

<p align="center"><b>Change Proposal – BSCP40/02</b></p>	<p>CP No: 1267</p> <p><i>Version No: 1.0</i> (mandatory by BSCCo)</p>
<p><b>Title</b> (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>Registration of UMSO's and MA's in SMRS</p>	
<p><b>Description of Problem/Issue</b> (mandatory by originator)</p> <div data-bbox="145 501 1423 981" style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #ffffcc; padding: 10px;"> <p><b>The purpose of this Change Proposal (CP) is to amend the validation rules when registering an Unmetered Supplies Operator (UMSO) or Meter Administrator (MA) in Supplier Meter Registration Agent (SMRA).</b></p> <p><b>This would be beneficial as there are currently operational issues appointing an UMSO in a Grid Supply Point (GSP) Group where there is no longer a Non Half Hourly (NHH) Meter Operator Agent (MOA) which has the same ID as the UMSO operating in that area.</b></p> <p><b>There is confusion regarding the Half Hourly (HH) appointment for UMS, whether to appoint the MA or the UMSO in the MOA field. CP1267 clarifies that the MA is the appointed agent for HH UMS.</b></p> </div> <p>BSCP520 'Unmetered Supplies Registered in SMRS' states that, for <b>NHH Unmetered Supplies</b> Metering Systems, "the Supplier shall nominate the Unmetered Supplies Operator (<i>UMSO</i>) as the MOA and notify SMRA (<i>Supplier Meter Registration Agent</i>)".</p> <p>This functionality is no longer supported by the SMRA registration system, which does not load the UMSO Market Participant ID (MPID) information from MDD. Instead the SMRA validates the MOA field for each Registration against the list of valid MOA IDs in MDD i.e. as per current industry practice; the UMSO is included in the MOA field during registration.</p> <p>Previously this has not been an issue as all UMSOs have also been Meter Operators, and so have been recorded under both roles in MDD. However, one UMSO has recently ceased trading as a NHH MOA and as a result their MOA role code has been end dated in MDD.</p> <p>As a result, updates for NHH UMS MPANs in one GSP Group (SWAE) cannot be sent to the SMRA as the UMSO is now no longer recognised as a valid entry in the MOA field.</p> <p><b>A similar issue exists for Half Hourly (HH) UMS</b> appointments where current industry practice (though not a strict requirement at present) is to nominate the Meter Administrator in place of the UMSO, as this information is of more immediate importance than the identity of the UMSO. Where the Meter Administrator (MA) does not have the same ID as a HH MOA in MDD, the MA is not recognised as a valid entry in the MOA field.</p>	
<p><b>Proposed Solution</b> (mandatory by originator)</p> <p>The preferred solution is to change to the SMRS validation rules where the MOA field will continue to contain the UMSO or MA data.</p>	

**For a NHH UMS MSID** the SMRS would validate against role code 3 in MDD (the Unmetered Supplies Operator (UMSO)) instead of role code M.

**For a HH UMS MSID** the SMRS should validate against role code 4 in MDD (Meter Administrator) instead of role code M.

Where the supply is metered (HH or NHH), the SMRS would continue to validate against role code “M” in MDD.

This change would be achieved by:

- Adding an entry for the Meter Operator Appointment in the Data Validation table in BSCP501 ‘Supplier Meter Registration Service’, Section 4.3; and
- Adding clarification for Half Hourly Unmetered Supplies registration to Section 1.3.8 ‘Half Hourly Trading’.

**In addition** to the above, two of housekeeping changes have been included with this CP. These are amendments to:

1. BSCP501 Section 1.9 ‘Associated BSC Procedures’ to include the revised title for BSCP533 (*‘PARMS Data Provision, Reporting and Publication of Peer Comparison Data’*); and
2. BSCP520 Section 1.4 ‘Other sections within the BSCP’ where reference to Section 2 in the document will be removed as this section no longer exists.

**Justification for Change** *(mandatory by originator)*

Currently NHH UMS appointment flows cannot be sent in line with BSCP520 in the one of the distribution groups. This CP would also prevent similar issues from occurring in the future for NHH and HH UMS where UMSOs/ MAs are not MOAs.

**To which section of the Code does the CP relate, and does the CP facilitate the current provisions of the Code?** *(mandatory by originator)*

Section S, Yes

**Estimated Implementation Costs** *(mandatory by BSCCo)*

The estimated ELEXON implementation cost is 3 man days, which equates to £660.

**Configurable Items Affected by Proposed Solution(s)** *(mandatory by originator)*

BSCP520 ‘Unmetered Supplies Registered in SMRS’

BSCP501 ‘Supplier Meter Registration Service’

**Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code** *(mandatory by originator)*

None

**Related Changes and/or Projects** (*mandatory by BSCCo*)

DCP0038 'Use of Appointment and Termination Flows in Unmetered Supplies (UMS)'

**Requested Implementation Date** (*mandatory by originator*)

June 2009

**Reason:**

Next available release

**Version History** (*mandatory by BSCCo*)

None

**Originator's Details:****BCA Name**..... *Sherwin Cotta***Organisation**..... *ELEXON***Email Address**.....*sherwin.cotta@elexon.co.uk***Telephone Number**.....*0207 380 4361***Date**.....*27 November 2008*

Attachments: Y

Attachment A: BSCP501 'Supplier Market Registration Service' redlined. (3 pages)

Attachment B: BSCP520 'Unmetered Supplies Registered in SMRS' redlined (1 Page)