

## Change Proposal – BSCP40/02

CP No: 1258

Version No: 1.0  
(mandatory by BSCCo)

**Title** (mandatory by originator) Changes to BSCP520 Following Expert Group Walkthrough

### Description of Problem/Issue (mandatory by originator)

An Unmetered Supplies Expert Group (UMSEG) was convened in 2007 to discuss UMS issues identified by the BSC Auditor. As part of this work the UMSEG carried out a walkthrough of BSCP520 and identified a series of minor changes to improve processes, correct errors and remove any redundant steps. The proposed changes were issued to industry for comment via DCP0029 in February 2009.

ELEXON has revised the proposed changes to address industry comments and has raised them for progression with this CP.

### Proposed Solution (mandatory by originator)

The following changes are proposed:

BSCP Ref	Description of Defect	Action
BSCP520 1.2.1	The phrase 'weighted average' is problematic. It is not clear what the weights should be or how such a calculation should take place	Remove the word 'weighted' from f) and also from e) of 4.5.2.1
BSCP520 1.2.2	The Supplier should not be re-sending correct EAC data to the NHHDC but should inform the UMSO to do so	Amend 1.2.2 to remove the words 'resend the Correct EAC(s) or'
BSCP520 1.3.4	The superscript '2' and footnote relating to the D0052 being manual is no longer appropriate and should be removed	Remove footnote from 1.3.4
BSCP520 1.2.1 & 1.3.7	Inconsistencies between 'UMSO Responsibilities' in 1.2.1 and 'Non Half Hourly Trading' in 1.3.7	Add reference to MTC in 1.3.7 to be consistent with 1.2.1(c) and revise wording in all instances to 'Meter Timeswitch Class' to agree with MDD definition
BSCP520 1.7.2	The Astronomical Almanac is no longer published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office and is instead maintained by 'The Stationery Office', now a separate company	Change reference to 'The Stationery Office'
BSCP520 3.1.6	Change of text in Action box required to take out ambiguity	Change text to 'Issue to Customer. Issue to Supplier,

BSCP520 3.3.2.6	There is a concern from NHHDCs with the presence of the 'by SSD+5WD timescale' in this step, as NHHDCs are reliant on information from Suppliers in order to complete the process	Add in 'Within 5WD of SSD or receipt of D0148, whichever is later
BSCP520 3.3.1.1	The first steps for the NHH and HH Trading Process are inconsistent	Split 3.3.1.1 (HH Trading) into two steps to reflect equivalent NHH process (3.3.2.1)
BSCP520 3.3.2.1	The From and To participants appear to be the wrong way round. The New Supplier should be contacting the UMSO to confirm that the UMS Certificate (issued earlier in step 3.1.21) is still acceptable before commencing NHH trading	Modify step 3.3.2.1 to show the Supplier confirming details with the UMSO, with the P0207 NHH UMS Certificate listed as the information required
BSCP520 3.5.10	Step has New NHHDC requesting split EAC details from Old NHHDC. The group agreed Suppliers should request the information from the Old NHHDC	Modify 3.5.10 so the Supplier instructs Old NHHDC to send details to New NHHDC, aligning the process with the metered arrangements in BSCP504.
BSCP520 3.5	The title of this section requires alteration	Modify to 'Change of Data Collector for an existing MSID when not concurrent with Change of Supplier'
BSCP520 3.5.11	Remove D0036 as this is not a NHH flow	Remove D0036 from the Information Required box
BSCP520 3.7.1	The D0134 flow is rarely used by Suppliers to request de-energisation as the relevant information is usually contained within the UMS Certificate and inventory. In addition, de-energisation can be self-initiated by the UMSO in the case of temporary supplies	Delete 3.7.1 and 3.7.2 and modify the When boxes of 3.7.3 and 3.7.8 to state 'As required or on receipt of a D0134'
BSCP520 3.8	The title of this section should be altered to remove 'following de-energisation'. Additionally a footnote should be added to explain that partial disconnections of MSIDs should be rejected	Modify title and add footnote: 'D0132s received that relate to partial disconnection of an MSID should be rejected and referred back to the Supplier'
BSCP520 3.8.2	Change text: 'D0125 triggered...on the receipt of D0132 or as determined by the UMSO'	In the When column: 'On receipt of D0132 or as determined by the UMSO.'
BSCP520 3.11.1	Clarify dates for the sending of the P0218	Change wording in When box to: 'by 10WD before 30 June'
BSCP520 3.11.4	Method of sending D0052 is not consistent	Change Method to 'Electronic or other method as agreed.'

**Justification for Change** *(mandatory by originator)*

The changes serve to remove inefficiencies and inaccuracies in the BSCP520 processes.

**To which section of the Code does the CP relate, and does the CP facilitate the current provisions of the Code?** *(mandatory by originator)*

Section S

**Estimated Implementation Costs** *(mandatory by BSCCo)*

The estimated ELEXON implementation cost is 2 man days, which equates to £440.

**Configurable Items Affected by Proposed Solution(s)** *(mandatory by originator)*

BSCP520 'Unmetered Supplies Registered in SMRS'

**Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code** *(mandatory by originator)*

None

**Related Changes and/or Projects** *(mandatory by BSCCo)*

None

**Requested Implementation Date** *(mandatory by originator)*

February 2009

**Reason:**

The changes proposed are minor but may have an impact on some participants' processes.

**Version History** *(mandatory by BSCCo)*

None

***Originator's Details:***

**BCA Name**.....Stephen Francis.....

**Organisation**.....ELEXON.....

**Email Address**.....steve.francis@elexon.co.uk.....

**Telephone Number**.....020 7380 4038.....

**Date**.....27 August 2008.....

Attachments: Yes

CP1258 Attachment A: BSCP504 v14.0 redlined (11 pages)