

Change Proposal – BSCP40/01	CP No: 1161 Version No: 1.0
Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Housekeeping changes to the Communication Requirements Document (CRD)	
Description of Problem/Issue <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> <p>The Communication Requirements Document (CRD) (v11.0, issued on 02 November 2005) makes a number of references to 'PCSec', a security utility originally used in the transmission of data between Parties and Central Systems. PCSec has now been replaced by XSec, which performs basically the same function but is an improved piece of software. The CRD therefore needs updating to reflect these changes.</p> <p>Obsolete references to PCSec can be found in the following sections of the CRD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contents • Definitions (2.2) • Security (4.5) • Technical Spec (4.6.2) <p>Upon examining the CRD, a few typographical errors have also been spotted. There is a rogue 'then' instead of 'them' in the last line of the third paragraph of 4.2.1 and a rogue apostrophe in the second line of 4.12.</p> <p>Section 4.14 should be amended to reflect the fact that Windows NT is no longer supported.</p> <p>No other issues have been identified. However, the Central Service Provider will be asked to provide further comments when they undertake an Impact Assessment.</p>	
Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> <p>The proposed solution is to make the CRD as generic as practicable, recognising that it is not feasible to make the document completely generic. (The CRD includes detailed instructions as to how the security software should be used and configured. These instructions are specific, useful and important.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change all 'PCSec' references to the generic term 'Participant Security Package'. This term should be defined at the beginning of the document as referring to 'Xsec'. 2. Make a few minor changes to Section 4.5 to reflect the functioning of the new software. The Central Service Provider will confirm the details during Impact Assessment. 3. Update Section 4.14 to reflect the fact that Windows NT is no longer supported. The text should be replaced with generic wording that does not specify any particular operating system within the CRD. The prerequisites for installing the Participant Security Package should be made available together with the package itself. 4. Correct all known typographical errors. 5. Implement any additional documentation changes that the Central Service Provider may suggest during Impact Assessment. 	

Change Proposal – BSCP40/01	CP No: 1161 Version No: 1.0
Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Minor but necessary housekeeping change	
Is the Change being proposed a Housekeeping Change? <i>(optional by originator)</i> Y/ N ¹	
Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by originator)</i> Changes to CRD as above. No other changes have been identified.	
Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i> CP1160 is proposing improvements to the CVA Qualification Process and also impacts the CRD. This CP could be implemented at the same time for reasons of efficiency.	
Requested Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Reason: Next suitable Release	
Agreed Release/Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>	
Originator's Details: BCA Name ELEXON	
Organisation ELEXON	
Email Address ccc@elexon.co.uk	
Date 27/03/06	
Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....) <i>(delete as appropriate)</i>	

¹ The relevant Panel Committee will decide whether a Change Proposal can be progressed as a Housekeeping Change Proposal.