifications following a Section H Party default, creating an audit trail and formalising the ELEXON involvement. This proposed solution anticipates the Panel decision to be to remove all existing ECVN/MVRNs and also prevent the submission of any new notifications at the same time (Provisions H3.2.2 (a) (i) and (ii) of the BSC). There is already a process in place for the sole prevention of ability to notify.

- Panel decision taken
- Email from CVA Operations manager (cc to CVA Operations mailbox) to the NETA Central Services Agent (NETA CSA) to instruct action (incorporating Party ID; timing of necessary disapplication; any special considerations)
- Email acknowledgement of instruction from the NETA CSA to CVA Operations manager and CVA Operations mailbox
- Wait Until Credit Check complete for period 48 on D-1 (10.31pm)
- Suspend Credit Check in case the termination involves crossing over settlement periods.
- Delete Performed data for D.
- Stop input scanners
- Terminate 'BP' role for Party in ECVAA, prior to midnight. This action removes all ECVN and MVRN for Party.
- Back date ECVNAA and MVRNAA authorisations
- Start Input Scanners. The scanners should be down for no more than 10 minutes

Change Proposal – BSCP40/01	CP No: 1140 <i>Version No: 1.0</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check notification tables • Check the previous actions have had desired effect • Email from the NETA CSA to CVA Operations manager and CVA Operations mailbox informing that main steps have been implemented. • Manually recover any Credit Checks for any missing periods • Restart Credit Check • Check first normal credit check to run is completed successfully • Email from the NETA CSA to CVA Operations manager and CVA Operations mailbox informing that credit check-related steps have been implemented. • NEXT DAY: Check that the performed notification tables remain empty for Party for D. • Final email from the NETA CSA to CVA Operations manager and CVA Operations mailbox informing that final check has been completed. • If there are any unusual effects of the actions described above, or any issues arising which may cause problems to the running of the ECVAA database, the NETA CSA should call the appropriate ELEXON out-of-hours contact to inform them of the situation. An up-to-date copy of the out-of-hours rota is kept up to date by the NETA CSA Helpdesk. <p>We have also been advised by the NETA CSA that although it is difficult and potentially onerous, it is in fact possible to disapply the notifications from a period other than SP1, so this could also be put forward as an alternative option. This may result in some changes to the steps above.</p>	
<p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>Please see Description of Issue for detail on the reason behind the request for a CP. This is a process which, although it may only be used occasionally, is highly visible and important when the need does arise. The potential for large settlement errors affecting multiple Parties is high, given the likely state of affairs when a Party does go into Section H default. The reason that the Panel has the power to disapply ECVNs/MRVNs is to protect the market, and adding a formalised process will ensure that this is done as fully and accurately as possible.</p>	
<p>Is the Change being proposed a Housekeeping Change? <i>(optional by originator)</i></p> <p>N¹</p>	
<p>Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by originator)</i></p> <p>New sections to be added to the ECVAA Service Description and the ECVAA URS.</p>	
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code <i>(optional by originator)</i></p>	

¹ The relevant Panel Committee will decide whether a Change Proposal can be progressed as a Housekeeping Change Proposal.

Change Proposal – BSCP40/01	CP No: 1140 <i>Version No: 1.0</i>
Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>	
Requested Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> These changes could be incorporated into a future CVA release at the most opportune time. Reason:	
Agreed Release/Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>	
Originator's Details: BCA Name Organisation.....ELEXON Email Address.....ccc@ELEXON.co.uk Date.....27/06/2005	
Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	