

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Change Proposal – BSCP40/02</b>   | CP No: 1256<br><br><i>Version No: 1.0</i><br><i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i> |
| <b>Title</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Action on Backdated D0052 flows  |  |
| <b>Description of Problem/Issue</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i><br><br>An Unmetered Supplies Expert Group (UMSEG) was convened in 2007 to discuss UMS issues identified by the BSC Auditor. A series of proposals were suggested, which were issued to industry for comment via DCP0029 in February 2009.<br><br>The UMSEG identified that on occasions it may be necessary for Suppliers or UMISOs to issue D0052 'Affirmation of Metering System Settlement Details' to NHHDCs with backdated Effective From dates in order to correct previously-provided data. It is not clear from the current documentation how such D0052s should be processed by NHHDCs, and in particular, whether the data on the new flow should overwrite all previous data provided via the D0052 or only that which is in place up to the next Effective From date. |  |
| <b>Proposed Solution</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i><br><br>This CP proposes the following when handling backdated D0052s: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On receipt of a backdated D0052, NHHDCs should overwrite all previous data (including EACs) for that Metering System</li> <li>• If a Supplier or UMISO does not wish to overwrite any previously-supplied data, they must re-send the appropriate D0052s to the NHHDC in the correct order</li> <li>• A D0052 backdated over 14 months must be amended by the Supplier or UMISO to the earliest effective from date for which a Final Reconciliation Run has not taken place, otherwise it cannot be processed by the NHHDC.</li> </ul> Changes are required to BSCP504 and BSCP520.  |  |
| <b>Justification for Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i><br><br>This change clarifies the way in which backdated D0052s should be handled and ensures all participants are following the same process.   |  |
| <b>To which section of the Code does the CP relate, and does the CP facilitate the current provisions of the Code?</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i><br><br>Section S   |  |
| <b>Estimated Implementation Costs</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i><br><br>The estimated ELEXON implementation cost is 4 man days, which equates to £880.  |  |
| <b>Configurable Items Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i><br><br>BSCP504, BSCP520  |  |
| <b>Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i><br><br>None   |  |

**Related Changes and/or Projects** (*mandatory by BSCCo*)

None

**Requested Implementation Date** (*mandatory by originator*)

June 2009

**Reason:**

The clarifications may have an impact on UMSO and NHHDC systems and so may require a minimum six month lead time.

**Version History** (*mandatory by BSCCo*)

None

**Originator's Details:**

**BCA Name**.....Stephen Francis.....

**Organisation**.....ELEXON.....

**Email Address**.....steve.francis@elexon.co.uk.....

**Telephone Number**.....020 7380 4038.....

**Date**.....27 August 2008.....

Attachments: Yes

CP1256 Attachment A: BSCP504 v19.1 redlined (2 pages)

CP1256 Attachment B: BSCP520 v14.0 redlined (1 page)